

Sustainability Appraisal Report for the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan Proposed Submission Draft (March 2022)

Appendix 7: Reasons for Selecting Preferred Policies and Site Allocations

Contents

Appendix 7.1: Reasons for Selecting Preferred Policies	3
Introduction	3
Appendix 7.2: Potential Residential Sites Rejected at Site Sift Stage	46
Introduction	46
Appendix 7.3: Reasons for Selecting Preferred Site Allocations	61
Introduction	61

Appendix 7.1: Reasons for Selecting Preferred Policies

Introduction

The Central Lincolnshire authorities have prepared an evidence report for each policy within the Local Plan. These reports provide background information and justification, including the reasonable alternatives considered and the rationale for the selection of the preferred policy. They are the audit trail of policy development for the Local Plan.

The following table therefore only provides a brief summary of the justification for selecting the preferred policy approach – these should be cross referenced with the corresponding evidence report for more detail. These can be viewed on the Central Lincolnshire website.

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Spatial Strategy				
Policy S1: The Spatial Strategy and Settlement Hierarchy	<p>Option 1: A strategy which identifies a settlement hierarchy, and which focuses most growth at the larger settlements with well-connected smaller settlements also receiving some growth.</p> <p>Option 2: A strategy which identifies a settlement hierarchy but distributes growth more evenly across smaller settlements.</p> <p>Option 3: A strategy which does not include a settlement hierarchy.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred option is expected to deliver certainty and a sustainable pattern of growth. The settlements at the top of the hierarchy are considered to be the most sustainable. Directing development to these settlements will enable residents to access day to day services and facilities by walking, cycling and public transport and help to retain, enhance and make efficient use of these. Development in these areas will maximise the use of existing infrastructure and allow infrastructure providers to plan for new facilities in the most efficient way. Focusing growth in areas which are already built up will help to preserve rural character.</p> <p>Option 2 was dismissed. It risks development taking place where there is not the greatest housing need. More dispersed growth could result</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
				<p>in increased use of the private car to access employment and services and facilities.</p> <p>Option 3 was also dismissed. It would detract from investment being made to maximum effect.</p>
Policy S2: Growth Levels and Distribution	<p><u>Part One: Assessing the Amount of Growth</u></p> <p>Option 1: A housing range of between the latest local housing need figure (currently 1,086 dwellings) and 1,325 dwellings per year and delivery of approximately 24,000 jobs as defined by local evidence</p> <p>Option 2: A fixed housing figure at the Local Housing Need Figure (currently 1,086 dwellings) and no locally set number of jobs to be delivered</p> <p>Option 3: A fixed housing figure of 1,325 dwellings and delivery of approximately 24,000 jobs as defined by evidence</p> <p>Option 4: A higher fixed housing figure sufficient to deliver 592 affordable dwellings per year to meet the identified needs (in the</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p><u>Part One: Assessing the Amount of Growth</u></p> <p>Option 1 is preferred as it is expected to deliver additional housing over the government mandated minimum, meeting evidenced need for housing and affordable housing.</p> <p>Option 2 has been discounted, as the evidence suggests it would not deliver enough homes to match anticipated job growth.</p> <p>Option 3 has also been discounted.</p> <p>Whilst Option 4 has the potential to deliver a number of benefits it would seek to deliver housing development at a level not before seen in Central Lincolnshire. The potential adverse effects on the housing market, carbon emissions, the natural environment, the historic environment, infrastructure, etc. could be substantial.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>region of 2,960 dwellings per year)</p> <p><u>Part Two: Assessing the Distribution of Growth</u></p> <p>Option 1: A policy which continues the approach to distribution in the adopted local plan – based on existing population levels, with a focus on the Lincoln Strategy Area (prioritising urban regeneration, sustainable urban extensions to Lincoln and settlements which serve, and are serviced by Lincoln), and a slight boost to levels for the main towns of Gainsborough and Sleaford and nearby villages</p> <p>Option 2: A policy which delivers more growth to 'Elsewhere', i.e. not the Lincoln Strategy Area and not the main towns</p> <p>Option 3: A policy which does not actively distribute growth to locations and lets the market decide.</p> <p>Option 4: Creation of one or more new settlements</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p><u>Part Two: Assessing the Distribution of Growth</u></p> <p>Option 2 to was discounted because it would likely result in more development taking place in locations where there is not ready access to services and infrastructure resulting in the increase in travel distances and private car vehicle movements.</p> <p>Option 3 was discounted as it was felt that the inability to focus investment at the locations where it could have the greatest impacts could result in substantial uncertainty.</p> <p>Option 4 require substantially more investment to deliver than option 1, potentially to the detriment of the areas with the largest population and with a greater carbon footprint than the preferred policy due to the increase in new facilities that would need to be built. Given the scale that would be needed for such a development it is highly likely that it would result in the loss of high-grade agricultural land. It was therefore also discounted.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Policy S3: Housing in the Lincoln Urban Area, Main Towns and Market Towns	<p>Option 1: A policy which supports non-allocated development on small/medium sized sites in urban areas and which provides clarity on how large, non-allocated sites or sites at the edge of a settlement should be considered</p> <p>Option 2: A policy which restricts development on non-allocated sites in and adjacent to urban areas</p> <p>Option 3: No policy and instead rely on general plan policies and the NPPF</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was dismissed, as it would result in need for housing not being met in areas that can accommodate it.</p> <p>Option 3 was also discounted. Whilst it would allow additional growth to take place within urban areas without any restrictions, on balance it could lead to negative impacts, as there would be less certainty of impacts than the other options and would rely on other policies to assess suitability of development.</p>
Policy S4: Housing Development in or Adjacent to Villages	<p>Option 1: A policy which allows for development on suitable small sites or in allocations in the development plan within villages and seeks to ensure that any other residential development proposals for unallocated sites will not harm the village character and restricting unallocated development outside of the village</p> <p>Option 2: A policy which seeks to restrict all unallocated development in villages</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred approach is expected to provide a positive approach to managing growth in rural areas ensuring that negative impacts are minimised and that positive gains are not lost.</p> <p>Option 2 was discounted as it was felt to be too restrictive and could stifle the rural economy and housing market.</p> <p>Option 3 was discounted as it would prevent all development once the percentage is achieved regardless of the suitability of a proposed scheme.</p> <p>Option 4 would likely result in benefits to the rural economy and would see more housing delivered but would also have negative impacts as a result</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Option 3: Retain the approach in the adopted Local Plan applying a percentage growth for villages</p> <p>Option 4: No policy and reliance placed on the wider plan and the NPPF</p>			of poor accessibility to services and facilities and an increased need to travel.
Policy S5: Development in the Countryside	<p>Option 1: A policy that provides clarity for what development will and will not be allowed in the countryside limiting it to very specific types of development</p> <p>Option 2: No policy and instead relying on general local plan policy and national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was discounted, as it risks development taking place in the open countryside beyond existing settlements, increasing the need to travel by car, length of journeys and associated greenhouse gas emissions. A less restrictive approach could also result in the countryside becoming urbanised, either on an individual development basis or cumulatively.
Energy, Climate Change and Flooding				
Policy S6: Design Principles for Efficient Buildings	<p>Option 1: Policy setting out various design considerations aimed specifically at ensuring delivery of efficient buildings.</p> <p>Option 2: No specific policy in climate change section, instead incorporate efficient design principles into Design and Amenity policy.</p> <p>Option 3: No policy, instead rely on national policy and guidance.</p>	<p>n/a</p> <p>New policy for Regulation 19 Proposed Submission Local Plan</p>	Option 1	<p>Option 3 has been discounted, as it is likely to have neutral effects against all of the objectives: with no positive impacts predicted.</p> <p>Option 1 has been selected as preferable over Option 2 because of the overarching ambition of the Local Plan to deliver a net zero Central Lincolnshire. Having a policy solely aimed at energy efficiency design principles puts emphasis on the importance of these considerations. Policies S7 (Reducing Energy Consumption – Residential Development) and S8 (Reducing Energy Consumption – Non-Residential Development)</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
				both include reference to the principles set out in policy S6, given the interrelationship between the policy goals: having a separate policy on design principles for efficient buildings means that these considerations can be easily cross referenced in S7 and S8.
Policy S7: Reducing Energy Consumption – Residential Development	<p>Option 1: A policy requiring all residential proposals to provide an Energy Statement confirming all units achieve certain energy standards, or that the proposal meets one of 3 exception clauses. Policy sets additional requirements for major developments, including annual monitoring for 5 years post occupation, and requirements in instances where the completed development is under performing.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy setting optional standards relating to energy consumption.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy: rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG and building regulations.</p> <p>Option 4: A policy requiring all residential proposals to provide an Energy Statement confirming all units achieve certain energy</p>	Option 1	Option 4	<p>Option 2 was discounted as it is highly unlikely that most new homes would be built to net zero carbon standards and therefore would not deliver the benefits needed to help ensure Central Lincolnshire is carbon neutral. Such failure would also add to the challenge of achieving net zero at a national level.</p> <p>Option 3 was discounted as whilst Building Regulations are moving towards requiring homes that are net zero with greater efficiency being required in recent updates, Government has made clear in the PPG that Local Planning Authorities are well placed to deliver on climate change and so taking a local stand is supported by the Government.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	standards, or that the proposal meets one of 3 exception clauses. Policy sets minimum requirements for assured performance arrangements for all proposals and expresses particular support for proposals which demonstrate commitment to ongoing monitoring.			
Policy S8: Reducing Energy Consumption – Non-Residential Buildings	<p>Option 1: A policy requiring all non-residential development to provide an Energy Statement confirming all units achieve certain energy standards, or that the proposal meets one of 2 exception clauses. Policy sets additional requirements for major developments, including annual monitoring for 5 years post occupation, and requirements in instances where the completed development is under performing.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy setting optional standards relating to energy consumption.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG</p>	Option 1	Option 4	<p>Option 1 was the preferred policy in the Draft Local Plan. Following consideration of the comments received during the Regulation 18 consultation, another policy option was introduced, and considered - Option 4.</p> <p>Option 2 and Option 3 were rejected as whilst they may result in some positive impacts against the SA objectives, the onus would be on the applicant / developer. The lack of specific policy requirement would mean that the impact of both of these options is likely to result in far fewer numbers of buildings being built to higher standards, and that the standards achieved may not be as high as those required by policy Option 1 or Option 4.</p> <p>Option 4 has been selected as the preferred option to take forward because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The requirement for monitoring, verification and reporting on energy performance for major proposals for 5 years from completion (option 1) would be difficult to monitor and enforce.

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	Option 4: A policy requiring all non-residential proposals to provide an Energy Statement confirming all units achieve certain energy standards, or that the proposal meets one of 2 exception clauses. Policy sets minimum requirements for assured performance arrangements for all proposals and expresses particular support for proposals which demonstrate commitment to ongoing monitoring.			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The requirements in relation to the Energy Statement in Option 4 provide improved clarity and will be less burdensome on applicants/ developers.
Policy S9: Decentralised Energy Networks and Combined Heat and Power	<p>Option 1: A policy requiring that in the case of major development proposals, where an existing decentralised energy network exists in the locality, connection of the development to the network is considered, as long as this would not result in increased fossil fuel consumption. Policy also expresses support for new and extended combined heat and power networks provided the power source of such a network is not fossil fuel based.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy requiring that all major and minor development proposals connect to an existing decentralised energy network where capacity exists or a new/</p>	Option 1	Option 4	<p>Option 2 was discounted because it offers no clear benefit over the other options.</p> <p>While Option 2 set a requirement for both minor and major development (compared to Option 1 which applies to only major development) and so has potential to deliver wider benefits, the extent and scale of the benefits are uncertain, given that connection may not be viable technically and/ or financially, or there may not be capacity for connection. Furthermore, Option 2 may delay the delivery of minor scale developments.</p> <p>Option 3 was discounted as it was predicted to have no or negligible effects in relation to all SA objectives.</p> <p>Option 4 was added following the Regulation 18 consultation. This option would result in a more flexible policy, meaning applicants would not be</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>existing combined heat and power network.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG.</p> <p>Option 4: A policy supporting connection of developments to an existing decentralised energy network in the locality, as long as this would not result in increased fossil fuel consumption. Policy also expresses support for new and extended combined heat and power networks provided the power source of such a network is not fossil fuel based.</p>			<p>required to consider connection to a decentralised energy network or combined heat and power network. As a result of the Government intent to devise a nationwide project, and on balance with the wider Local Plan policy requirements, the policy has been amended from 'should' to 'can' so as not place undue burden on developers.</p>
Policy S10: Supporting a Circular Economy	<p>Option 1: A policy supporting, in principle, proposals that demonstrate their compatibility with or furthering of a strong circular economy in the local area.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy requiring demonstration of how proposals contribute to the circular economy principles.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 1 has been identified as the preferred policy approach to be taken forward because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both policy options scored similarly overall in the SA. • In instances where Option 2 has scored 'significant positive', the score awarded was mixed (+ / ++), compared to a positive (+) score for option 1: the potential for significant effects is not guaranteed • The Local Plan as a whole places multiple and varying requirement on applicants given the negligible difference in predicted impact between policy options 1 and 2, it is felt that option 1 does not place undue burden on applicants.

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy option 3 was discounted because it was likely to have neutral or negligible effects in relation to all 15 of the Sustainability Appraisal objectives. <p>Option 1 was taken forward in the Regulation 18 Draft Local Plan. Following consideration of the responses received during the consultation, the policy has not been altered. Policy Option 1 therefore remains the preferred policy and is taken forward in the Regulation 19 Proposed Submission Local Plan.</p>
Policy S11: Embodied Carbon	<p>Option 1: A policy setting specific requirement for major development proposals to minimise embodied carbon and requirement for all other development to take opportunities to reduce embodied carbon content.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy setting out specific requirements for minimising embodied carbon in both major and minor development proposals.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG.</p>	Option 1	Option 4	<p>Option 2 was discounted because a lack of specific criteria in relation to minor development will allow applicants' flexibility in how they achieve the policy requirements, and provides balance in terms of what is expected from applicants and developers when considering the Local Plan requirements as a whole.</p> <p>Option 3 was discounted because it was likely to have neutral or negligible effects in relation to all 15 of the SA objectives.</p> <p>Following the Regulation 18 consultation, an additional option was considered (Option 4). Option 4 is as per Option 1 (a policy setting a requirement for minimising embodied carbon for major development proposals), but also includes a presumption against demolition, and establishes the limited circumstances in which demolition will be permitted.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	Option 4: A policy setting a specific requirement for major development proposals to minimise embodied carbon and a requirement for all other development to take opportunities to reduce embodied carbon content. Policy also sets out a presumption against demolition.			The decision to take Option 4 forward as the preferred policy in the Proposed Submission Local Plan was made because the presumption against demolition is likely to bring notable benefits in terms of reduced carbon emissions as a result of reduced demolition waste, and preventing emissions from the construction of replacement new buildings.
Policy S12: Water Efficiency and Sustainable Water Management	<p>Option 1: A policy requiring development proposals to achieve water standard of 110 litre per day per person and setting out other requirements for water management applicable to all proposals comprising of new buildings.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy setting out requirements for water management applicable to all proposals comprising of new buildings. No requirement to meet 110 litre water standards.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 3, to have no policy, was discounted as a local policy is likely to deliver more significant benefits and greater certainty in terms of positive outcomes.</p> <p>While Option 2 is likely to result in positive effects in relation to several of the SA objectives, and no negative impacts are predicted, Option 1 will deliver more substantial benefits and thus this policy option is preferred and taken forward in the Local Plan.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Policy S13: Reducing Energy Consumption in Existing Buildings	<p>Option 1: A policy encouraging proposals involving change of use or extension to buildings to improve the building's energy efficiency and expressing particular support for proposals which take viable opportunities to do so.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy setting specific requirements in relation to energy efficiency for proposals involving change of use or extension to an existing building.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 1 is taken forward as the preferred policy approach in the draft Local Plan because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of viability considerations associated with making energy efficiency opportunities a requirement. • Option 1 may result in positive impacts. • The impacts of both Options 1 and 2 may be limited as the policies would only apply to proposals which need planning permission: some proposals for change of use and extensions do not require permission, so would not be subject to the policy • Option 2 could be difficult to condition and enforce. <p>Option 3 was discounted as the approach was unlikely to result in any positive effects in relation to any of the 15 SA objectives.</p>
Policy S14: Renewable Energy	<p>Option 1: A Policy setting out general criteria for all renewable energy proposals, and additional specific criteria for solar and wind developments, as well as for the decommissioning of renewable energy infrastructure.</p> <p>Option 2: Separate policies setting out the considerations for solar development and wind energy development, including decommissioning considerations.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2: Separating these two policies would not necessarily affect how applications would be treated. As such it is more streamlined to include requirements that apply to the two forms of renewable energy infrastructure (as well as other forms of renewable energy generation) in one policy, drawing out the specific additional requirements which apply solely to wind turbines.</p> <p>Option 3: This option would be contrary to national policy and would not be providing a positive strategy with addressing climate change. It would also impact on the key goal of delivering a net zero carbon Central Lincolnshire, given that the other</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	Option 3: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG			policies in the plan alone would not be able to deliver this.
Policy S15: Protecting Renewable Energy Infrastructure	<p>Option 1: A policy preventing development that would significantly harm existing or approved renewable energy infrastructure.</p> <p>Option 2: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 scored poorly in the sustainability appraisal compared to the preferred option, with Option 2 resulting in neutral or negligible effects in relation to many objectives, and uncertain, or uncertain negative effects in relation to a few of the sustainability objectives.</p> <p>The preferred policy will deliver significant benefits in relation to reducing greenhouse gas emissions, reducing dependency on fossil fuels, minimising vulnerability and improving resilience.</p>
Policy S16: Wider Energy Infrastructure	<p>Option 1: A policy expressing support in principle for development for significant investment in new and upgraded energy infrastructure which is necessary for/forms part of the transition to a net zero carbon sub-region.</p> <p>Option 2: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 has been discounted. While the impacts of the preferred approach are uncertain, given the fact that such infrastructure proposals may not be dealt with by a Central Lincolnshire authority/ authorities, the potential for positive impacts exists in the instances where they are.
Policy S17: Carbon Sinks	Option 1: A policy setting out requirement for proportionate evaluation of impact of proposal on peat soil/carbon sink and	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 has the potential for significant negative effects in relation to the pollution and climate change sustainability appraisal objectives, while the preferred policy (Option 1) had potential for

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>setting out criteria that must be met in order for planning permission to be granted. Policy also includes carbon sequestration considerations.</p> <p>Option 2: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG</p>			positive or significant positive effects in relation to many of the SA objectives.
Policy NS18: Electric Vehicle Charging	<p>Option 1: A policy requiring a minimum of 10% of parking spaces to have electric vehicle charging points for all development proposals which include parking spaces (except those in use classes C3 and C4) and remaining spaces to be serviced by infrastructure to allow installation of further points at a later date. For development in use class categories C3 and C4, the requirement is for an electrical supply to the driveway and/or garage, and/or parking space.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy which sets an optional requirement to provide electric vehicle charging points.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG</p>	Option 1	Option 4	<p>Option 1 was the preferred policy in the Draft Local Plan. However, since the Draft Local Plan consultation, the Building Regulations have been amended to introduce mandatory requirements for the installation of electric vehicle charge points (from June 2022). Therefore, a new option (Option 4) was devised and subject to Sustainability Appraisal.</p> <p>Option 4 has been selected as the preferred approach in the Proposed Submission Local Plan to avoid conflict with and duplication of the Building Regulations.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	Option 4: A policy referencing the newly introduced Part S Building Regulations and setting policy criteria in relation to the location of charging points.			
Policy S19: Fossil Fuel Exploration, Extraction, Production or Energy Generation	<p>Option 1: A policy stating that all applications for fossil fuel-based exploration, extraction, production or energy generation should be refused. Policy includes a presumption that the Central Lincolnshire authorities will oppose all such proposals that are to be determined by another body.</p> <p>Option 2: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG.</p> <p>Option 3: A policy stating that all applications for fossil fuel-based exploration, extraction, production or energy generation for the determination by the City of Lincoln, North Kesteven or West Lindsey will be refused.</p>	Option 1	Option 3	<p>Option 2 was previously discounted in favour of Option 1 (the preferred policy approach taken forward in the Draft Local Plan) because Option 1 offered greater certainty and likelihood of more positive sustainability outcomes.</p> <p>Option 3 has been added following the Regulation 18 consultation. The SA concluded that the effects of Option 3 in relation to the SA Objectives are uncertain, as such applications are unlikely to be dealt with by one of the 3 local planning authorities, however there is potential for positive effects in instances where the local planning authority is the decision maker.</p> <p>Option 3 is taken forward in the Proposed Submission Local Plan and Option 1 has been discounted: despite this option not offering as much certainty in relation to the sustainability objectives, it is taken forward in light of the concerns raised in relation to the County Council's role as minerals planning authority.</p>
Policy S20: Resilient and Adaptable Design	Option 1: A policy setting requirements in relation to heat resilience and adaptable design.	Option 1	Option 1	Option 3 was discounted because it would have neutral or negligible effects in relation to 15 of the sustainability appraisal objectives and had

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Option 2: A policy outlining optional considerations in relation to heat resilience and adaptable design.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy, rely on national policy and guidance as set out in the NPPF and NPPG</p>			<p>potential for negative effects in relation to the built and historic environment.</p> <p>Option 2 scored more favourably than option 3, though not as well as policy Option 1 and with greater uncertainty. This is because the consideration in relation to heat resilience and adaptable design would be optional.</p> <p>Option 1 has been selected as the preferred policy approach, as it offers greater certainty for more positive sustainability outcomes and is considered to be achievable as most of the measures outlined can be easily integrated into proposal at an early design stage.</p>
Policy S21: Flood Risk and Water Resources	<p>Option 1: Local policy covering flood risk, water resources and protecting the water environment.</p> <p>Option 2: No local policy and rely on national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 1 is the preferred approach as it expands on national policy and guidance seeking to address locally specific issues such as applying the optional increased water efficiency due to being located in a higher water stress area. Option 2 is discounted as it could lead to missed opportunities to address local issues.
Housing				
Policy S22: Affordable Housing	Option 1: Set a varied percentage for delivery of affordable housing dependent on value zones with the lowest value zones receiving a baseline of 10%.	Option 1	Option 1	Options 2 and 3 were discounted, as they were not expected to provide the volume and range of homes required to meet the identified needs.

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Option 2: Require a set percentage based on the NPPF requirement of at least 10% to deliver homes available for affordable home ownership</p> <p>Option 3: Do not require any set percentage and rely on negotiation on a site-by site basis</p>			
Policy S23: Meeting Accommodation Needs	<p>Option 1: A policy which supports the delivery of housing that meets higher accessibility standards (M4(2) and M4(3))</p> <p>Option 2: A policy which requires a proportion of all housing to be delivered to higher accessibility standards.</p> <p>Option 3: No policy relating to accessible housing and rely on national policy.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted as although it would provide certainty in relation of delivery of homes, it raises the potential for other key infrastructure to not be delivered as a result of viability impacts. This was considered to provide too high a level of uncertainty and risk.</p> <p>Option 3 was discounted, as it would not provide an acceptable level of certainty in respect of the delivery of homes that meet the higher accessibility standards.</p>
Policy NS24: Custom and Self-Build Build Housing	<p>Option 1: One discrete development management policy to cover Custom and Self Build using a threshold allowance.</p> <p>Option 2: Including Custom and Self Build within other policies within the plan with no threshold allowance.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 1 is the preferred policy as having a discrete policy allows greater opportunities for CSB plots to be made available and allows a further way of monitoring the supply of this form of tenure within the Districts.</p> <p>If a CSB policy were to be included in part of a wider housing planning policy this runs a higher risk that the supply of the CSB registers may not</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	Option 3: No local policy on Custom and Self Build - rely on national guidance relating to types of tenures set out in the NPPF and NPPG			meet the demand in future years during the plan period. Similarly, relying just on national policy does not provide sufficient opportunities for development to bring about opportunities for CSB homes in a localised approach.
Policy S25: Sub-Division and Multi-Occupation of Dwellings within Lincoln	<p>Option 1: Policy controlling conversion to houses in multiple occupation in Lincoln and their concentration and supporting development of appropriate purpose built multi occupancy accommodation.</p> <p>Option 2: No specific policy for housing in multiple occupation in Lincoln.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred policy would allow for the conversion of properties into houses in multiple occupation while helping to prevent unsympathetic conversions and over concentrations of subdivided houses with transient residents.</p> <p>Option 2 was discounted as no specific policy on houses in multiple occupation risks over concentration. Increasing the number of residents in a property is likely to increase noise pollution (people and traffic). Without a policy approach to control the number and concentration of subdivided houses, there is a risk of negative impacts on residential amenity, including noise pollution.</p>
Policy S26: Houseboat Moorings and Caravans	<p>Option 1: A policy which provides a framework for proposals for houseboat moorings and caravan pitches to be considered.</p> <p>Option 2: No policy on houseboat moorings and caravan pitches, rely on national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was discounted as it would not provide an acceptable degree of certainty in respect of the provision of houseboat moorings, caravans and park homes to meet the identified needs.

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Policy NS27: Residential Annexes	<p>Option 1: A policy setting out the requirements for the delivery of residential annexes.</p> <p>Option 2: No policy on residential annexes, rely on national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 would be a continuation of the current approach (i.e. no local policy) and was discounted as it would not provide any certainty in approach, or protection of residential areas from over development.
Employment				
Policy S28: Spatial Strategy for Employment	<p>Option 1: A spatial strategy for employment aligned to the overall spatial strategy and distribution of growth for Central Lincolnshire.</p> <p>Option 2: A spatial strategy that is different to the overall spatial strategy and distribution of growth more evenly across smaller settlements</p> <p>Option 3: No local based strategic policy and rely on national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred option aligns with the spatial strategy for housing growth. The spatial strategy ensures economic activity is focused around the main urban conurbations but also features within all aspects of the settlement hierarchy that is proportionate in nature for rural areas.</p> <p>Option 2 spreads economic growth across Central Lincolnshire. This approach would not align to the objectives identified by the GLLEP within the LIS and SEP objectives.</p> <p>Option 3 was discounted as it relies on national policy and other Local Plan policies and is likely therefore to generate a great deal of uncertainty for the growth of the local economy in Central Lincolnshire.</p>
Policy S29: Strategic Employment Sites (SES)	Option 1: Retain the allocated strategic employment sites in the adopted Central Lincolnshire Local Plan 2017.	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was discounted as allocating more sites for employment than is required could flood the market with land availability, which may result in lower investment.

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Option 2: Allocate further strategic employment sites above those already allocated.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy allocating Strategic Employment Sites - rely on a criteria-based windfall employment policy, national policy and other Local Plan policies</p>			Option 3 was discounted as relying on windfall sites would create uncertainty and development is at risk of taking place wherever land is made available rather than in the most sustainable locations.
Policy S30: Employment allocations on Sustainable Urban Extensions (SUEs)	<p>Option 1: Retain the allocated employment site allocations on the SUEs from the adopted Central Lincolnshire Local Plan 2017.</p> <p>Option 2: No allocated employment provision on the SUEs – let the market deliver and rely on national policy.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was discounted as not allocating employment land at the SUEs creates uncertainty for businesses looking to invest in the main urban areas within Central Lincolnshire. Not allocating employment land on the SUEs may prevent employment coming forward in areas of employment deprivation.
Policy S31: Important Established Employment Areas (IEEA)	<p>Option 1: Retain the Important Established Employment Areas allocated in the adopted Central Lincolnshire Local Plan 2017 and set out criteria for development proposals.</p> <p>Option 2: Do not designate IEEAs. Rely on national policy to ensure employment is directed to the most sustainable and appropriate locations.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted as is it was felt it was likely to create a climate of uncertainty for investors and landowners, resulting in a loss of employment land or buildings to other uses and eroding the available land supply. Development is at risk of taking place wherever land is made available rather than in the most sustainable locations.</p> <p>This option could also result in employment land being located further away from local communities, increasing the need to travel by private car.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Policy S32: Local Employment Areas (LEA)	<p>Option 1: A defined policy defining what a Local Employment Area (LEA) is and setting out criteria for proposals within or adjacent to a LEA.</p> <p>Option 2: No definition of what a LEA is and retain the existing policy wording from policy LP5 within the adopted Central Lincolnshire Local Plan (April 2017).</p> <p>Option 3: No local based approach to smaller employment sites set out in the Local Plan and rely on national policy and other Local Plan policies.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted as the LESs are not clearly defined in the adopted policy in terms of their size or function and this could create uncertainty for applicants looking to invest in the area.</p> <p>Option 3 was also discounted, as it could lead to a loss of employment land and/or buildings to other uses, resulting in people having to travel further to access employment, potentially outside of Central Lincolnshire.</p>
Policy S33: Non-designated employment proposals within identified settlements	<p>Option 1: A policy for non-designated sites for employment proposals within defined settlements applying a sequential test.</p> <p>Option 2: No local based policy approach to non-designated employment sites within identified settlements. Rely on national policy and other Local Plan policies to ensure employment is</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred option offers opportunities for new employment growth under a set criterion and will ensure the most sustainable locations for employment proposals are used including existing allocated sites and Local Employment Areas (LEAs).</p> <p>Option 2 was dismissed as it could result in employment land being located further away from local communities, increasing the need to travel by private car and therefore reducing opportunities for physical activity via walking or cycling to work,</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	directed to the most sustainable and appropriate locations.			increased greenhouse gas emissions and a loss of employment land to other uses.
Policy S34: Non-designated employment proposals in the countryside	<p>Option 1: A specific policy for non-designated sites employment proposals in the countryside.</p> <p>Option 2: No local based policy for employment proposals in the countryside. Rely on national policy and other Local Plan policies.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred option is expected to facilitate the expansion of existing businesses and support the limited development of certain new businesses within countryside locations, boosting the rural economy and improving access to employment opportunities.</p> <p>Option 2 has been discounted as it was felt it has the potential for harmful effects, especially in relation to the environmental SA objectives, as there would be no control over the scale and type of employment development that could come forward in countryside locations.</p>
Retail: City and Town Centres and District, Local and Village Centres				
Policy S35: Network and Hierarchy of Centres	<p>Option 1: A policy that sets out a retail hierarchy with named centres and identified boundaries across central Lincolnshire and sets out a tiered locally set floorspace threshold for impact assessments.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy that sets out a retail hierarchy with named centres in the upper tiers, identifying boundaries in the main towns only with a tiered locally set floorspace threshold for impact assessments.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>All options, where they focus on the City Centre, will result in some people having to travel further to access services and facilities, but it is the most accessible location for most people and will encourage uses in areas better served by walking, cycling and public transport.</p> <p>Option 2 would spread uses over a wider area which may make private car use more likely and investment in other infrastructure less viable as would the out of town retail centres of Option 3.</p> <p>The preferred policy would allow for investment and growth of the City whilst still maintaining a clear focus for transport infrastructure.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	Option 3: A policy that sets out a retail hierarchy identifying town centres and primary shopping areas only, relying on other Local Plan policies, other local authority documents and national policy for development elsewhere and national default floorspace threshold for impact assessments.			
Policy S36: Lincoln's City Centre and Primary Shopping Area	<p>Option 1: Identify a City Centre and Primary Shopping Area with policy outlining suitable uses in each</p> <p>Option 2: Identify larger City Centre and Primary Shopping Area boundaries with policy outlining suitable uses in each</p> <p>Option 3: Identify smaller City Centre and Primary Shopping Area boundaries with identified out of town retail centres with policy outlining suitable uses in each</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>All options, where they focus on the Town Centre, will result in some people having to travel further to access services and facilities, but it is the most accessible location for most people and will encourage uses in areas better served by walking, cycling and public transport.</p> <p>Option 2 would spread uses over a wider area which may make private car use more likely and investment in other infrastructure less viable as would the out of town retail centres of Option 3. The preferred policy would allow for investment and growth of the Town whilst still maintaining a clear focus for transport infrastructure.</p>
Policy S37: Gainsborough Town Centre and Primary Shopping Area	Option 1: Identify a Town Centre and Primary Shopping Area with policy outlining suitable uses in each	Option 1	Option 1	All three options concentrate town centre uses in an identified Town Centre, ensuring a viable and vibrant primary shopping core. However, the preferred policy, unlike Options 2 and 3, would allow for clear specific guidance for the two towns

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Option 2: Identify larger Town Centre and Primary Shopping Area boundaries with policy outlining suitable uses in each</p> <p>Option 3: Identify smaller Town Centre and Primary Shopping Area boundaries with identified out of town retail centres with policy outlining suitable uses in each</p>			whilst also allowing for a more flexible approach to suitable uses, acknowledging their role as service hubs for wider catchment areas as well as retail centres.
Policy S38: Sleaford Town Centre and Primary Shopping Area	<p>Option 1: Identify a Town Centre and Primary Shopping Area with policy outlining suitable uses in each.</p> <p>Option 2: Identify larger Town Centre and Primary Shopping Area boundaries with policy outlining suitable uses in each</p> <p>Option 3: Identify smaller Town Centre and Primary Shopping Area boundaries with identified out of town retail centres with policy outlining suitable uses in each</p>	Option 1	Option 1	All three options concentrate town centre uses in an identified Town Centre, ensuring a viable and vibrant primary shopping core. However, the preferred policy, unlike Options 2 and 3, would allow for clear specific guidance for the two towns whilst also allowing for a more flexible approach to suitable uses, acknowledging their role as service hubs for wider catchment areas as well as retail centres.
Policy S39: Market Rasen and Caistor Town Centres	Option 1: Identify a Town Centre boundary with policy outlining suitable uses within it	Option 1	Option 1	All three options concentrate town centre uses in an identified Town Centre, ensuring a viable and vibrant primary shopping core. However, the preferred policy, unlike Options 2 and 3, would

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Option 2: Identify a Town Centre boundary and Primary Shopping Area with policy outlining suitable uses in each</p> <p>Option 3: Identify a Town Centre boundary with no specific Market Rasen or Caistor policy but rely on the retail hierarchy policy, other policies in the Local Plan and national planning policy and guidance.</p>			allow for clear specific guidance for the two towns whilst also allowing for a more flexible approach to suitable uses, acknowledging their role as service hubs for wider catchment areas as well as retail centres.
Policy S40: District, Local and Village Centres	<p>Option 1: Identify and provide boundaries for village centres and local shopping centres in Gainsborough and Sleaford in addition to the existing district and local shopping centres within the Lincoln Urban Area</p> <p>Option 2: Maintain the existing list and boundaries of district and local shopping centres within the Lincoln Urban Area.</p> <p>Option 3: Unnamed district, local and village centres with no boundaries shown, relying on the general retail and community facilities policies and national guidance.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 1 is preferred as it seeks to protect and direct investment to district, local and village centres across Central Lincolnshire ensuring the maintenance of a network of locally accessible shops and services meeting local needs within accessible locations, potentially by means other than the private car.</p> <p>Options 2 and 3 were dismissed. Option 2 would focus on the Lincoln Urban Area only and therefore result in minor positive effects on the SA objectives. Option 3 is unlikely to actively protect or support existing district, local or village centres and their loss or conversion to other uses could have a detrimental impact on social equality and community.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Policy NS41: City and Town Centre Frontages	<p>Option 1: Local criteria-based policy for city and town centre frontages and security shutters</p> <p>Option 2: A combined policy for main town centre use frontages, security shutters and advertisements</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy, relying on other local plan policies and national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted. Whilst a combined shopfront, security shutter and advertisement option would be expected to have similar major positive and positive impacts on the SA objectives to the preferred policy, it could result in mixed messages and inconsistent approach to decisions for those advertisements not in a city or town centre.</p> <p>Option 3 was also discounted. The level of detail and local relevance provided by national policy and guidance and other Local Plan policies on this area is mixed particularly for shop fronts and shutters. National policy is brief in some areas and advises interpretation by the local authority. This option would offer the least positive impact on the SA objectives and is therefore the least preferred option.</p>
Tourism and Visitor Economy				
Policy S42: Sustainable Urban Tourism	<p>Option 1: A locally specific policy supporting new tourism related proposals within urban areas, and protecting existing facilities within specific areas of Lincoln</p> <p>Option 2: A general Central Lincolnshire wide policy promoting sustainable tourism, leisure and cultural facilities and directing development to existing settlements</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 has been dismissed because it was considered that one single policy would not be as effective in addressing and supporting the different aspects of sustainable tourism as having separate, specific policies.</p> <p>Option 3 was also discounted, as it will not provide the same level of protection for existing destinations and facilities nor will it ensure the most appropriate development for Central Lincolnshire in the same way a local policy will.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	Option 3: No policy, rely on other Local Plan policies and national policy			
Policy S43: Sustainable Rural Tourism	<p>Option 1: A rural focussed policy, ensuring development is of a scale and nature to respect the local environment and its qualities. Development is directed towards existing settlements</p> <p>Option 2: A general Central Lincolnshire wide policy promoting sustainable tourism, leisure and cultural facilities and directing development to existing settlements</p> <p>Option 3: No policy, rely on other Local Plan policies and national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 has been dismissed because it was considered that one single policy would not be as effective in addressing and supporting the different aspects of sustainable tourism as having separate, specific policies.</p> <p>Option 3 was also discounted, as it will not provide the same level of protection for existing destinations and facilities nor will it ensure the most appropriate development for Central Lincolnshire in the same way a local policy will.</p>
Policy S44: Lincolnshire Showground	<p>Option 1: A locally specific criteria-based policy to guide development at the Lincolnshire Showground</p> <p>Option 2: To have no Local Plan policy and rely on other general policies in the Local plan, and national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was discounted as it would not provide the level of detail required to ensure that only appropriate and necessary development for the operation of Lincolnshire Showground takes place.
Transport and Infrastructure				

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Policy S45: Strategic Infrastructure Requirements	<p>Option 1: A general policy covering the areas of non-transport based infrastructure and developer contributions</p> <p>Option 2: To have no policy and rely on national policy</p> <p>Option 3: To not have a specific policy, but rely on infrastructure requirements for each site through allocation policies</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted, as although it may lead to some infrastructure improvements, without a locally specific policy, confusion may arise regarding provision, and what infrastructure is required where.</p> <p>Option 3 was also discounted because although it was acknowledged to have likely benefits, however, these would not be as wide ranging, as they would only be in relation to sites allocated for development and not all development.</p> <p>Option 1 would apply to all development and is therefore the preferred approach.</p>
Policy S46: Safeguarded Land for Future Key Infrastructure	<p>Option 1: To have a specific policy safeguarding the preferred route of the North Hykeham Relief Road</p> <p>Option 2: Have no specific policy safeguarding the route</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 would not provide certainty over what type of infrastructure land is to be provided, or where it would be located. This option has the potential to see land that could be required for an infrastructure scheme lost to other development.</p>
Policy S47: Accessibility and Transport	<p>Option 1: General policy covering objectives for specific transport modes and general requirements</p> <p>Option 2: To have no policy and rely on national policy</p> <p>Option 3: To have no policy, but rely on transport requirements for each site through allocation policies</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted as it was considered to have minimal impact as it does not provide for locally specific solutions to come forward.</p> <p>Whilst Option 3 may result in some benefits, it would have a specific focus on the allocated sites, and thus would miss opportunities offered by other sites coming forward.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Policy S48: Walking and Cycling Infrastructure	<p>Option 1: A specific detailed local policy ensuring the provision of walking and cycling infrastructure</p> <p>Option 2: To have no local policy and rely on national policy</p> <p>Option 3: No specific policy but incorporate walking and cycling infrastructure requirements in site allocation policies.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 would not provide certainty around potential sustainability impacts as it would not provide any locally specific guidance.</p> <p>Option 3 was also dismissed, as although it would have some clear positive effects, these would be limited to only the largest of developments, and therefore would not provide as great a level of benefit as the preferred option.</p>
Policy S49: Parking Provision	<p>Option 1: To have a specific, detailed, policy setting parking standards for the Central Lincolnshire area</p> <p>Option 2: To continue as per the 2017 Local Plan, and not have a policy and rely on National policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 did not perform well against the SA objectives, and does not provide the same degree of certainty in approach to parking provision as the preferred option.
Policy S50: Community Facilities	<p>Option 1: A policy in two parts, protecting existing community facilities, and seeking the provision of new facilities</p> <p>Option 2: To have no local policy and rely on national policy</p> <p>Option 3: To identify and safeguard community facilities</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Options 2 and 3 were discounted as although they would provide some protection for existing facilities, they would not ensure that new facilities came forward.

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	(through the identification of specific sites/facilities)			
Policy S51: Creation of New Open Space, Sports and Leisure Facilities	<p>Option 1: A policy that sets out open space requirements for new residential developments in accordance with local open space standards, based on local assessments of need (generally a carry-over of the adopted Central Lincolnshire Local Plan policy LP24)</p> <p>Option 2: Have no policy on open space standards in the Local Plan</p> <p>Option 3: Rely on national policy and guidance and adopt nationally recommended benchmark open space standards</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted because there would be no requirements for new open space provision set out in the Local Plan. Any open space secured through new development would be that which is promoted by the applicant. This creates uncertainty around how and when new open space will be provided.</p> <p>Option 3 was also dismissed. Best practice guidance recommends setting locally derived open space standards based on local assessments of need. The FiT standards are generic standards for the whole country, and do not take into account local characteristics or issues. Additionally, FiT guidance states that the quantity guidelines “should not be interpreted as maximum levels of provision, and it is recommended that these are adjusted to take into account of local circumstances”.</p>
Policy S52: Lincoln’s Universities and Colleges	<p>Option 1: A policy supporting the delivery of higher education facilities</p> <p>Option 2: No policy relating to universities and colleges, rely on national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was dismissed as it would not provide the support or certainty in relation to the development of the universities and colleges that is provided by the preferred option (Option 1).
Design and Amenity				

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Policy S53: Design and Amenity	<p>Option 1: A policy providing a framework for delivering good design in new development and for detailed design codes and policies to be produced in neighbourhood plans set out in common with the national design guide themes</p> <p>Option 2: Retain the design policy in the adopted local plan</p> <p>Option 3: To not have a design and amenity policy and rely on national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted as it would not take into account the Government's new design guidance or introduction of design codes.</p> <p>Option 3 was also dismissed as it would not take into account the Governments new design guidance, nor would it provide any degree of certainty in approach for new development proposals and residents.</p>
Policy S54: Health and Wellbeing	<p>Option 1: To have a local policy with the expectation that development proposals will promote, support and enhance physical and mental health and wellbeing and setting a requirement for Health Impact Assessment (HIA) for developments of 150 dwellings or more, or 5ha or more for other development</p> <p>Option 2: To have a local policy on health and wellbeing but no requirement for Health Impact Assessment</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Options 2 and 3 were dismissed as they are likely to result in missed local opportunities to enhance health and wellbeing and to ensure large scale developments devise appropriate mitigation measures to address any health impacts.

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	Option 3: To have no local policy on health and wellbeing in the plan. Rely on national policy			
Policy S55: Advertisements	<p>Option 1: Local criteria-based policy for advertisements</p> <p>Option 2: No local policy for advertisements, relying on other Local Plan policies and national policy</p> <p>Option 3: Have a combined policy with frontages for main town centre uses</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 has been discounted. National policy is brief in some areas and advises interpretation by the local authority. Local Plan policies such as those for design and amenity and the historic environment whilst providing useful advice for all development, do not specifically refer to or necessarily relate to advertisement development.</p> <p>Option 3 has also been discounted. Advertisements, shutters and frontages for main town centre uses are often closely related, but not all advertisements are necessarily linked to such uses. Therefore, whilst a single policy providing guidance for frontages and shutters and advertisements for main town centre uses could be worded in such a way as to separate the different elements, combining them into one policy may cause confusion and imply that the policy only related to those advertisements on main town centre uses. This option has therefore been discounted with separate policies covering the different elements favoured as a less ambiguous approach.</p>
Policy S56: Development on Land Affected by Contamination	Option 1: To have a policy that requires development proposals to undertake a preliminary risk assessment with development only permitted if it can be	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 1 will ensure that development proposals fully consider the risk of contamination.</p> <p>Under Option 2, development proposals are only likely to investigate contamination where statutory</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>demonstrated that the site is suitable.</p> <p>Option 2: To have no local policy and rely on national policy only</p>			<p>consultees, such as EA or Environmental Health, raise concerns or there is known contamination. Although national policy requires the effects of pollution on the natural environment to be taken into account, this option does not take a precautionary approach and may limit investigation of contamination to development proposals where there is known contamination or where a statutory consultee raises concerns. Hence Option 2 was dismissed.</p>
Built Environment				
Policy S57: The Historic Environment	<p>Option 1: One overarching development management policy covering the historic environment.</p> <p>Option 2: Separate policies covering specific heritage assets, including listed buildings, archaeological sites, conservation areas, registered parks and gardens and non-designated assets.</p> <p>Option 3: No local policy. Rely on national policy and guidance on the historic environment as set out in the NPPF and NPPG.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 has been discounted, as it was felt an overarching policy on the historic environment was needed to reflect the requirements of the NPPF to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment.</p> <p>Option 3 was also discounted, as whilst national policy on heritage assets is quite extensive, the NPPF requires local authorities to set out “a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment” and it is felt that a specific policy in relation to this is the most appropriate approach.</p>
Policy S58: Protecting Lincoln, Gainsborough	Option 1: Policy seeking to make a positive contribution to Lincoln, Gainsborough and Sleaford’s built	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was discounted as although it would offer some protection it would not offer the positive

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
and Sleaford's Setting and Character	<p>and natural environment, protecting setting and character and views into and out of the City and Town.</p> <p>Option 2: To have no local policy, but to rely on general Central Lincolnshire green infrastructure, heritage and other Local Plan policies or national policy</p>			<p>protection tailored to the specific setting and character of each settlement.</p> <p>The preferred option includes elements specific to the setting and character of Lincoln, Gainsborough and Sleaford and references the character assessments, masterplans and regeneration SPD that allow specific issues and opportunities to be captured rather than getting lost within or dominating Central Lincolnshire wide policies.</p>
The Natural Environment				
Policy S59: Green and Blue Infrastructure Network	<p>Option 1: A local policy which identifies a green infrastructure network, and which seeks to protect, extend and enhance the network in Central Lincolnshire</p> <p>Option 2: Not to have a local policy on green infrastructure and instead rely on other local plan policies designed to protect and enhance open space</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred approach has been selected as it promotes a green infrastructure network not just single green space sites; promotes a range of different scales of green and blue infrastructure provision and promotes green infrastructure that is multifunctional. This is predicted to result in a number of positive impacts against the SA objectives.</p> <p>Option 2 has been dismissed, as although it is also expected to generate some positive effects, there is greater uncertainty.</p>
Policy S60: Protecting Biodiversity and Geodiversity	<p>Option 1: To have a local policy for the protection, conservation and enhancement of biodiversity and geodiversity throughout Central Lincolnshire.</p> <p>Option 2: To have no local policy and rely on national policy in the</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred approach seeks to protect biodiversity and geodiversity assets within Central Lincolnshire. The policy requires development proposals to follow the mitigation hierarchy, which will limit the negative impacts of development on biodiversity and geodiversity.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	NPPF to protect, conserve and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity.			Option 2 was discounted, as reliance on the NPPF provides an overarching approach but does not allow decisions to be informed by local priorities.
Policy S61: Biodiversity Opportunity and Delivering Measurable Net Gains	<p>Option 1: All new development proposals must deliver a minimum 10% measurable biodiversity net gain, in line with Environment Bill</p> <p>Option 2: Similar policy approach to preferred policy, but go beyond the Environment Bill and require a higher % of biodiversity net gain</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 is a similar policy approach to option 1 but seeking a biodiversity net gain above the minimum 10%. This could impact on the delivery of housing and employment but otherwise the positive effects, in sustainability terms, are similar if not greater than Option 1.</p> <p>Option 1 was selected as it aligns with the proposed Environment Bill.</p>
Policy S62: Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and Areas of Great Landscape Value	<p>Option 1: Maintain the protection of landscapes and townscapes, including specifically identifying Areas of Great Landscape Value (AGLV) and the Lincolnshire Wolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, allowing for changes to the boundaries of AGLVs to reflect settlement boundaries and recent new development.</p> <p>Option 2: To have no local policy and to rely on national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 has been discounted as it would only offer protection to a small geographical area, the Area of Outstanding Beauty. Other important and sensitive local landscapes within Central Lincolnshire would not benefit from any policy protection.
Policy S63: Green Wedges	Option 1: Local, criteria-based policy to maintain and enhance open space and countryside identified as Green Wedges in the adopted Local Plan	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 has been dismissed. Whilst other Local Plan policies and national policy would restrict development in the countryside to some extent, Green Wedge designations provide an additional level of protection for specific areas around and

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Option 2: Do not maintain and enhance open space and countryside identified as Green Wedges in the adopted Local Plan and allow development applications in these areas to be assessed free of any Green Wedge designations, relying on other policies in the Local Plan and national policy.</p> <p>Option 3: Merge the Green Wedge policy with policy on the Lincolnshire Wolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and Area of Great Landscape Value (AGLV) under a single policy relating to landscape character and setting, creating and protecting views and cumulative impacts.</p>			<p>between settlements that in particular are experiencing pressure for development.</p> <p>Option 3 is a new policy option suggested in response to the Regulation 18 consultation on the Local Plan. This option has also been discounted. Whilst it is recognised that some of the Green Wedges within Central Lincolnshire have a sole purpose of preventing the merging of settlements, others, particularly around Lincoln, are multi-functional. This requires a policy response that it more than landscape character focused.</p>
Policy S64: Local Green Space	<p>Option 1: A local policy which protects Local Green Space on the Policies Map in line with the NPPF, and ruling out development on these sites other than in very special circumstances</p> <p>Option 2: Do not protect Local Green Spaces within the Local</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was dismissed. While Neighbourhood Plans can, and do, make designations for Local Green Space, not every Parish or settlement have prepared a Plan, this would leave a large number of the previously designated Local Green Spaces without protection. This could potentially result in their loss.

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Plan, leave their identification and protection to Neighbourhood Plans</p> <p>Option 3: Local policy which protects Local Green Spaces on the Policies Map in line with the NPPF, which rules out development on these sites other than in very special circumstances, and which protects other existing Important Open Space. (as per adopted plan)</p>			<p>Option 3 was also discounted. Whilst it would provide the same protection for Local Green Space sites as the preferred option, it was considered that having two separate policies for the two different types of designation, as per option 1 would give rise to less confusion in relation to type and level of protection.</p>
Policy S65: Important Open Space	<p>Option 1: A local policy which protects Important Open Spaces on the Policies Map in line with the NPPF, and ruling out development on these sites other than in very special circumstances</p> <p>Option 2: Do not protect Important Open Spaces within the Local Plan, rely on the NPPF</p> <p>Option 3: Local policy which protects Local Green Spaces on the Policies Map in line with the NPPF, which rules out development on these sites other than in very special circumstances, and which also protects other existing Important</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted as it would not provide the same level of protection for those open spaces that are important to the community, but do not meet the Local Green Space designation, and could potentially result in losses of open space.</p> <p>Option 3 was also discounted. Whilst it would provide the same protection for Important Open Spaces as the preferred option, it was considered that having two separate policies for the two different types of designation, as per option 1 would give rise to less confusion in relation to type and level of protection.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	Open Space (as per adopted plan).			
Policy S66: Trees, Woodland and Hedgerows	<p>Option 1: To have a policy relating to all trees, woodland and hedgerows seeking to maintain, improve and expand upon existing levels of cover</p> <p>Option 2: Have no policy and instead rely on the NPPF and other Local Plan policies</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was discounted. While it would protect the most valuable trees and woodland, it would not offer protection for locally significant and important trees and woodland, or hedgerows.
Policy S67: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	<p>Option 1: Have a specific, criteria-based policy to protect the best and most versatile agricultural land</p> <p>Option 2: To not have a specific local policy, and instead rely on national policy.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was dismissed because it would not provide the same level of protection for the best and most versatile agricultural land as having a specific policy would.
SUEs, Regeneration Areas and Opportunity Areas				
Policy S68: Sustainable Urban Extensions	Option 1: A policy setting out the general approach to delivering SUEs	Option 1	Option 1	No reasonable alternative has been identified to the preferred policy. It is required to avoid repetition within each of the individual SUE policies to ensure a concise Local Plan and ensure a consistent approach to key requirements across all of the SUEs.
Policy S69: Lincoln Sustainable Urban Extensions	Option 1: A policy setting out in detail the expectations for each	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 has been discounted. Although it is likely to contribute positively in terms of most of the SA objectives, it is less likely to deliver major positive

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE)</p> <p>Option 2: No policy and rely on policy criteria set out in a generic SUE policy (Policy S67 Sustainable Urban Extensions)</p>			benefits, because it is not site specific and does not provide detailed criteria, therefore enhancement opportunities may be missed. The generic nature of this policy option generates a degree of uncertainty in relation to many of the SA objectives.
Policy S70: Gainsborough Sustainable Urban Extensions	<p>Option 1: A policy setting out in detail the expectations from each SUE</p> <p>Option 2: No policy and rely on policy criteria set out in a generic SUE policy (Policy S67 Sustainable Urban Extensions)</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 has been discounted. Although it is likely to contribute positively in terms of most of the SA objectives, it is less likely to deliver major positive benefits, because it is not site specific and does not provide detailed criteria, therefore enhancement opportunities may be missed. The generic nature of this policy option generates a degree of uncertainty in relation to many of the SA objectives.
Policy S71: Sleaford Sustainable Urban Extensions	<p>Option 1: A policy setting out in detail the expectations from each SUE</p> <p>Option 2: No policy and rely on policy criteria set out in a generic SUE policy (Policy S67 Sustainable Urban Extensions)</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 has been discounted. Although it is likely to contribute positively in terms of most of the SA objectives, it is less likely to deliver major positive benefits, because it is not site specific and does not provide detailed criteria, therefore enhancement opportunities may be missed. The generic nature of this policy option generates a degree of uncertainty in relation to many of the SA objectives.
Policy NS72: Lincoln Regeneration and Opportunity Areas	Option 1: A policy which provides a positive framework to promote the redevelopment or regeneration of these areas with specific criteria for each area	Option 1	Option 1	The preferred approach is expected to create a higher degree of certainty for regenerating the identified locations during the plan period. This certainty will encourage inward investment to

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Option 2: A generic policy promoting the regeneration of all of these areas</p> <p>Option 3: No policy with development proposals being considered against general policies in the plan</p>			<p>these sites as clear parameters are set out for each location.</p> <p>Option 2 gives some degree of certainty but is not refined to local circumstances compared to the preferred policy. It allows a broad-brush approach to regeneration to be applied to Central Lincolnshire giving more detail than national based policies and guidance. It has therefore been discounted.</p> <p>Option 3 has also been discounted as it is the least sustainable option when appraised against the SA objectives, and creates the least degree of certainty for regeneration activity within Central Lincolnshire.</p>
Policy NS73: Gainsborough Riverside Opportunity Area	<p>Option 1: A policy which provides a positive framework to promote the redevelopment or regeneration of these areas with specific criteria for each area</p> <p>Option 2: A generic policy promoting the regeneration of all of these areas</p> <p>Option 3: No policy with development proposals being considered against general policies in the plan</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred approach is expected to create a higher degree of certainty for regenerating the identified locations during the plan period. This certainty will encourage inward investment to these sites as clear parameters are set out for each location.</p> <p>Option 2 gives some degree of certainty but is not refined to local circumstances compared to the preferred policy. It allows a broad-brush approach to regeneration to be applied to Central Lincolnshire giving more detail than national based policies and guidance. It has therefore been discounted.</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
				Option 3 has also been discounted as it is the least sustainable option when appraised against the SA objectives, and creates the least degree of certainty for regeneration activity within Central Lincolnshire.
Policy NS74: Sleaford Regeneration and Opportunity Areas	<p>Option 1: A policy which provides a positive framework to promote the redevelopment or regeneration of these areas with specific criteria for each area</p> <p>Option 2: A generic policy promoting the regeneration of all of these areas</p> <p>Option 3: No policy with development proposals being considered against general policies in the plan</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>The preferred approach is expected to create a higher degree of certainty for regenerating the identified locations during the plan period. This certainty will encourage inward investment to these sites as clear parameters are set out for each location.</p> <p>Option 2 gives some degree of certainty but is not refined to local circumstances compared to the preferred policy. It allows a broad-brush approach to regeneration to be applied to Central Lincolnshire giving more detail than national based policies and guidance. It has therefore been discounted.</p> <p>Option 3 has also been discounted as it is the least sustainable option when appraised against the SA objectives, and creates the least degree of certainty for regeneration activity within Central Lincolnshire.</p>
Policy S75: RAF Scampton	Option 1: A policy which provides a positive framework to promote the regeneration of this site as a Regeneration Opportunity Area with specific criteria	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 1 is the preferred option as it sets out a requirement for a masterplan and comprehensive policy criteria.</p> <p>Option 2 has been discounted as it would not require a site masterplan. This could result in less</p>

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
	<p>Option 2: Formal allocation of this site with a strict list of policy requirements but no requirement for a comprehensive site masterplan</p> <p>Option 3: No specific policy for RAF Scampton, with development proposals being considered against general policies in the Local Plan</p>			<p>positive benefits and greater uncertainty as to what may come forward on the site.</p> <p>Option 3 was also dismissed. The absence of a specific policy on RAF Scampton does not promote the site as an area for regeneration once the RAF departs, which could result in a number of negative impacts on the SA objectives, particularly on the site's historic character.</p>
Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople				
Policy S83: Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Accommodation	<p>Option 1: A policy which will deliver adequate pitches to meet the evidenced need and provide a criteria-based policy for considering applications for new sites.</p> <p>Option 2: A policy which will deliver adequate pitches to meet the evidenced need but without a policy framework against which new sites can be considered.</p> <p>Option 3: No policy and instead rely on wider Local Plan policies or national policy.</p>	Option 1	Option 1	<p>Option 2 was discounted. Although it would provide some positives through the allocation of land to meet needs, by not including any criteria for the consideration of other schemes, this would reduce flexibility to deliver additional pitches and is less certain to result in positive effects on many of the SA Objectives.</p> <p>Option 3 was also dismissed as the uncertainty of not allocating sites and not including any locally specific policy is expected to have negative impacts on meeting identified housing needs.</p>
Ministry of Defence Establishments				

Preferred policy in the Local Plan	Reasonable alternatives considered	Preferred Option Regulation 18 Consultation – Draft Local Plan	Preferred Option Regulation 19 Consultation - Proposed Submission Local Plan	Summary of justification for selecting the preferred policy approach over the alternatives
Policy S84: Ministry of Defence Establishments	<p>Option 1: A policy which provides criteria against which development relating to MOD land or assets can be considered</p> <p>Option 2: No policy and instead relying on general policies in the Local Plan and national policy</p>	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2 was discounted as it would not provide an acceptable degree of certainty, potentially introducing risks from development affecting Ministry of Defence sites. Should the Ministry of Defence dispose of a site, this option would not provide certainty in ensuring that the benefit of any redevelopment outweighs any impacts

Appendix 7.2: Potential Residential Sites Rejected at Site Sift Stage

Introduction

The following table documents those sites sifted out of the site allocation process based on the following criteria:

- **National Policy** – The site would be in conflict with national planning policy
- **Threshold** - The site has capacity for less than 10 dwellings
- **Location** - The site:
 - is not within or adjacent the built-up area of settlements identified in the settlement hierarchy as being identified for allocations, or
 - has the potential to harm the character of the open countryside, or
 - the settlement is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations (i.e. limited in services and connections)¹

These sites did not progress to appraisal against the SA objectives as they were not considered to be 'reasonable alternatives'.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
City of Lincoln				
COL/BR/002	Land at 6 Rivermead Close	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
COL/CAR/001	Farmland North of Long Leys Road	1,115	Location	Detached from existing built footprint of Lincoln.
COL/PAR/023	Former Canwick Golf Course	144	Location	Located in the countryside.
North Kesteven				
NK/AUB/005	Meadow Lane, South Hykeham	14	Location	South Hykeham is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/AUB/008	Land off Long Lane, South Hykeham	11	Location	South Hykeham is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/AUB/009	Land to the north of Long Lane, South Hykeham	218	Other	Forms part of the of the larger South West Quadrant SUE allocation which is proposed to be retained.
NK/AUB/013	Crossways Farm, south of A46, west of Old Haddington Lane	24	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/AUB/014	Land west of Sky Lane	34	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/AUB/016	Land south of Long Lane, South Hykeham	56	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/AUN/001	Former Dembleby Plant Centre, land adjacent Beckside, High Street, Dembleby, Sleaford	12	Threshold	Unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings given density of surrounding area.

¹ Central Lincolnshire Site Allocations Settlement Analysis (June 2021)

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
NK/BAS/002	Land adjacent to Bakers Lane, Bassingham	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/BAS/003	Savages Farm, Carlton Road, Bassingham	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/BAS/004	Land to the rear of 5 Croft Lane, Bassingham	4	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/BAS/008	Land south of Vasey Close	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/BBH/002	Land to the west of Cross O'Cliff Court, Bracebridge Heath	832	Location	Development across this site would effectively merge Bracebridge Heath and Lincoln and has the potential to harm the character of the open countryside.
NK/BBS/001	Land off Lincoln Road, Brant Broughton	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/BBS/003	108 High Street, Brant Broughton	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/BBS/004	Land east of High Street, Brant Broughton	71	National Policy	Flood Risk Zones 2 and 3
NK/BIL/008	73 Victoria Street, Billingham	4	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/BIL/009	Land to the rear of the Garage, Tattershall Road, Billingham	17	National policy	Flood Risk Zone 3
NK/BIL/010	Land behind 43 Skirth Road, Billingham	12	National policy	Flood Risk Zone 3
NK/BIL/011	Land West of Skirth Road, Billingham	42	National policy	Flood Risk Zone 3
NK/BIL/013	Fen Road, Billingham	16	National policy	Flood Risk Zone 3
NK/BIL/015	Land to the south of the existing industrial units, Mill Lane, Billingham	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/BRAN/005	Land to the south of Hall Lane, Branston	66	Location	Detached from existing built footprint of Branston and has the potential to harm the character of the open countryside.
NK/CAN/001	School Lane, Canwick	3	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/CLM/003	3 Sands Lane, Carlton Mill, Carlton-le-Moorland	30	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/CUL/001	Land north of Culverthorpe	11	Location	Located within a hamlet.
NK/CUL/002	Agricultural land and buildings, Culverthorpe	11	Location	Located within a hamlet.
NK/CUL/003	Land south of Culverthorpe	13	Location	Located within a hamlet.
NK/CUL/004	Land east of Culverthorpe	12	Location	Located within a hamlet.
NK/DIG/004	Land to the south of Chestnut Close, Digby	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/DOD/001	Land to rear of dwellings, opposite Whisby Garden Centre, Whisby	26	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/DOD/002	Land to the south of Kennel Lane, Doddington	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/DOD/003	Land to the west of Main Street, Doddington	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
NK/DOD/004	Land to the north of Church Farmhouse, Doddington	4	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/DOD/005	Top Farmhouse, Lincoln Road, Doddington	16	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/DOG/001	Land at Tattershall Bridge	290	Location	Detached from existing built footprint of Tattershall Bridge and has the potential to harm the character of the open countryside.
NK/DOR/001	Land behind 24-32 Main Street, Dorrington	16	Location	Potential to harm the character of the open countryside.
NK/DOR/002	Land off B1188, south off Dorrington	10	Threshold	Considered that the site would deliver less than 10 dwellings when taking into account the density and pattern of surrounding development.
NK/DOR/005	Agricultural yard and buildings north of Main Street, Dorrington	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/DOR/006	Land to the east of Lincoln Road, Dorrington	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/DOR/007	Land to the north of Main Street, Dorrington	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/DUNS/004	Land at The Meadows, Lincoln Road, Dunston	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/EAG/001	Land at Eagle Farm, Swinderby	53	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/EAG/007	Large Farm, Eagle Road, North Scarle	26	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/EWE/001	Land at Manor Farm, Evedon	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/GHAL/003	Land east of Orchard Close, Great Hale	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings. (Part of a wider site GHAL/002 which has been allocated)
NK/HAR/002	Land adjacent Coleraine, Vicarage Lane, Harmston	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/HEC/010	Cottage Farm, High Street, Heckington	31	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/HEI/001	Land adjacent Bardney Road & Heighington Fen, Washingborough	47	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/KIRK/001	Land off Mareham Road (site C), Sleaford	1,222	Location	Detached from existing built footprint of Sleaford by railway line and has the potential to harm the character of the open countryside.
NK/LEAS/002	1 Lincoln Road, Leasingham	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/MART/002	Land II adjacent to the Old Hall, Martin	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/MART/003	Land adjacent to the Old Hall, Martin	4	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/MART/006	Land to the west of Linwood Road, north of Mrs Mary Kings Primary School, Martin	2	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/MART/007	Land to the rear of, 26 High Street, Martin	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/MET/004	Former Transport Café, Sleaford Road, Nocton Heath	13	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/NDIS/001	Land at Vine Tree Stable, Main Street, Norton Disney	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/NDIS/002	Land west of Vine Tree Farm, Main Street, Norton Disney	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
NK/NDIS/003	Land west of Butt Lane, Norton Disney	16	Location	Norton Disney is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/NDIS/004	Land south and west of Main Street, Norton Disney	23	Location	Norton Disney is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/NEWT/001	Land at Newton Grange Farm, Newton	31	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/NKYM/001	Vacherie Lane, North Kyme	23	Location	North Kyme is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/NKYM/002	Land to the west of Main Street, North Kyme	10	Location	North Kyme is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/NKYM/003	Burden Group Depot, Main Street, North Kyme	15	Location	North Kyme is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/NOC/007	Land at Kelkherd House, Nocton	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/NRAU/001	Land off Main Street, North Rauceby	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/NSCA/002	Land to the east of South Scarle Lane, North Scarle	56	Location	North Scarle is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/NSCA/003	Land at Eagle Road, North Scarle	31	Location	North Scarle is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/OSB/001	Land behind the Post Office, Osbournby	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/OSB/002	Casswells Farm, Osbournby	15	Threshold	Likely to deliver below 10 dwellings due to forming part of the setting of the Conservation Area.
NK/OSB/003	Northern Osbournby Estate, Osbournby	43	Location	Osbournby is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/OSB/004	Southern Osbournby Estate, Osbournby	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/OSB/005	Land at 8 West Street, Osbournby	10	Threshold	Unlikely to provide 10 or more dwellings.
NK/OSB/006	North Street Farm, North Street, Osbournby	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/OSB/007	Sadlers Farm, London Road, Osbournby	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/RUSK/004	Land adjacent to the existing industrial units, Fen Road, Ruskington	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/RUSK/011	Land at Glen Gary Farm, north of Fen Road, Ruskington	50	Location	Located in the countryside. Detached from the existing built footprint of Ruskington.
NK/RUSK/016	Land north & east of sewage works, Priory Road, Ruskington	176	Location	Located in the countryside. Detached from the existing built footprint of Ruskington.
NK/RUSK/017	Land south of Penneshaw Farm, Sleaford Road, Ruskington	117	Location	Located in the countryside. Detached from the existing built footprint of Ruskington.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
NK/SCOP/001	Land east of Scopwick village at Main Street, Scopwick	25	Location	Scopwick is considered to be too low in the settlement hierarchy for allocations.
NK/SCOP/002	Land north of Main Street, Scopwick	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/SCOP/003	Land off Main Street, Scopwick	14	Location	Scopwick is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/SCOP/004	Land adjacent Bridge Lane, Scopwick	13	Location	Scopwick is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/SCOP/005	Land to the north of Vicarage Lane, Scopwick	19	Location	Scopwick is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/SCOP/006	Scopwick House and Paddock, Scopwick	25	Location	Scopwick is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/SCOP/007	Scopwick House and Paddock, Scopwick	18	Location	Scopwick is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/SCOP/008	Land to the West of Scopwick	28	Location	Scopwick is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/SCOP/009	Land south of Heath Road, Scopwick	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/SCOP/010	Land west of Heath Road, RAF Digby	274	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SCOP/011	Land at 1 Vicarage Lane, Scopwick	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/SCR/001	Land off Station Road, Screddington	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/SCR/002	Land to the west of Poor Garden Lane, Screddington	35	Location	Screddington is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/SCR/003	Land to the south of Gorse Drove, Screddington	16	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/001	Land at Willoughby Road, Silk Willoughby	200	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/003	Site A, Gables Farm, Silk Willoughby, Sleaford	79	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/005	Site E, Gables Farm, Silk Willoughby, Sleaford	75	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/006	Site C, Gables Farm, Silk Willoughby, Sleaford	270	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/007	Site D, Gables Farm, Silk Willoughby, Sleaford	259	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/008	Land adjacent Southview Farm, Silk Willoughby	245	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/009	Site H, Gables Farm, Silk Willoughby, Sleaford	366	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/010	Land to the Southwest of Silk Willoughby	723	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/011	Site F, Gables Farm, Silk Willoughby, Sleaford	135	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/SILK/014	62 School Lane, Silk Willoughby	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/SKEL/008	Land west of Saxilby Road, Skellingthorpe	23	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Skellingthorpe.
NK/SKEL/009	Land north of Western Lane, Skellingthorpe	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/SKEL/012	Land north of Red Lodge, Ferry Lane, Skellingthorpe	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
NK/SKYM/001	Site A, Land at South Kyme	122	National Policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3
NK/SKYM/002	Site B, Land at South Kyme	105	National Policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3
NK/SKYM/003	Site C, Land at South Kyme	11	Threshold	The site is unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings, indicated by planning permission for 5 on site
NK/SKYM/004	Site D, Land at South Kyme	78	National Policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3
NK/SKYM/005	Site E, Land at South Kyme	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/SLEA/003	Land at Northfield Farm, North of A17, Sleaford	1,917	Location	Located in the countryside. Detached from the existing built footprint of Sleaford by the A17.
NK/SLEA/011	Land east of London Road, Sleaford	440	Location	Located in the countryside. Detached from the existing built footprint of Sleaford.
NK/SLEA/012	Land west of A15, adj. Greylees, Sleaford	436	Location	Located in the countryside. Detached from the existing built footprint of Greylees.
NK/SWI/003	Southern Lane, Morton, Swinderby	19	Location	Located in the countryside.
NK/THRE/001	Land adjacent 21 Laundon Road, Threkingham	4	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/TIM/001	Land west of Church Lane, Timberland	207	Location	Timberland is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/TIM/002	Land east and south of St Andrews Church, Timberland	38	Location	Timberland is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/TIM/003	Land west of Bayfield Road, Timberland	77	Location	Timberland is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/TIM/004	Land east of 36 Fen Road, Timberland	31	Location	Timberland is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/TIM/005	Land east of 49 Fen Road, Timberland	20	Location	Timberland is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/TIM/006	Land north of Hallams Lane, Timberland	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/TIM/007	Land north of Station Road, Timberland	32	Location	Timberland is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/TIM/008	Land at Manor Farm, Main Street, Timberland	29	Location	Timberland is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/TOTH/003	Land on the side of A46, between Thorpe Lane & Lincoln Lane, Thorpe on the Hill	335	Location	Located in the countryside. Detached from the existing built footprint of North Hykeham.
NK/TOTH/007	Land between 24 and 30 Lincoln Lane, Thorpe on the Hill	2	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/TOTH/008	Land at Coal Yard, Thorpe on the Hill	18	Location	Located in the countryside.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
NK/WAD/011	Land off Griffins Lane, Station Road, Waddington	200	Location	Potential to harm the character of the open countryside
NK/WAD/018	Land to the east of Larne Road and Hollywell Road, Waddington	1,063	Location	Potential to harm the character of the open countryside
NK/WAD/020	Land to the east of Griffin's Lane, Waddington	43	Location	Potential to harm the character of the open countryside
NK/WAD/022	Land south of Hill Top, Waddington	30	Location	Detached from the existing built footprint of Waddington. Potential to harm the character of the open countryside.
NK/WASH/001	Land at Sheepwash Grange, Washingborough	1,554	Location	Located in the countryside detached from Washingborough by the railway line. Potential to harm the character of the open countryside
NK/WASH/004	Land at Sheepwash Grange and Heighington Road, Washingborough	696	Location	Located in the countryside detached from Washingborough by the railway line.
NK/WCTT/001	Land on the north east side of High Street, Walcott	32	Location	Walcott is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/WCTT/002	Land off High Street, Walcott	33	Location	Walcott is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/WCTT/003	Land east of Castle View, Walcott	43	Location	Walcott is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/WCTT/004	Land west off Butt Lane, west of High Street, Walcott	66	Location	Walcott is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
NK/WELB/001	Land at Beck Street, Welbourn	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/WELB/002	Holme Close, Main Road, Welbourn	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/WELL/002	Land off Highcliffe, Wellingore	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
NK/WELL/003	Land at Home Farm, Vicarage Lane, Wellingore	10	Threshold	Unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings.
NK/WILS/001	Waterloo Farm, Wilsford	86	Location	Located in the countryside.
West Lindsey				
WL/BARD/001	Field at Abbey Road, Bardney	18	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/BARD/006	Land at Southrey, Bardney	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/BARD/009	Land off Wragby Road	39	National policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3
WL/BARD/016	Land to the rear of 59-61 Abbey Road	11	National policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3
WL/BARD/022	Land south of 2 Common Lane, Bardney	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/BARL/001	Fosters Yard, Main Road, Langworth	15	National policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
WL/BIG/001	Land to the north of 6 Smithy Lane, Bigby	88	Location	Potential to harm the character of the open countryside
WL/BRAM/001	Land West of Main Street, Brampton	20	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/BUR/003	Land adjacent Hillside Cottages, Main Street, Burton	2	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/BUR/004	Land to the east of Middle Street, Burton	12	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/CAI/006	Land to the west of Riby Road, Caistor	183	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/CAI/011	Land east of Moor Lane, Caistor	29	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/CW/004	Land South of Green Lane, Cherry Willingham	31	Location	Detached from existing built footprint of Cherry Willingham by the railway line.
WL/DUN/003	Land to the South of Market Rasen Road, Dunholme	37	National policy	Flood Risk Zone 3
WL/DUNH/004	Land south and east of Market Rasen Road, Dunholme	393	Location	Detached from existing built footprint of Dunholme by A46.
WL/DUNH/005	Land to the north of Holmes Lane, Dunholme	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/DUNH/006	Land to the north of St Chad's Church, Holmes Lane, Dunholme	10	Threshold	Unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings.
WL/DUNH/007	Land south west of Waltham House, Lincoln Road, Dunholme	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/DUNH/008	Land south of Lincoln Road, north of A46, Dunholme	13	Threshold	Unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings due to site constraints.
WL/ESTO/001	Land to the North of Carr Lane (adjacent number 15), East Stockwith	26	Location	East Stockwith is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/FAL/001	Land north of Spridlington Road, Faldingworth	10	Threshold	Unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings.
WL/FAL/002	Land at Sycamore Barn, north of Spridlington Road, Faldingworth	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/FEN/001	Land northeast of Maltkiln Lane, Fenton	18	Location	Fenton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/FEN/002	White House Farm, Rampers Lane, Fenton	19	Location	Fenton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/FEN/003	Former Poultry Farm, 24 Lincoln Road, Fenton	22	Location	Fenton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/FEN/004	Land south of Lincoln Road, west of Kettlethorpe Road, Fenton	37	Location	Fenton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/FEN/005	Land east of Kettlethorpe Road, Fenton	32	Location	Fenton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/FEN/006	Land east of Newark Road, Torksey Lock	13	Location	Torksey Lock is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/FISK/002	Tanya Knitwear Factory, Fiskerton	29	Location	Located in the countryside.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
WL/FISK/003	Manor Farm Blacksmith Road, Fiskerton	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/FRIE/001	Manor Farm, Friesthorpe	30	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/FRIE/002	The Yews Farmyard, Friesthorpe	5	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/GAIN/002	Lock up Garages, Spital Hill, Gainsborough	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/GLH/001	Land to West of Bishop Norton Road and north of Seggimore Farm Cottage, Glenthams	23	Location	Glenthams is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/GLH/002	Land off Bishop Norton Road, Glenthams	34	Location	Glenthams is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/GLH/003	The Willows Garden Centre (site 2), Gainsborough Road, Glenthams	13	Location	Glenthams is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/GLH/004	Land adjacent Barff Farm/Barff Meadow, Barff Lane, Glenthams, Market Rasen	15	Location	Glenthams is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/GLH/005	Land adjacent to the Old Chapel House, High Street, Glenthams	23	Location	Glenthams is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/GLH/006	Land to the east of Washdyke Lane, Glenthams	43	Location	Glenthams is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/GLH/007	Land to the west of Seggimoor Farm Cottage, Bishop Norton Road, Glenthams	33	Location	Glenthams is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/GLH/008	The Willows Garden Centre (site 1), Gainsborough Road, Glenthams	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/GLIM/001	Grasby Road, Great Limber	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/GLIM/002	High Street, Great Limber	15	Location	Great Limber is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/GLIM/003	Land west of Cormuir, Brickyard, Great Limber	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/GLW/001	Caenby Corner Field, Hemswell Cliff	84	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/GOL/001	Land adjacent Malt Kiln Cottages, Goltho	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/GRA/001	Land West of Station Road, Grasby	25	Location	Grasby is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/GRAY/001	Land south of Main Road and west of Low Road, Grayingham, Gainsborough	123	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/GRAY/002	Land to the West and the grounds of the Cottage, Manor Lane, Grayingham	56	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/GRAY/003	Walled Garden, Church Lane, Grayingham	26	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/GRAY/004	Land south of Main Road and west of Low Road, Grayingham, Gainsborough	59	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/HACK/001	Land adjacent The Old Chapel, Chapel Lane, Hackthorn	4	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/HACK/002	Land south of Main Street, Hackthorn	10	Threshold	Unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
WL/HEA/001	Chestnut Farm, Heapham	212	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/HEMC/002	52 and 53 Canberra Crescent	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/HOLT/001	Land east of Holton Road, Holton le Moor	81	Location	Holton le Moor is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/ING/001	Land off Grange Lane, Ingham	60	Location	Ingham is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/ING/002	Land off Church Hill, Ingham	108	Location	Ingham is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/ING/003	Land east of Lincoln Road, Ingham	124	Location	Ingham is considered to be unsustainable (limited in services and connections)
WL/ING/003A	Site A, Land east of Lincoln Road, Ingham	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/ING/003B	Site B, Land east of Lincoln Road, Ingham	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/ING/003C	Site C, Land east of Lincoln Road, Ingham	51	Location	Ingham is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/ING/003D	Land at Ingham Road, Ingham	65	Location	Ingham is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/ING/004	Stow Road, Ingham	116	Location	Ingham is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/ING/005	Land east of Saxon Way, Ingham	18	Location	Ingham is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/KET/001	Rear of Bredon, Sallie Bank Lane, Laughterton	20	Location	Laughterton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations)
WL/KET/002	Land adjacent to Gorsebank, Sallie Bank Lane, Laughterton	32	Location	Laughterton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/KET/005	Land west of A1133, Laughterton	49	Location	Laughterton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/KEX/001	Land north of Westgate, Kexby	12	Location	Kexby is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/KEX/002	Land east of Upton Road, Kexby	3	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/KNAI/001	Land north of Willingham Road, Knaith Park	12	Location	Detached from existing built footprint of Knaith Park
WL/LEA/001	Land west of Moor House, Lea	823	National policy	Flood Risk Zone 3
WL/LEA/004	Land off Willingham Road, Lea	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/LEA/005	Land adjacent to Lea Wood, Lea	539	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/LIN/001	Site 1, Land south of Main Road, Linwood	21	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/LIN/002	Site 2, Land east and south of Main Road, Linwood	18	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/LIN/003	Site 3, Land east and south of Main Road, Linwood	17	Location	Located in a hamlet.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
WL/LIN/004	Site 4, Land east and south of Main Road, Linwood	18	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/LIN/005	Site 5, Land east south of Main Road, Linwood	11	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/LIN/006	Site 6, Land south of Main Road, Linwood	11	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/MAR/003	Part of OS5166 field, Marton	18	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/MAR/004	Land north of Trent Port Road, Marton	99	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/MAR/007	Limefield House, High Street, Marton	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/MAR/011	Former railway goods yard, Stow Park	51	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/MAR/014	Land west of High Street, south of 54 High Street, Marton	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/MARK/005	Land south of Pasture Lane, north of Heron Way, Market Rasen	67	Location	Access constraints
WL/MARK/006	Land south of Churchill Avenue, west of Elm Tree Close, Market Rasen	343	Location	Access constraints
WL/MIDR/001	Land East of Manor Drive (Marsh Lane), Middle Rasen	20	National policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3
WL/MIDR/005	Field 5831 on Gallamore Lane, Middle Rasen	21	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/MIDR/006	Land to the north of Rivelin, Gainsborough Road, Middle Rasen	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/MIDR/009	Land south of Gainsborough Road, Middle Rasen	106	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Middle Rasen or Market Rasen.
WL/MIDR/013	Land west of Manor Road, Middle Rasen	102	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Middle Rasen.
WL/MIDR/014	Land south of Gainsborough Road, west of Snowdrift, Middle Rasen	10	Threshold	Unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings.
WL/MIDR/015	Land north of Gainsborough Road, West of Manor Drive, Middle Rasen	140	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Middle Rasen.
WL/MOR/001	South East Side, Field Lane, Morton	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/MOR/002	Land north of Mill Lane, east of Granary Close, Morton	47	National policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3
WL/MOR/003	Land to south-west of Walkerith Road and west of Nursery Vale, Morton	74	National policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3
WL/NHAM/002	Land adjacent Lincoln Road, Nettleham	385	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/NHAM/005	Land off Mill Hill, Bishops Manner Field, Nettleham	51	National policy	The site contains a Scheduled Monument.
WL/NHAM/006	Rear of Watermill House, Watermill Lane, Nettleham	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
WL/NHAM/014	Lodge Farm, Lodge Lane, Nettleham	19	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Nettleham.
WL/NHAM/015	Land to the east of Lodge Lane, Nettleham	80	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Nettleham.
WL/NHAM/017	The Paddock, Wragby Road, Lincoln	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/NHAM/019	Land north of A15 and east of A46, Lincoln	1,018	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Nettleham and Lincoln by the A15.
WL/NHAM/021	Land north of A15, north east of Wragby Road East, Nettleham	358	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Nettleham and Lincoln by the A15.
WL/NHAM/023	Land east of Scothern Road, north of Lechler Close, Nettleham	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/NHAM/026	Land east of A46, north of Deepdale Lane, Nettleham	421	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Nettleham.
WL/NHAM/029	Land rear of Lodge Farmhouse, Lodge Lane	96	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Nettleham and access constraints.
WL/NHAM/030	Land east of Lodge Lane, adjacent no. 39, Nettleham	167	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Nettleham.
WL/NKEL/001	Land west of Little London Lane, north of Little London House	36	Location	North Kelsey is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/NKEL/002	Land north of Carr Lane, North Kelsey	100	Location	North Kelsey is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/NKEL/003	Land east of Grange Lane, opposite The Grange, North Kelsey	21	Location	North Kelsey is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/NKEL/004	Land east of Cemetery Lane, north of Cemetery, North Kelsey	57	Location	North Kelsey is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/NOT/001	Land West of High Street, Newton on Trent	253	Location	Newton on Trent is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/NOT/002	Land west of A1133, north of Timber Yard, Newton on Trent	3	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/NTON/001	Land to the east of Brookfield, Moortown Road, Nettleton	7	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/NTON/002	Land to the north of Nettleton village	261	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/NWIL/001	Land to the north of Main Road, North Willingham	11	Location	North Willingham is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
WL/OSG/001	Owersby Bridge Road, Kirkby cum Osgodby	13	Location	Osgodby is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/OSG/002	Glebe Farm, Kirkby cum Osgodby	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/OSG/003	Rear of Orchard House, Main Street, Osgodby	3	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/OSG/004	Land adjacent The Willows, Low Road, Osgodby	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/OSG/005	Land bordering west of Washdyke Lane, Osgodby	10	Threshold	Unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings.
WL/OSG/006	Land bordering east of Washdyke Lane, Osgodby	31	Location	Osgodby is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/OSG/007	The Old Lorry Yard, Osgodby, Market Rasen	4	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/OWM/001	Vicarage Field, Owmbly by Spital	88	Location	Owmbly is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/PIL/001	Land to the southwest of Pilham Lane, Pilham	37	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/REEP/007	Land to the west of Kennel Lane, Reepham	481	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Reepham.
WL/ROTH/001	Church Paddock, Beckside, Rothwell	4	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/ROTH/002	Land at Villa Offices, Rothwell	14	Location	Rothwell is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/ROTH/003	Land at Villa Paddock, Partridge Drive, Rothwell	25	Location	Rothwell is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SAXI/012	Land between 27 and 33 West Bank, Saxilby	32	Location	Detached from the main existing built footprint of the village by the A57, Fosdyke navigation and railway line.
WL/SCAM/001	Land to the South West of Scampton Village	957	Location	Scampton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SCAM/004	Manor Farm, High Street, Scampton	9	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/SCAM/005	Land at corner of High Street, Scampton	16	Location	Scampton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SCOT/001	Land west of Westfield, Scotton	17	Location	Scotton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SEAR/001	Owmbly near Grasby, adjacent Owmbly Hill	17	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/SEAR/002	Land south of Owmbly Road, Searby	58	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/SKE/001	Land at Caistor Road, South Kelsey	31	Location	South Kelsey is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SKE/002	Land at Skipworth Ridge, north of Waddingham Road, South Kelsey	11	Location	South Kelsey is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SNIT/001	Land south of Moor Road, Snitterby		Location	Snitterby is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SPRI/001	Land at Church Lane, Springthorpe	6	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
WL/SPRI/002	Land between School Lane and Chapel Lane, Springthorpe	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/SPRID/001	Land adjacent The Manor, Owmbly Road, Spridlington	5	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/STOW/001	Land east of School Lane, north of Ingham Road, Stow	16	Location	Stow is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SUD/001	Land south of Church Lane, Sudbrooke	410	Location	Sudbrooke is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SUD/003	Land west of Scothern Lane, Sudbrooke	97	Location	Sudbrooke is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SUD/004	Land off Sudbrooke Lane, Sudbrooke	244	Location	Sudbrooke is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/SUD/005	Land north of Sudbrooke Lane, Sudbrooke	41	Location	Sudbrooke is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/TOFT/001	Land to the north of Alexandria Road, New Toft, Market Rasen	100	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Newtoft.
WL/TOFT/002	Land south of Alexandria Road, New Toft, Market Rasen	111	Location	Newtoft is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/TOFT/003	Land to the south of Alexandria Road and east of Washington Drive, New Toft, Market Rasen	39	Location	Newtoft is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/TORK/001	Dismantled Railway land off Station Road & agricultural land off Main Street, Torksey	12	National policy	Entirely in Flood Risk Zone 3
WL/UP/001	Church Road, Upton	87	Location	Upton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/UP/002	Land at High Street, Upton, Gainsborough	22	Location	Upton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/UP/003	Land north of Padmoor Lane, west of The Glebe, Upton	30	Location	Upton is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/WAD/002	Land north of 1-8 Common Lane, Waddingham	17	National policy	Flood Risk Zone 3
WL/WAD/003	Land at 18 Snitterby Road, Waddingham	3	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/WALE/001	Walesby Village Paddocks, Walesby	19	Location	Walesby is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/WALE/002	Old Post Office, Rasen Road, Walesby	26	Location	Walesby is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/WALE/003	Land to the north of Kestrels, Otby Lane, Walesby	3	Location	Walesby is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations

Site Ref	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Reason for Elimination	Justification
WL/WELT/004	Land off Horncastle Lane, near Scampton, Lincoln	1,905	Location	Located in the countryside detached from the existing built footprint of Scampton
WL/WELT/006	Brook House Farmyard, Heath Lane, Welton	8	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/WELT/010	Land south of Heath Lane, north of Dunholme, Welton	188	Location	Located in the countryside.
WL/WIL/001	Land to the rear of 33 High Street, Willingham	4	Threshold	Below 10 dwellings.
WL/WIL/002	Land at rear of Highfields, B1241, Willingham by Stow	10	Location	Willingham by Stow is considered to be unsuitable for site allocations
WL/WRAS/001	Land off A631 (Old Forge Lane), West Rasen	62	Location	Located in a hamlet.
WL/WRAS/002	Land west of Manor Farm, 631, West Rasen	28	Location	Located in a hamlet.

Appendix 7.3: Reasons for Selecting Preferred Site Allocations

Introduction

The Central Lincolnshire authorities have prepared an evidence report for each policy within the Local Plan. These reports provide background information and justification, including the reasonable alternatives considered and the rationale for the preferred policy. They are the audit trail of policy development for the Local Plan.

The following table therefore only provides a brief summary of the justification for selecting the preferred sites– these should be cross referenced with the corresponding evidence report and appendices for more detail. This can be viewed on the Central Lincolnshire website.

Key:

Selected = Site was/is a preferred site allocation within the Local Plan and shown on the Policies Map

Rejected = Site is a reasonable alternative site for allocation but was/has been rejected for allocation within the Local Plan and is not shown on the Policies Map.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
Policy S76: Residential Development on Sustainable Urban Extensions					
COL/ABB/001	North East Quadrant, Land at Greetwell area, Lincoln	1,400	Selected	Selected	Existing Sustainable Urban Extension allocation in the adopted Local Plan. Part of the site has been granted planning permission and construction has commenced to the northern part of the site. It is near to a number of existing facilities and services and, given its size, would be expected to deliver additional facilities.
COL/BOU/001	Western Growth Corridor, Lincoln	3,200	Selected	Selected	Existing Sustainable Urban Extension allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is located close

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					to Lincoln City Centre and, given its size, would be expected to deliver additional facilities. The site has outline planning permission.
NK/CAN/003	South East Quadrant, Canwick Heath	6,000	Selected	Selected	Existing Sustainable Urban Extension allocation in the adopted Local Plan. It is near to a number of existing facilities and services and, given its size, would be expected to deliver additional facilities.
NK/NHYK/001	South West Quadrant, Land at Grange Farm, Lincoln	2,000	Selected	Selected	Existing Sustainable Urban Extension allocation in the adopted Local Plan. It is near to a number of existing facilities and services and, given its size, would be expected to deliver additional facilities.
NK/SLEA/014	Sleaford South Quadrant ("Handley Chase")	1,450	Selected	Selected	Existing Sustainable Urban Extension allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction. It is near to a number of existing facilities and services and, given its size, would be expected to deliver additional facilities.
NK/SLEA/015	Sleaford West Quadrant, The Drove	1,450	Selected	Selected	Existing Sustainable Urban Extension allocation in the adopted Local Plan. It is near to a number of existing facilities and services and, given its size, would be expected to deliver additional facilities.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/GAIN/001	Gainsborough Northern Neighbourhood SUE	2,500	Selected	Selected	Existing Sustainable Urban Extension allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission. It is near to a number of existing facilities and services and, given its size, would be expected to deliver additional facilities.
WL/GAIN/015	Gainsborough Southern Neighbourhood SUE	2,500	Selected	Selected	Existing Sustainable Urban Extension allocation in the adopted Local Plan. It is near to a number of existing facilities and services and, given its size, would be expected to deliver additional facilities.
Policy S77: Housing Sites in the Lincoln Urban Area					
COL/ABB/002	Former Main Hospital Complex, St Anne's Road, Lincoln	50	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. It is a brownfield site within close proximity to existing services and facilities. The site performs well in the SA, with mainly positive or neutral effects.
COL/BIRCH/001	Land to the rear of Birchwood Centre, Lincoln	49	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has recently been granted permission for 49 dwellings. The site is located adjacent to a district centre and is therefore well located for local services and facilities. The site performs well in the SA, with mainly positive or neutral effects.
COL/BOU/002	Monson Arms Skellingthorpe Road, Lincoln	10	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission for 10 apartments. The site is located close to a local centre and is

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					therefore well located for local services and facilities.
COL/BOU/003	Former Victory Public House, Boultham Park Road, Lincoln	14	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission for 14 dwellings. The site is located close to a local centre and is therefore well located for local services and facilities.
COL/BR/001	Land rear of 251-237 Calder Road, Lincoln	15	Rejected	Rejected	The site falls within Flood Zone 2 and is located within the Green Wedge. There are also access issues and concerns regarding proximity to the school. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to landscape and townscape.
COL/CAR/002	Farmland South of Long Leys Road	507	Rejected	Rejected	The site falls within Flood Zone 2 and is at risk of surface water flooding. It is located within the Green Wedge and is poorly connected to the built footprint. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to landscape and townscape.
COL/CAR/004	Church at Long Leys Road, Lincoln	10	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission for 10 dwellings. Adjacent to the existing built up area.
COL/CAR/005	128-130 Carholme Road, Lincoln	14	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission for 14 apartments. A brownfield site in an existing residential area.
COL/CAS/001	Land north of Ermine West (Queen Elizabeth Road), Lincoln	303	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission for 303 and is under construction.
COL/CAS/002	Land at Yarborough Leisure Centre	39	Selected	Rejected	Since the Reg. 18 consultation on the Local Plan, the site has gained

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					planning permission for student accommodation and therefore has been removed from the preferred housing allocations.
COL/GLE/001	Land off Wolsey Way, Lincoln	14	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission for 14 dwellings. In an existing residential area, close to local services and facilities.
COL/MIN/001	Romangate 2, Land off Flavian Road, Lincoln	60	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site forms part of a wider development at Roman Gate. It is located close to existing services and facilities and there are no major constraints.
CL/MIN/003	Romangate Development, land at Nettleham Road, Lincoln	159	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is currently under construction.
COL/MIN/004	Land off Lee Road, Lincoln	77	Rejected	Rejected	The site was previously an important open space and is a former private sports club. There is limited open space in the surrounding area and this forms an important green space. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to health and wellbeing due to open space loss.
COL/MIN/005	Land at Cathedral Quarry, Riseholme Road, Lincoln	40	Selected	Selected	The site is previously developed land (as a mineral site) within the built footprint of the city and close to existing services and facilities.
COL/MIN/006	Land at Nettleham Road, (Junction with Searby Road), Lincoln	39	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site is close to existing services and facilities.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
COL/MOOR/001	Land north of Hainton Road, Hainton Road, Lincoln (known as Rookery Lane site), Lincoln	40	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission for 40 dwellings. It is located close to existing services and facilities.
COL/PAR/024	Land to the rear of Newark Road, Lincoln	150	Selected	Rejected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan but has been deallocated for the Proposed Submission Draft Local Plan. The site has previously been granted planning permission which has now lapsed. Delivery of the site is therefore questionable.
NK/AUB/001A	Land south of Thorpe Lane, South Hykeham Fosseway	144	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission for 144 dwellings. The site is fairly well located for access to services and facilities.
NK/AUB/002	Land north of 48 Thorpe Lane, South Hykeham	30	Selected	Rejected	The site has planning permission, is under construction and is nearing completion. It is therefore no longer suitable to allocate.
NK/BBH/001	Land at Urban Street, Bracebridge Heath	127	Rejected	Rejected	This is a greenfield site adjacent to the built-up area of the city. There are a number of known constraints. The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and the Area of Great Landscape Value. Historic land use means there is potential for contaminated land. The site falls within a consultation zone for a hazardous installation near to the site. Areas of the site are at risk of surface water flooding. It is also likely that major improvements would be required to Urban Street to facilitate access to the site. The site

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to landscape and townscape and minerals resource.
NK/NHYK/001a	Boundary Lane, South Hykeham	192	Rejected	Rejected	Part of a larger allocation being carried forwards (NK/NHYK/001)
NK/NHYK/001b	Fields off Boundary Lane, South Hykeham	520	Rejected	Rejected	Part of a larger allocation being carried forwards (NK/NHYK/001)
NK/NHYK/001c	Land to the rear of Somersby Manor off Mill Lane, North Hykeham	314	Rejected	Rejected	Part of a larger allocation being carried forwards (NK/NHYK/001)
NK/NHYK/002	Land at Richmond Lakes, North Hykeham	652	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and Richmond Lakes Local Wildlife Site. The site is at risk of surface water flooding. Access is likely to be an issue. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to three objectives.
NK/NHYK/003	Land off 437/439 Newark Road, North Hykeham	356	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and partly within North Hykeham Gravel Pits Local Wildlife Site. The site contains areas of Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping: High Quality and Opportunity for Management. It is at risk of surface water flooding. More than one access point would be required and there are capacity issues at the Station Rd/Mill Lane junction. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to three objectives.
NK/NHYK/009	Land east of Station Road, adjacent Hykeham Station		Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge. The site contains areas of Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping: Opportunity

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					for Management. The site would result in the loss of open space. The Highways Authority has raised concerns regarding proximity to the railway line and impact on the highway network. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to two objectives.
NK/WAD/001	Land to rear of 320 - 378 Brant Road, Waddington	101	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge. The site is partly located within Flood Zone 2 and is at risk of surface water flooding. Access in conflict with Hawthorn Avenue Junction onto Brant Road. Planning application 21/0495/FUL refused. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to landscape impacts.
NK/WAD/002	Land to rear of 382 - 418 Brant Road, Waddington	115	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge. The site is partly located within Flood Zone 2 and is at risk of surface water flooding. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to landscape impacts.
NK/WAD/003	Land off Washdyke Lane, Brant Road, Waddington	84	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and forms an important view across to the River Witham from Station Road. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to two objectives, including landscape impacts.
NK/WAD/004a	Land south of Station Road, Waddington Lowfields	321	Selected	Selected	The site is close to existing services and facilities, would retain the shape

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					and character of the settlement and has good connections to Lincoln.
NK/WAD/005	Land adjacent 127 - 165 Station Road, Waddington	64	Rejected	Rejected	Considered under NK/WAD/004A
NK/WAD/012	Four Acres, Land to the West of 67 Station Road, Waddington	49	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and the Area of Great Landscape Value. It is in a sensitive location for views along the Lincoln Cliff, AGLV and Conservation Area.
NK/WAD/025	Land off Palm Road, Brant Road, Waddington	20	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission for 20 dwellings.
NK/WAD/026	Land to the rear of 117 - 123c Station Road, Waddington	42	Selected	Rejected	The site has planning permission and has been completed. It is therefore no longer suitable to allocate.
NK/WAD/027	Land to the rear of 312 Brant Road, Waddington	65	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge. The site contains areas of Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping: Opportunity for Management. The site is partially located within Flood Risk Zones 2 and 3.
WL/NHAM/001	Land West of Nettleham Road, Lincoln Fringe (Romangate)	97	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/NHAM/022	Land south of A15, east of Lilford Road	41	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the proximity to the roundabout and lack of suitable access. Site at risk of surface water flooding. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.
WL/NHAM/033	Land north of Wolsey Way (Nettleham Fields), Lincoln	243	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					permission and is under construction.
WL/RISE/001	Land off Millbeck Drive, Lincoln	46	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. Located within the existing built footprint of Lincoln.
Policy S78: Housing Sites in the Main Towns					
Gainsborough					
WL/GAIN/003	Land south of the Belt Road, Gainsborough	80	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/GAIN/004	The Depot, North Warren Road, Gainsborough	26	Selected	Rejected	De-allocated.
WL/GAIN/005	Riverside North Housing Zone, Japan Road, Gainsborough	138	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/GAIN/007	Land west of The Avenue, Gainsborough	43	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission.
WL/GAIN/008	Land west of Horsley Road, Gainsborough	49	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
WL/GAIN/009	West of Primrose Street, Gainsborough	58	Selected	Rejected	De-allocated as an individual residential allocation and re-allocated as a regeneration area.
WL/GAIN/010	Town Centre Riverside Housing Zone a, Gainsborough	73	Selected	Rejected	De-allocated as an individual residential allocation and re-allocated as a regeneration area.
WL/GAIN/011	Town Centre Riverside Housing Zone b, Gainsborough	67	Selected	Rejected	De-allocated as an individual residential allocation and re-allocated as a regeneration area.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/GAIN/012	Land south east of Dunstall Walk, Gainsborough	46	Rejected	Rejected	The site is outside of the built footprint of the town and forms part of a belt of open space between the built-up area and A631. Development would result in a loss of open space. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
WL/GAIN/013	Former Middlefield School, Middlefield Road, Gainsborough	95	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/GAIN/014	Former Environment Agency Office, Corringham Road, Gainsborough	34	Selected	Selected	The site is a brownfield site within the built footprint of the town, surrounded by existing residential development and close to existing services and facilities.
WL/GAIN/018	Highfield House and Roan House, Summer Hill, Gainsborough	80	Rejected	Rejected	The site is a heavily wooded area and is covered by a Group Tree Preservation Order. Development of the site would risk the loss of important trees. The site is within a Minerals Resource Safeguarding Area.
WL/GAIN/019	Gateway Riverside Housing Zone, Gainsborough	220	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission for 220 dwellings.
WL/GAIN/020	AMP Rose Housing Zone, Gainsborough	78	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. Planning application submitted. The site is brownfield and is located within the built footprint of the town.
WL/GAIN/021	Former Castle Hills Community College Site, Gainsborough	112	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission for 112 dwellings.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/GAIN/022	Former Ropery Inn, 202 Ropery Road, Gainsborough	14	Selected	Selected	This is a brownfield site with planning permission for 14 apartments.
WL/GAIN/023	The Maltings, 2B Lea Road, Gainsborough	15	Selected	Selected	This is a brownfield site with planning permission and is under construction.
WL/GAIN/024	Land to the rear of 227 - 257 Lea Road, Gainsborough	16	Selected	Selected	This is a brownfield site with planning permission for 16 dwellings.
WL/GAIN/025	The Beckett School, Whites Wood Lane, Gainsborough	25	Selected	Selected	This is a brownfield site with planning permission and is under construction.
WL/GAIN/026	Land at Marshalls Rise, off Spring Gardens, Gainsborough	39	Selected	Selected	This is a brownfield site with planning permission and is under construction.
Sleaford					
NK/KIRK/002	Land off Boston Road, Sleaford	2,981	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site on the eastern edge of the town. The site has a poor relationship with the main built form of Sleaford, being detached from built footprint and separated by the railway. Significant highway improvements may be required, and the site forms the setting of Grade II* listed Sleaford Bass Maltings. The site is also in proximity to the energy from waste site. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to two objectives.
NK/KIRK/004	Former Hoplands Depot, Sleaford	37	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. A brownfield site.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
NK/SLEA/002	Land to the East of CL1013, Poplar Farm, South of A17, Sleaford (Part A)	315	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/SLEA/004	Land off Mareham Lane (sites A2, B1, B2), Sleaford	554	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site on the southern edge of the town. The site is poorly connected to the strategic road network and the existing built footprint of the town. The site forms the setting of the Grade II* listed Sleaford Bass Maltings and the SA identified potential major negative impacts on the historic environment. The site is at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/SLEA/005	Land to south of Sleaford Maltings, Off Keepers Way and Chapel Hill Court, Sleaford	104	Rejected	Rejected	The site is poorly connected to the existing built footprint of the town. The site forms the setting of the Grade II* listed Sleaford Bass Maltings and the SA identified potential major negative impacts on the historic environment. There is no direct access from the highway. The site is at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/SLEA/009	Land at Quarrington	1,696	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site on the southern edge of Quarrington. Development of this site would have adverse impacts on the character and setting of Quarrington and the Grade II* listed St Botolph's Church and the SA identified potential major negative impacts on the historic environment. Significant highway impacts are anticipated that would

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					require major mitigation on A15 junctions and connections to Sleaford Town Centre. Some parts of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/SLEA/013	Land south and west of Quarrington, Sleaford	1,696	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site on the southern edge of Quarrington. Development of this site would have adverse impacts on the character and setting of Quarrington and the Grade II* listed St Botolph's Church and the SA identified potential major negative impacts on the historic environment. Significant highway impacts are anticipated that would require major mitigation on A15 junctions and connections to Sleaford Town Centre. Some parts of the site are at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/SLEA/016	Land west of London Road, Sleaford	187	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/SLEA/017	Land off Grantham Road, Sleaford	377	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/SLEA/018	Land to the rear of Grantham Road Car Park, Grantham Road, Sleaford, Lincolnshire	12	Selected	Selected	This is a brownfield site with planning permission for 12 dwellings. The site performs well in the SA.
S79: Housing Sites in the Market Towns					

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
Caistor					
WL/CAI/001	Land to the South of North Kelsey Road, Caistor	135	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
WL/CAI/002	Land at Sunnyside, west of Tennyson Close, Caistor	60	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
WL/CAI/003	Land adjacent The Paddocks, North Kelsey Road, Caistor	87	Rejected	Rejected	The site relates poorly to the existing built footprint of the town, extending into the countryside. The site is located some distance from the services and facilities in the town centre (just under 2km) and this is reflected in the SA, with major negative impacts predicted in relation to access to services and facilities and sustainable travel modes.
WL/CAI/004	Land to the rear of The Meadows, North Kelsey Road, Caistor	48	Rejected	Rejected	The site relates poorly to the existing built footprint of the town, extending into the countryside. The site is located some distance from the services and facilities in the town centre (approximately 1.5km) and this is reflected in the SA, with major negative impacts predicted in relation to access to services and facilities and sustainable travel modes.
WL/CAI/005	Land to the north of Navigation Lane, Caistor	103	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the proximity to the sewage works. There are access issues. Development of this site could have adverse impacts setting of the Medieval Fishponds Scheduled Monument and the SA identified

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					potential major negative impacts on the historic environment. The site is located some distance from the services and facilities in the town centre and this is reflected in the SA, with major negative impacts predicted in relation to access to services and facilities
WL/CAI/007	Caistor Hospital Site, North Kelsey Road, Caistor	151	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/CAI/008	Land adjacent and to the rear of Roman Ridge, Brigg Road, Caistor	69	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission.
WL/CAI/009	Land north of North Street, Caistor	33	Selected	Rejected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan but there are deliverability issues and therefore the site is proposed to be de-allocated.
WL/CAI/010	Land north of North Kelsey Road, Caistor	39	Rejected	Rejected	The site relates poorly to the existing built footprint of the town, extending into open countryside to the north. The site is located some distance from the services and facilities in the town centre and this is reflected in the SA, with major negative impacts predicted in relation to access to services and facilities and sustainable travel modes.
Market Rasen					
WL/MARK/001	Land adjacent to Davens Court, Legsby Road, Market Rasen	55	Selected	Selected	The site retains the shape and form of the settlement.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/MARK/002	Land off Linwood Road & The Ridings, Market Rasen	131	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission.
WL/MARK/003	Land to the east of Gordon Field & south of Chapel Street, adjoining Market Rasen Railway Station, Market Rasen	36	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. Site performs well in the SA.
WL/MARK/004	Land south and east of Wells Drive, Market Rasen	82	Rejected	Rejected	Highways Authority suggest problem achieving safe access as well as limited width for a new road to serve the development. A Public Right of Way crosses the eastern half of the site. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
WL/MARK/007	Land at Highfield, Linwood Road, Market Rasen	27	Selected	Selected	The site is within the existing built footprint of the town and would retain existing shape and form. The site is adjacent to an existing site with planning permission. The site performs well in the SA.
WL/MARK/008A	Land north of Willingham Road, south-west of Glebe Farm, Market Rasen	48	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
WL/MARK/010	Field between properties known as "Mayfield" & "Wodelyn Cottage", Linwood Road, Market Rasen	45	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan with planning permission.
WL/MARK/011	Land west of Linwood Road, Market Rasen	32	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/MIDR/016	Land north of Gallamore Lane, Market Rasen	71	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. Planning application submitted.
WL/MIDR/017	The Close, land off Gallamore Lane, Market Rasen	16	Selected	Rejected	Since the Regulation 18 consultation, the site has gained planning permission for commercial use.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/MIDR/018	Land east of Caistor Road, Market Rasen	300	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan with planning permission. Note WL/MARK/009 in Reg. 18 Local Plan.
Policy S80: Housing Sites in Large Villages					
NK/BBH/003	Land South of Bracebridge Heath	241	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan with planning permission. The site is well connected and fairly close to the services within the village.
NK/BBH/004	Land to the south east of Bracebridge Heath	439	Rejected	Rejected	Rejected as an individual site as forms part of NK/CAN/003 which has been selected for allocation.
NK/BBH/005	St John's Hospital, Bracebridge Heath	130	Not submitted	Selected	The site has planning permission for the conversion and extension of the former hospital buildings to 182 dwellings and apartments and is under construction.
NK/BIL/001	Land off Sprite Lane, Billingham	73	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village. The site is at risk of surface water flooding. Highway improvements are likely to be required. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to access to services and facilities, employment and education.
NK/BIL/002	Land to the East of Mill Lane, Billingham	65	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
NK/BIL/003	Billinghay Field, Mill Lane, Billinghay	154	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
NK/BIL/004	Land to the south of the Whyche, Billinghay	98	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
NK/BIL/005	Land off Park Lane, Billinghay	65	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
NK/BIL/006	Land to the rear of 79 & 79a Walcott Road, Billinghay	14	Rejected	Rejected	The site is isolated and detached from other selected sites for allocation. No direct access from highway. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/BIL/006a	Land to the rear of 79 & 79a Walcott Road, Billinghay	33	Selected	Selected	This site is an extension of site NK/BIL/006 westwards to join up with existing allocation NK/BIL/002.
NK/BIL/007	Land to the Rear and South of 27-45 High Street, Billinghay, Lincolnshire	33	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission.
NK/BIL/012	Land off West Street, Billinghay	128	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission.
NK/BIL/014	Land adjacent Field Road, Billinghay	64	Rejected	Rejected	Constrained by lack of access. Extends away from built footprint. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to access to employment and education.
NK/BIL/017	Land west of Walcott Road, Billinghay	10	Selected	Rejected	The site has planning permission and has been completed. It is therefore no longer suitable to allocate.
NK/BIL/018	Site of Former Lafford High School, Fen Road, Billinghay	16	Selected	Rejected	Planning permission has lapsed on the site.
NK/BRAN/001	Land off Thacker's Lane, Branston	168	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of Branston.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					The site is constrained by highways access and forms setting of Branston Conservation Area. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to access to services and facilities.
NK/BRAN/002	Land between Mere Road and Sleaford Road	207	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement. Forms setting of Branston Conservation Area. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.
NK/BRAN/003	Lincoln Road, Branston	464	Rejected	Rejected	Large greenfield site which would extends away from the existing built footprint of Branston. Forms setting of Grade II Listed Ashfield House. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.
NK/BRAN/006	Land to the north of Branston Community College	204	Rejected	Rejected	Site is detached from the existing built footprint of Branston and extends away from the village. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.
NK/BRAN/007	Land to the west of Station Road and north of Nettleton Close, Branston	35	Selected	Selected	The site adjoins an existing residential allocation in the adopted local Plan (NK/BRAN/012) and would require access from this allocated site, which has planning permission. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land but otherwise performs well in the SA.
NK/BRAN/008	Land to the north of Moor Lane	120	Rejected	Rejected	Site is edge of settlement. It is at risk of surface water flooding. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
NK/BRAN/009	Land north of Moor Lane, east of the Chalfonts	205	Rejected	Rejected	Site is edge of settlement. It is at risk of surface water flooding. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.
NK/BRAN/010	Land north of Lincoln Road	185	Rejected	Rejected	Site is edge of settlement. Site is in Flood Zone 2 and 3 to the northern edge. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.
NK/BRAN/010A	Frontage north of Lincoln Road	52	Rejected	Rejected	Site is edge of settlement. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.
NK/BRAN/010B	Land west of Milton Close	81	Rejected	Rejected	Site is edge of settlement. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. Site is in Flood Zone 2 and 3 to the northern edge. Archaeological surveys / pre-commencement trial trenching likely to be required
NK/BRAN/011	Frontage north of Lincoln Road, west of Ashfield Cottage Farm	41	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the existing built footprint of Branston. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.
NK/BRAN/012	Land to West of Station Road Branston	109	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/CAN/002	Land to the north of Lincoln Road, Branston	49	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the existing built footprint of Branston.
NK/HEC/001	Land off Howell Road, Heckington	562	Rejected	Rejected	A very large greenfield site which would significantly extend the built footprint of Heckington, adversely impacting on the character and setting of the village. Highways Authority expressed concern around impact on the local road network. Would result in loss of Grade 2

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					Agricultural land. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/HEC/002	Land at Boston Road, Heckington	89	Rejected	Rejected	This is a greenfield site within an existing employment designation. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/HEC/003	Wadeley Grange, 52 Kyme Road, Heckington	35	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by lack of access. Would impact on character and form. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/HEC/004	Land off Sleaford Road, Heckington	38	Selected	Selected	Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land but would retain the shape and form of the existing built footprint of the village.
NK/HEC/005	Land off Burton Road, Heckington	241	Rejected	Rejected	The site is separated from the existing built footprint of the village by the railway line. The site is constrained by access issues and forms the setting of the Grade I listed Heckington Windmill.
NK/HEC/006	Land at Freeston Road, Heckington	76	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by access issues. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The site is at risk of surface water flooding risk. It forms the setting of Grade II listed The Old Vicarage.
NK/HEC/007	Land east of Kyme Road, Heckington	33	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/HEC/008	Land north of Burton Road, Heckington	27	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the main built footprint of the village. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					land. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/HEC/009	Land North of Boston Road, Heckington	49	Selected	Rejected	The site has planning permission, is under construction and is nearing completion. It is therefore no longer suitable to allocate.
NK/HEI/002	Land South of Garratt Close, west of Potterhanworth Rd, Heighington	78	Rejected	Rejected	Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The site forms the setting of Heighington Conservation Area. Would require archaeological investigation and new pedestrian links to the village. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to agricultural land, minerals resources and access to employment.
NK/HEI/003	Land north of Park Lane, Heighington	272	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by access issues (Park Lane insufficient width to support the level of development proposed). There is a Group Tree Preservation Order within the site. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to minerals resources, and access to services and facilities and employment.
NK/HEI/003A	Land north of Park Lane, Heighington	38	Rejected	Rejected	This site is a reduced area of site NK/HEI/003 put forwards during Reg.18 consultation on the Local Plan. Site is constrained by ability to achieve suitable access along Park Lane. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to natural resources water, minerals

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					resources, and access to services and facilities and employment.
NK/HEI/004	Land south of Fen Lane, east of Scholars Way, Heighington	41	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located to the south of Fen Lane and would extend the built footprint further along Fen Lane. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to natural resources water, minerals resources, and access to employment and education.
NK/MET/001	Land west of Metherringham	42	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the existing built footprint of Metherringham, separated by the B1188. Site on the opposite side of the busy B1188 Sleaford Road. Risk of conflict with pedestrian crossing of this road. The site forms the setting of Grade I listed Metherringham Mill. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to agricultural land, minerals resources, sustainable travel, and access to services and facilities and employment.
NK/MET/002	Land adj. to the Moorlands, Metherringham	343	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the existing built footprint of Metherringham, separated by the railway line. The site surrounds an existing employment site. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The site

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					does not perform well in the SA: The site contains an allocated employment site and development of the site in full would result in a loss of employment land resulting in major negative impacts on the local economy objective.
NK/MET/003	Land Northwest of village, Metherringham	329	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/NAV/001	Land adjoining Medical Centre, Grantham Road, Navenby	62	Selected	Rejected	The site has planning permission for extra care provision.
NK/NAV/002	Land East of High Dike, Navenby	19	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into open fields and does not retain shape and form of the village. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to employment.
NK/NAV/003	Land adjoining The Rise, Navenby, Lincolnshire	62	Rejected	Rejected	The Highways Authority raised concerns that there are significant access problems with this site, with little or no scope for mitigation. Site is located within the Area of Great Landscape Value. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The site scored major negative effects in the SA in relation to landscape and townscape, agricultural land, minerals resources, sustainable travel, and access to employment and education.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
NK/NAV/004	Land east of Grantham Road, rear of Homeleigh	87	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement. Access point is of insufficient width to support the development. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to employment.
NK/NAV/005	Land off Winton Road, Navenby	42	Selected	Rejected	NK/NAV/005 and NK/NAV/006, which were selected as individual site allocations at Reg.18, now merged to form NAV/005a to reflect the single planning permission for the site.
NK/NAV/005a	Land at Winton Road and off High Dyke, Navenby	77	n/a	Selected	NK/NAV/005 and NK/NAV/006, which were selected as individual site allocations at Reg. 18, now merged to form NAV/005a to reflect the single planning permission for the site. Site is under construction.
NK/NAV/006	Land off High Dyke	36	Selected	Rejected	NK/NAV/005 and NK/NAV/006, which were selected as individual site allocations at Reg. 18, now merged to form NAV/005a to reflect the single planning permission for the site.
NK/NAV/007	Land at Top Farm Green Man Road, Navenby	127	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/NAV/008	Land to the east of Pottergate Road, Navenby	246	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site to the south of the village which would impact on the character and setting of the village, resulting in a loss of separation between Navenby and Wellingore. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					relation to agricultural land, minerals resources and access to employment.
NK/RUSK/001	Land to the south of Winchelsea Road, off Sleaford Road, Ruskington	361	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site to the south of the village which would significantly extend the existing built footprint of Ruskington and which would impact on the character and setting of the village. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/RUSK/002	Priory Road, Ruskington, Sleaford	44	Rejected	Rejected	During Reg. 18 consultation, representatives for the site submitted altered site boundary to avoid areas at risk of flooding. However, there are still some constraints in relation to impact upon character at this edge of settlement location. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources and access to services and facilities.
NK/RUSK/003	Smiths Farm, Land off Fen Road, Ruskington	156	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by lack of suitable access and flood risk zone 2 across the site. Site at risk of surface water flooding. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, minerals resources and access to services and facilities.
NK/RUSK/005	Field 8, Lincoln Road, Ruskington	83	Selected	Rejected	NK/RUSK/005 and NK/RUSK/006, which were selected as individual site allocations at Reg. 18, now merged to form NAV/005a to reflect

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					the single planning permission for the site.
NK/RUSK/005a	Land east of Lincoln Road, Ruskington	190	n/a	Selected	NK/RUSK/005 and NK/RUSK/006, which were selected as individual site allocations at Reg. 18, now merged to form NAV/005a to reflect the single planning permission for the site. Site under construction.
NK/RUSK/006	Land off Lincoln Road, Ruskington	78	Selected	Rejected	NK/RUSK/005 and NK/RUSK/006, which were selected as individual site allocations at Reg. 18, now merged to form NAV/005a to reflect the single planning permission for the site.
NK/RUSK/007	Land North of Ruskington	172	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
NK/RUSK/008	Land off Leasingham Lane, south of Moor Park, Ruskington	56	Selected	Rejected	The site has been superseded by site NK/RUSK/008A
NK/RUSK/008a	Land off Leasingham Lane, south of Moor Park, Ruskington	54	Selected	Rejected	Following Regulation 18 consultation Lincolnshire County Council Highways raised concerns in relation to Leasingham Lane junction and uncertainty in relation to mitigation works required, deliverability and viability. The uncertainty of a suitable access at this time brings into question the deliverability of the site and so it has been removed as a proposed allocation at this time.
NK/RUSK/009	Land south of Westcliffe Road, west of Cliffe Avenue, Ruskington	221	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site on edge of settlement. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. There are areas of flood risk zones

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					2 and 3 to the northern half of the site. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, minerals resources, and access to services and facilities and employment.
NK/RUSK/010	Land south of Fen Road, east of Hillside Estate, Ruskington	52	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement. Performs well in SA but would extend the existing built footprint of the village. Improved pedestrian links required.
NK/RUSK/012	Land north of Fen Road, opp. No.52, Ruskington	33	Rejected	Rejected	Performs well in SA but detached from the existing built footprint of the village. Improved pedestrian links required.
NK/RUSK/013	Land north of Whitehouse Road, adj. railway, Ruskington	144	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by lack of access, being reliant on a neighbouring site. The site is detached from the existing built footprint of the village. Site is at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, minerals resources, and access to services and facilities and employment.
NK/RUSK/014	Land north of Fen Road, opp. Poplar Close, Ruskington	39	Rejected	Rejected	Performs well in SA but detached from the existing built footprint of the village. Improved pedestrian links required. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/RUSK/015	Land west of Lincoln Road, Ruskington	232	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site to the north of the village. Constrained by access and areas within flood risk zone 3. Site is at risk of surface water flooding. Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
NK/RUSK/018	Land North of Whitehouse Road, Ruskington, Sleaford	73	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan with planning permission.
NK/SKEL/001	Land south of Woodbank, Skellingthorpe	94	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan with planning permission.
NK/SKEL/002	Land at Jerusalem Farm, 63 Jerusalem Road, Skellingthorpe	236	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which extends onto the countryside. Constrained by lack of access (site is land locked with no obvious access points). Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/SKEL/003	Land at Jerusalem Farm, 63 Jerusalem Road, Skellingthorpe	214	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which extends onto the countryside. Site at risk of surface water flooding. Adjacent to Ash Lound and Brick Kiln Holt Local Wildlife Site. The site is almost entirely covered by an area of Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping: Opportunity for Management. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources and access to services and facilities.
NK/SKEL/004	Land at Jerusalem Farm, 63 Jerusalem Road, Skellingthorpe	372	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which is detached from the existing built footprint of the village. A number of access points will be required along with pedestrian/cycle links and bus provision. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to services and facilities and education.
NK/SKEL/005	Land at Jerusalem Farm, 63 Jerusalem Road, Skellingthorpe	384	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which extends away from the existing built

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					footprint of the village. Development of the site would impact on the character and setting of Skellingthorpe and Jerusalem by merging the two settlements. Multiple access points would need to be provided. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources and access to services and facilities.
NK/SKEL/006	Skellingthorpe Moor, off bottom end of Waterloo Lane, Skellingthorpe, Lincoln	171	Rejected	Rejected	Detached from the existing built footprint of the village. Site is constrained by lack of suitable access. The site falls entirely within an area of Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping: Opportunity for Management. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/SKEL/007	Land east of Lincoln Road, Skellingthorpe	280	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan with planning permission. Some access problems or impacts on the local road network, however, could potentially be mitigated through developer contributions and on/off site developer funded infrastructure.
NK/SKEL/010	Land east of Western Lane, south of Beaver Close, Skellingthorpe	29	Rejected	Rejected	Site falls entirely within flood risk zone 2. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and education.
NK/SKEL/011	Land north of Stoney Yard, east of High Street, Skellingthorpe	42	Rejected	Rejected	Site forms the setting of Grade II listed Church of St. Lawrence. The majority of the site falls within flood

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					risk zone 2. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities.
NK/SKEL/013	Land north of Ferry Lane, Skellingthorpe	18	Rejected	Rejected	Detached from the existing built footprint of the village. The site is constrained by access and falls entirely within flood risk zone 2, with zone 3 to the northern boundary. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities.
NK/SKEL/014	Land north of Ferry Lane, adj. pumping station, Skellingthorpe	26	Rejected	Rejected	Detached from the existing built footprint of the village. The site falls entirely within flood risk zone 2, with zone 3 to the northern boundary. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities.
NK/SKEL/015	Manor Farm, Church Road, Skellingthorpe	51	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/SKEL/016	Land South of Ferry Lane, Skellingthorpe	100	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/WAD/006	Hillside Nursery, Station Road, Waddington	65	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and the Area of Great Landscape Value, forming part of the Lincoln Cliff. The site forms part of the setting of Waddington Conservation Area. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, minerals

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					resource, and access to employment and education.
NK/WAD/007	Land off Station Road, Waddington, Lincoln	18	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and the Area of Great Landscape Value, forming part of the Lincoln Cliff. The site forms part of the setting of Waddington Conservation Area. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, minerals resource, and access to employment and education.
NK/WAD/008	Land off Grantham Road, Waddington	199	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and the Area of Great Landscape Value, forming part of the Lincoln Cliff. The site forms part of the setting of the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, noise pollution, minerals resource, and access to education.
NK/WAD/009	Land to the west of Mill Mere Road, Waddington	13	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and the Area of Great Landscape Value, forming part of the Lincoln Cliff. The site forms part of the setting of the village. Awkward access from the Mill Mere Road junction with Stone Lane and High Street. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape and minerals resource.
NK/WAD/010	Land at the corner of High Dyke and Grantham Road, Waddington	85	Rejected	Rejected	Development of the site would extend the built footprint of the village to the north of High Dyke.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, minerals resource, and access to education.
NK/WAD/013	Land at Green Farm, Waddington	134	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and the Area of Great Landscape Value. The Viking Way National Footpath crosses the site north to south. The site is adjacent to Waddington Conservation Area. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape and minerals resource.
NK/WAD/014	Land off Grantham Road, South of Millers Road, Waddington	91	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/WAD/015	Land east of Grantham Road, Waddington	82	Selected	Selected	Would result in loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land, however the site is well connected to the existing built footprint with good access to services and facilities.
NK/WAD/016	Land off Grantham Road, Waddington South	206	Rejected	Rejected	Part of the site has planning permission and is under construction (WAD/024). The remainder of the site is constrained by radar and noise related to the RAF base and is unlikely to be acceptable to the MOD.
NK/WAD/019	Land to the east of Station Road, Waddington	78	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and the Area of Great Landscape Value. The site is located within the Waddington Grassland (Viking Way)

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					Local Wildlife Site and contains areas of Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping: High Quality. There are likely to be access issues as the site does not abut a public highway and nearest highway is a single track. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to biodiversity, landscape and minerals resources.
NK/WAD/021	Land south of Station Road, east of Brant Road, Waddington	71	Rejected	Rejected	Forms part of site NK/WAD/004A
NK/WAD/021A	Land south of Station Road, east of Brant Road, Waddington	35	Rejected	Rejected	Forms part of site NK/WAD/004A
NK/WAD/022	Land south of Hill Top, Waddington	30	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within a designated Green Wedge and the Area of Great Landscape Value. The site forms part of the setting of Waddington Conservation Area. The site is at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape and minerals resource.
NK/WAD/023	Land north of Waddington village, Grantham Road, Waddington	187	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/WAD/024	Land east of Grantham Road, Waddington	139	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/WASH/002	Land at Church Hill, Washingborough	26	Rejected	Rejected	Site forms the setting of Grade II listed Manor Farm and Washingborough Conservation Area. Site at risk of surface water

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, minerals resources, and access to services and facilities.
NK/WASH/003	Land off Pitt Road and Church Hill, Washingborough	98	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
NK/WASH/006	Land South Of Fen Road, Washingborough	185	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission.
NK/WSH/002	Land to the north of Witham St. Hughs (Phase 3)	1,250	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan with outline planning permission. The site performs well in the SA.
NK/WSH/003	Land off Moor Lane, Witham St Hughs.	242	Not submitted	Rejected	This site was received during the Reg.18 consultation. The site is a large extension to the south of the existing settlement. Witham St Hughs is currently undergoing a large amount of development to the north and the infrastructure within the village would be unlikely to be able to support a site of this size. Constrained by Highways capacity, surface water flooding and infrastructure.
NK/WSH/003A	Land off Moor Lane, With St Hughs (Phase 2)	539	Not submitted	Rejected	This site was received during the Reg.18 consultation. The site is a large area to the south-east of the settlement and is constrained by highways capacity, lack of infrastructure in the village, surface

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					water and sewage treatment works within the site.
WL/BARD/002	Former Social Club and Sports Facilities, Wragby Road, Bardney	45	Rejected	Rejected	Would result in the loss of open space (former playing fields). Highways Authority have raised access concerns. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to employment.
WL/BARD/003	Henry Lane, Bardney	30	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by access – there appears to be insufficient width to accommodate access into the site. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to employment.
WL/BARD/005	Land north of Station Road, Bardney	52	Rejected	Rejected	A large part of the site falls into flood risk zones 2 and 3. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The site is constrained by access (would require land dedication from adjacent properties to provide adequate access width). The site falls within a BOM area – Ecological Network Opportunity for Management. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to flood risk and access to employment and education.
WL/BARD/007	21 Wragby Road, Bardney	14	Rejected	Rejected	Forms part of site WL/BARD/012A
WL/BARD/008	Land south of Henry Lane	118	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site extending into the open countryside, which would impact upon the setting and character of the village. Site at risk

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to employment.
WL/BARD/010	Land to east of Bartholomew Close	68	Rejected	Rejected	Majority of the site falls within flood risk zones 2 and 3. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, flood risk, and access to employment.
WL/BARD/011	Land to north of Wragby Road	9	Rejected	Rejected	Forms part of site WL/BARD/012A
WL/BARD/012	Land to south of Jubilee Drive	39	Rejected	Rejected	Forms part of site WL/BARD/012A
WL/BARD/012A	Land to the north of Abbey Road and Wragby Road, Bardney	54	Selected	Selected	The site is located within the existing built footprint of the village. It is close to the village centre and retains the shape and form of the settlement.
WL/BARD/013	Land to west of Wragby Road	45	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by lack of suitable access, risk of surface water flooding and areas of flood risk zone 2 and 3. Development on this site could have an impact on medieval remains associated with the settlement of Bardney. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to employment.
WL/BARD/014	Land to east of Wragby Road	47	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village into the countryside. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The site is within 500m of Bardney Limewoods SSSI, NNR and Ancient Woodland. Improved pedestrian links required.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to employment.
WL/BARD/015	Land west of Wragby Road and to east of Abbey Road	289	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which extends away from the existing built footprint of the village into the countryside. The site is within 500m of Bardney Limewoods SSSI, NNR and Ancient Woodland. The site is constrained by areas within flood risk zones 3 and 3. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and employment.
WL/BARD/017	Land to north of Scotgrove Farm, Henry Lane	296	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which extends away from the existing built footprint of the village into the countryside. The southern half of the site falls within flood risk zones 2. The site is within 500m of Bardney Limewoods SSSI, NNR and Ancient Woodland. The site is constrained by access (no direct access from highway - can only be delivered after WL/BARD/014 or WL/BARD/018, or with significant improvement to Field Lane which is private). The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to services and facilities and employment.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/BARD/018	Land north of Henry Lane, east of Barndey Primary School	148	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the existing built footprint of the village and would extend the village into the countryside. The site is within 500m of Bardney Limewoods SSSI, NNR and Ancient Woodland The site falls within flood risk zones 2 and 3. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to employment.
WL/BARD/019	Land west of Abbey Road	39	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village into the countryside and is constrained by flood risk zones 2 and 3. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The site is wholly within a BOM area – Ecological Network Opportunity for Management. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to services and facilities, employment and education.
WL/BARD/020	Land at Field Lane, East of Wragby Road, Bardney	65	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/BARD/021	Phase Three, Manor Farm Development, Horncastle Road and south of Station Road, Bardney	162	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/BARD/023	Land west of Common Lane, Bardney	40	Rejected	Rejected	The site performs reasonably well in the SA; however, the site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village into the countryside.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					Site is at risk of surface water flooding. Existing road network would require widening.
WL/CW/001	Land North of Rudgard Avenue, Cherry Willingham	97	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. Planning application submitted.
WL/CW/002	Land East of Rudgard Avenue, Cherry Willingham	133	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
WL/CW/003	Land East of Thornton Way, Cherry Willingham	200	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
WL/CW/005	Land adjacent 4 Hawthorn Road, Cherry Willingham	41	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located at Little Cherry, detached from services at Cherry Willingham.
WL/CW/006	Land south of Hawthorn Road, Cherry Willingham	321	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which would form a significant extension to the village. Its connection to the centre and facilities is constrained by the railway line and existing development to the south. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution.
WL/CW/007	Land north of Fiskerton Road, west of Waterford Lane, Cherry Willingham	201	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which extends into the countryside. Site at risk of surface water flooding. Improved pedestrian links required. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution.
WL/CW/008	Land south of Fiskerton Road, Cherry Willingham	87	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the open countryside and is detached from the main village by the road. Site falls within the Green Wedge. Site at risk of surface water flooding. Improved pedestrian links required. The SA identified major negative

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					effects in relation to landscape and minerals resource.
WL/CW/009	"Land at Eastfield Rise Farm", Fiskerton Road, Cherry Willingham, Lincoln,	155	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission for 155 dwellings and a marina development.
WL/DUNH/001	Land North of Market Rasen Road, Dunhome	176	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which extends away from the village. The site is constrained by access (would require 3 rd party land) and is partly within the Green Wedge. Development of the site would reduce the separation between Dunholme and Welton. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape and access to employment.
WL/DUNH/002	Land north of Market Rasen Road, Dunholme	85	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the village. It is constrained by flood risk zones 2 and 3. Improved pedestrian links required. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
WL/DUNH/008	Land south of Lincoln Road, north of A46, Dunholme	13	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by surface water flood risk, the roads and limited size of site when taking the constraints into account. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to healthy lifestyles and noise pollution.
WL/DUNH/009	Land north of Honeyholes Lane, west of recreation ground, Dunholme	60	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from other residential development. It falls within the Green Wedge. It is constrained by proximity to industrial uses. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					landscape and access to services and facilities.
WL/DUNH/010	Land south of Honeyholes Lane, north of Waltham House, Dunholme	63	Selected	Selected	This site adjoins an existing residential allocation within the Local Plan. The site would retain the shape and form of the village. The site performs well in the SA.
WL/DUNH/011	Land North of Honeyholes Lane, Dunholme	64	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/DUNH/012	Land to the South Honeyholes Lane (Lincoln Road), Dunholme	264	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/KEE/001	Land south of Stallingborough Road, Keelby	80	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has outline planning permission. Improved pedestrian links required.
WL/KEE/002	Land north of Mill Lane, Keelby	16	Rejected	Rejected	Development on this site could have an impact on medieval remains associated with the settlement of Keelby. Earthwork remains of potential house platforms, which may still be upstanding have been identified within this site and are recorded in the Historic Environment Record. Highways improvements required to Mill Lane, including footway links. Site at risk of surface water flooding. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and access to employment.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/KEE/003	Land at Church Lane, Keelby	100	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
WL/NHAM/003	Land to the north of Kingsway, Nettleham, Lincoln, LN2 2PY	110	Rejected	Rejected	The site would result in the loss of open space and does not accord with the Neighbourhood Plan. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, agricultural land, minerals resources, and access to education.
WL/NHAM/004	Land off Mill Hill Allotments, Nettleham	179	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village. The site includes a designated Local Green Space to the north west corner of the site. Site at risk of surface water flooding. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. It is constrained by a lack of access (site appears to be 'land locked' with no obvious access points). The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, minerals resources, and access to employment.
WL/NHAM/007	Land north of Deepdale Lane, Nettleham	179	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which extends away from the existing built footprint of the village into the countryside. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and minerals resources.
WL/NHAM/008	Land off High Leas, Nettleham	145	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which extends away from the existing built

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					footprint of the village into the countryside. The site is constrained by proximity to sewage treatment works, flood risk and lack of access (site appears to be 'land locked' with no obvious access points). Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to employment and education.
WL/NHAM/009	Land north of The Hawthorns & Larch Avenue, Nettleham	116	Rejected	Rejected	Large greenfield site detached from the existing built footprint of the village. The site is constrained by proximity to sewage treatment works, flood risk and lack of access. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resources, and access to employment and education.
WL/NHAM/010	Land off Larch Avenue (rear of 67 Sudbrooke Lane), Nettleham	46	Selected	Selected	The site is located at the edge of the settlement but retains shape and form when taking NHAM/018 into account. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land, however a relatively unconstrained site which would round off this edge of the village.
WL/NHAM/011	East of Brookfield Avenue, Nettleham, Lincoln	57	Selected	Selected	The site is at the edge of settlement, however when taking NHAM/018 into account it would retain the shape and form. Some constraints in relation to flood zone 2 to the northern part of the site and access

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					would be required to be achieved via NHAM/018. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land.
WL/NHAM/012	Land north of Sudbrooke Lane, Nettleham	96	Rejected	Rejected	Site is detached from the existing built footprint of the village and extends into the countryside. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, minerals resources, and access to education.
WL/NHAM/013	Land at and adjacent to 40 Lodge Lane, Nettleham	16	Rejected	Rejected	Extends the built footprint along Lodge Lane. The site is located some distance from services and facilities within the village centre. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, and access to education.
WL/NHAM/018	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation C - East of Brookfield Avenue, North of The Hawthorns	63	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.
WL/NHAM/020	Land east of Lodge Lane, north of no.40, Nettleham	29	Rejected	Rejected	Extends the built footprint along Lodge Lane. The site is located some distance from services and facilities within the village centre. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, and access to education.
WL/NHAM/024	Land north of Lechler Close, Nettleham	128	Rejected	Rejected	The site is a relatively unconstrained site, close to existing residential development. The site area extends

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					into countryside further than existing built footprint on Scothern Road. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. Site at risk of surface water flooding. Improved pedestrian links required.
WL/NHAM/024a	Land north of Lechler Close, Nettleham	72	Selected	Selected	The site is located close to existing development. The site area had been amended from NHAM/024 to better reflect the existing built line on Scothern Road.
WL/NHAM/025	Land west of Aima Court and north of Lechler Close, Nettleham	299	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site close to existing residential development. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. Would require access through a neighbouring site. The site boundary extends beyond the existing footprint on Scothern Road. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, minerals resource and access to employment education.
WL/NHAM/025A	Land west of Aima Court and north of Lechler Close, Nettleham	147	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site which is relatively unconstrained site, close to existing residential development. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 Agricultural land. Would require access through a neighbouring site. The site boundary extends beyond the existing footprint on Scothern Road. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, minerals resource

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					and access to employment education.
WL/NHAM/027	Land east and south-east of 31 Greetwell Road	299	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site which extends away from the existing built footprint of the village. The site forms the setting of the Bishop's Manor Scheduled Monument, Nettleham Conservation Area and Green Wedge. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the historic environment, agricultural land and minerals resource.
WL/NHAM/028	Land south of Beech Avenue, west of Greetwell Road, Nettleham	94	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village. It is located within the Green Wedge. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the landscape, agricultural land and minerals resource.
WL/NHAM/032	Linelands, Neighbourhood Plan Allocation D - All Saints Lane, Nettleham	30	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site performs well in the SA.
WL/NHAM/034	Land to the rear of 72 Scothern Road, Nettleham	68	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission.
WL/NHAM/035	Land of Lodge Lane, Nettleham	44	Selected	Rejected	The site is an existing allocation which is now completed.
WL/NHAM/036	Neighbourhood Plan Allocation A - Land at Deepdale Lane	15	Selected	Rejected	The site has planning permission, is under construction and is nearing completion. It is therefore no longer suitable to allocate.
WL/SAXI/001	Land East of Sturton Road, Saxilby	27	Rejected	Rejected	The site would result in linear development into open countryside. The SA identified major negative

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					effects in relation to healthy lifestyles and access to education.
WL/SAXI/002	Church Lane Field, Church Lane, Saxilby, Lincoln	89	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of Saxilby into countryside. Widening works to the existing highway would be required. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and education.
WL/SAXI/003	Land to the north of Church Lane, Saxilby	173	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into countryside and is constrained by the location within flood risk zone 2. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and education.
WL/SAXI/004	Land off Sykes Lane, Saxilby	134	Selected	Selected	The site adjoins an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan (WL/SAXI/013) and retains shape and form of the village.
WL/SAXI/006	Land east of Daubeney Avenue, Saxilby	72	Rejected	Rejected	The site would retain the shape and form of the village. Some constraints with the access to the site (issues with access onto the A57. Visibility unachievable without obtaining third party land). The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution.
WL/SAXI/006a	Land south of Mays Lane, north of Lincoln Road, Saxilby	55	Rejected	Rejected	The site would require the neighbouring WL/SAXI/006 to come forwards first. Some constraints with the access to the site and location within flood risk zones 2 and 3. Highway capacity issues with Mill

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					Lane/A57 junction Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and education.
WL/SAXI/007	Land west of Rutherglen Park, Saxilby	17	Selected	Selected	The site is a brownfield site within the existing built footprint of the village that retains the shape and form of the settlement. Site performs well in the SA.
WL/SAXI/008	Land west of Sykes Lane, Saxilby	182	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site which would extend into the countryside. The site constrained by flood risk. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, access to services and facilities and education.
WL/SAXI/009	Land east of Sturton Road, south of Broxholme Lane, Saxilby	95	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village into the countryside. Improvements to Broxholme Lane will be required, potential widening and footway provision. May have impact on B1241/A57 junction. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
WL/SAXI/010	Land west of Sturton Road, Saxilby	40	Rejected	Rejected	The site would adjoin an existing site allocation (WL/SAXI/014) however, it would extend further into the countryside and potentially impact on the setting of the Grade I listed Church of St Botolph's. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to healthy lifestyles,

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					access to services and facilities and education.
WL/SAXI/011	Land east of Sturton Road, north of Broxholme Lane, Saxilby	83	Rejected	Rejected	The site would extend into the countryside and introduce development to north of Broxholme Lane. Potential impact on B1241/A57 junction. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
WL/SAXI/013	Land off Church Lane, Saxilby	233	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/SAXI/014	Land off Sturton Road, Saxilby	133	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/SCO/001	Rear of 77 Gainsborough Road, Scotter	16	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside and doesn't relate to the existing built form of the village. The site is constrained by lack of suitable access (site is land locked with no access to highway). The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to minerals resource, services and facilities, employment and education.
WL/SCO/002	Land off Scotton Road, Scotter	24	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to minerals resource and employment.
WL/SCO/003	Land off Becks Lane, Scotter	29	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village and doesn't relate to the existing

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					form. The site could be constrained by the lack of suitable access. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to minerals resource, services and facilities, employment and education.
WL/SCO/004	Land south of Kirton Road, Scotter	93	Rejected	Rejected	The site retains settlement shape and form but is constrained by lack of suitable access point. Improvement to pedestrian links required. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to minerals resource, services and facilities, employment and education.
WL/SCO/005	Land north of Kirton Road, Scotter	100	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village. Improvement to pedestrian links required. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to minerals resource and employment.
WL/SCO/006	Land to the northeast of Scotter	81	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village. It is located some distance from the village centre. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, minerals resource and access to employment and education.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/SCO/007	Land to the west of Scotton Road, south of Westcliffe Road, Scotter	124	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village into the countryside. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to minerals resource and employment.
WL/SCO/008	Land east of The Granary, north of Granary Fold, Scotter	48	Rejected	Rejected	The site would retain the shape and form of the village, however there is some concern about the proximity of the site to flood risk zone 3 to the east. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resource and access to employment and education.
WL/SCO/009	Land west of Messingham Road, east of North Moor Road, Scotter	93	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village and is detached from the settlement. May require mitigation/improvements to highway. Pedestrian links required. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, minerals resource and access to employment and education.
WL/SCO/010	Land east of Evergreen Farm, Becks Lane, Scotter	24	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village and doesn't relate to the existing form. The site could be constrained by the lack of suitable access. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resource, sustainable travel modes and, access to services and facilities, employment and education.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/SCO/011	North Moor Road, Scotter	51	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan with planning permission.
WL/SCO/012	Land East of North Moor Road, Scotter	42	Rejected	Selected	The site was an allocation in the adopted Local Plan. It was rejected at Regulation 18 stage as it had been withdrawn. A planning application has since been submitted for the site and is awaiting determination.
WL/WELT/001	Prebend Lane, Welton, Lincoln	411	Rejected	Rejected	A large greenfield site which would significantly extend the existing built footprint of the village to the north and west of existing development. Section of Prebend Lane is a PRoW with no vehicular access and cannot be used as an access point. The site is within Source Protection Zones 1, 2 and 3. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to natural resources – water and minerals resource.
WL/WELT/001A	Prebend Lane, Welton, Lincoln	195	Selected	Selected	This site is a reduced area of WL/WELT/001. The reduced site area retains the shape and form of the settlement. The site performs well in the SA.
WL/WELT/002	Hackthorn Road, Welton, Lincoln	47	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and employment.
WL/WELT/003	Land at The Hardings, Welton	50	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/WELT/005	Land to the south of Northbeck Farm, Northbeck Lane, Welton	311	Rejected	Rejected	This large greenfield site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village. The site predominantly falls within a Biodiversity Opportunity Mapping Area: Opportunity for Management. It is constrained by flood risk to the northern half of the site and contains and forms the setting of the Medieval Fishpond scheduled monument. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to historic environment, agricultural land and minerals resource.
WL/WELT/007	Land east of Prebend Lane, Welton	104	Selected	Selected	The site adjoins an existing site allocation (WL/WELT/011) and would retain the shape and character of the settlement.
WL/WELT/008	Land north of 77 Eastfield Lane, Welton	124	Rejected	Rejected	The site would extend the existing built footprint of the village into countryside to the north. Highways improvements would be required. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities, employment and education.
WL/WELT/008A	Land north of 77 Eastfield Lane, Welton	109	Selected	Selected	The site has revised boundaries (to those proposed in WL/WELT/008) to better reflect the existing built line of the village to the north.
WL/WELT/009	Land south of Heath Lane, Welton	164	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the existing built footprint of the village and is constrained by flood risk. Site at risk of surface water flooding.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					Pedestrian links required. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, minerals resource and access to services and facilities.
WL/WELT/011	Land to East of Prebend Lane, Welton	288	Selected	Selected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/WELT/012	Land south of Cliff Road, Welton	63	Selected	Rejected	Existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan. The site has planning permission and is now complete.
Policy S81: Housing Sites in Medium Villages					
NK/BAS/001	Land to the east of Middlegate, Bassingham	33	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located on the edge of settlement and is considered to have potential for character and form impacts on the village.
NK/BAS/005	Land at Thurlby Road, Bassingham	92	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the location at the edge of settlement, extending into open countryside. There are some small areas of surface water flood risk in the site.
NK/BAS/006	Land east of Lincoln Road	32	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the location at the edge of settlement, extending into open countryside. There are some small areas of surface water flood risk in the site.
NK/BAS/007	Land south of Torgate Road and east of Carlton Road	24	Selected	Selected	The site is included within Bassingham Neighbourhood plan. It is proposed to be allocated in accordance with the Neighbourhood Plan.
NK/BAS/009	Land south of Linga Lane, Bassingham	56	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located at the edge of settlement and would extend into

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					the countryside which is considered to have character and form impacts. There is an area of surface water flood risk within the site.
NK/BAS/010	Land at Whites Lane, Bassingham	35	Selected	Selected	The site is allocated within the Neighbourhood Plan.
NK/BBS/002	Land off West Street, Brant Broughton	29	Rejected	Rejected	The site is an edge of settlement location, constrained by being located within the setting of Grade II Listed Buildings and the Conservation Area. There is a PROW through the site.
NK/BBS/005	Land south of Mill Lane, Brant Broughton	153	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the built footprint of the settlement. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, and access to employment.
NK/BBS/006	Land west of High Street, Brant Broughton	136	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located at the edge of the settlement and partially within Flood Zone 2 and 3. Potential for impacts on character and form of the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, agricultural land, and access to employment.
NK/CRA/001	Land south of Sleaford Road, Cranwell	26	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement, character impacts. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to education.
NK/CRA/002	Land off Sycamore Drive, Cranwell	29	Selected	Rejected	The planning permission for the site has lapsed since the Reg.19 consultation.
NK/DIG/001	Land North of Station Road, Digby	46	Selected	Selected	Planning application submitted and part of site is under construction.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
NK/DIG/002	Land to the East of Station Road, Digby	47	Rejected	Rejected	Large site on the edge of the settlement, character impacts. Some risk of surface water flooding in the site. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and employment.
NK/DIG/003	Land at 38 North Street, Digby	10	Rejected	Rejected	A small site, possibly unable to deliver 10 or more dwellings. Area has been subject to flood. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and employment.
NK/DIG/005	Land to the east and south of Beck Street, Digby	295	Rejected	Rejected	Large site on the edge of the settlement, character impacts. The site is at risk of surface water flooding. Access possible however limited opportunity to improve, which would be required to support this level of development. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and, access to services and facilities and employment.
NK/DIG/006	Land off Station Road, Digby	17	Selected	Rejected	The site is under construction and is expected to be completed by March 2022.
NK/DUNS/001	Land off Fen Lane, Dunston	25	Selected	Selected	Part of the site has planning permission.
NK/DUNS/002	Land south of village, Dunston	14	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement. Some risk of surface water flooding on the site. Would involve the loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					agricultural land and minerals resource.
NK/DUNS/003	Land to the rear of The Orchards, Lincoln Road, Dunston	19	Rejected	Rejected	The site has an area of Flood Zone 2 and 3 to the edge of the site, reducing capacity. The site is within Source Protection Zone 1. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to natural resources – water, agricultural land and minerals resource.
NK/DUNS/005	Land to the south of Back Lane, Dunston	35	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of village, character impacts. The site is within Source Protection Zone 1. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to natural resources – water, noise pollution, agricultural land and minerals resource.
NK/DUNS/006	Land to the south of Back Lane, Dunston	12	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of village, character impacts. The site is within Source Protection Zone 1. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to natural resources – water, noise pollution, agricultural land, minerals resource and access to services and facilities.
NK/DUNS/007	Land east of Willow Lane, Dunston	30	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement constrained by access and character impacts. Willow Lane is of insufficient width to support this level of development with limited opportunity for widening and provision of footway. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and minerals resource.
NK/DUNS/008	Land north of Back Lane, Dunston	31	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement constrained by access and character impacts.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					Proposed access road is of insufficient width to support this level of development. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and minerals resource.
NK/DUNS/009	Land north of Dunston C of E School, Back Lane, Dunston	34	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement constrained by access and character impacts. Proposed access road is of insufficient width to support this level of development. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and minerals resource.
NK/EAG/002	Land west of Eagle Moor, Eagle	138	Rejected	Rejected	Large site on the edge of the settlement, character impacts. The site is at some risk of surface water flooding.
NK/EAG/003	Rear of 20 Hilltop Close, Eagle	16	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement, constrained by Listed buildings
NK/EAG/004	Land off Eagle Moor, Eagle	15	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement, inappropriate linear form of development.
NK/EAG/005	Land at Back Lane, Eagle	16	Selected	Selected	The site is relatively unconstrained and relates well to existing built footprint.
NK/EAG/006	Land to the south of Thorpe Lane, Eagle	21	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement extends away from built footprint. The site is at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/EAG/008	Land south of Scarle Lane, Eagle	10	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement, character impacts. Impacts on listed buildings.
NK/EAG/009	Land east of High Street, north of Falcon Close, Eagle	12	Rejected	Rejected	Constrained by access opposite junction and group TPO on site.
NK/EAG/010	Land to the east of Daltons Close, Eagle	16	Rejected	Rejected	The site is relatively unconstrained and adjoins proposed allocation NK/EAG/005. It is considered that

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					the site could form a future phase of NK/EAG/005. Propose not to allocate at this time.
NK/GHAL/001	Orchard Street, Great Hale	12	Rejected	Rejected	Within built footprint, constrained by Listed buildings. Some parts of the site are at risk of surface water flooding. No direct access from highway. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and access to education.
NK/GHAL/002	Land at Hall Farm, Great Hale	19	Selected	Selected	Part of site has planning permission.
NK/GHAL/002A	Land south of Hall Road, Great Hale	10	Rejected	Rejected	The site is part of larger site, with partial planning permission. It is proposed to allocate the wider site (GHAL/002).
NK/GREY/001	Orchard House Rauceby Hospital Grantham Road South, Greylees	40	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
NK/HAR/001	Land off Church Lane, Harmston	91	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by group TPOs and is located within the Area of Great Landscape Value. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, noise pollution, agricultural land, minerals resource and, access to employment and education.
NK/HAR/003	Land south of Windmill, Grantham Road, Waddington	38	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within the Area of Great Landscape Value and within 100m of the Green Wedge. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, agricultural land, minerals resource and, access to education.
NK/HELP/001	Land to the rear of 40 George Street, Helpringham	31	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the access and proximity to railway. Some risk of surface water flooding to parts of the site. Access of insufficient width to support this level of development. Improvement works to local roads would be required. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and, access to services and facilities.
NK/LEAS/001	Land off Meadow Lane, Leasingham	25	Selected	Selected	The site is well connected to Leasingham and close to services at Sleaford.
NK/LEAS/003	Land east of Roxholme Road, Leasingham	67	Rejected	Rejected	Site is edge of settlement and would have impacts on character of village.
NK/LEAS/004	Land west of Roxholme Road, Leasingham	308	Rejected	Rejected	A large site on edge of settlement, would have character impacts. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and access to education.
NK/LEAS/005	Land south of Moor Lane, Leasingham	120	Rejected	Rejected	Detached from village, extends away from settlement in open countryside. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and, access to services and facilities and education.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
NK/LEAS/006	Land north of Moor Lane, Leasingham	78	Selected	Selected	Planning permission has been granted on part of site.
NK/NOC/001	Land off Main Street, Nocton	24	Rejected	Rejected	The site is within the Conservation Area and close to listed buildings.
NK/NOC/002	Land off Potterhanworth Road, Nocton	15	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by surrounding roads.
NK/NOC/003	Land at RAF Nocton Hospital & Nocton Hall, Nocton	342	Rejected	Rejected	Large site, constrained by Listed buildings, group TPO on the site and areas at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/NOC/004	Land north of Rostrop Road, Nocton	92	Rejected	Rejected	A large site extending into open countryside, with impacts on the character and scale of the village. Constrained by access.
NK/NOC/005	Land south of Wellhead Lane, west of no.77, Nocton	13	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement extends into countryside. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resource and, access to employment and education.
NK/NOC/006	Land south of B1202, west of Kelkherd House, Nocton	17	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of settlement location, linear development.
NK/POT/001	Land off Barff Road, Potterhanworth	10	Rejected	Rejected	Constrained by the Conservation Area and listed buildings.
NK/POT/003	The Manor House Paddocks, Nocton Road, Potterhanworth	33	Rejected	Rejected	The site would fill an existing gap between distinct areas of the village. Constrained by Flood Zone 2 and 3 to the south of the site and setting of Conservation Area. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/POT/004	Land off Moor Lane, Potterhanworth	48	Rejected	Rejected	The site would extend the built footprint into the open countryside. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities, employment and education.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
NK/POT/005	Land north of Barff Road, east of Main Road, Potterhanworth	60	Rejected	Rejected	The site is of a size and scale that would impact on the character of the area.
NK/POT/007	Land at Station Road & Cross Street, Potterhanworth	18	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
NK/WELB/003	Main Road, Welbourn, Lincoln	12	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by surface water flood risk and is located within the Area of Great Landscape Value. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, noise pollution and, access to employment.
NK/WELB/004	Land adjacent to A607, Welbourn	33	Rejected	Rejected	The site would result in the loss of important open space and is constrained by the proximity to a number of listed buildings and is located within the Area of Great Landscape Value. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, noise pollution and, access to employment.
NK/WELB/005	Land north of Cliff Road, Welbourn	147	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by risk of surface water flooding and impacts on character of the village. The site is located within the Area of Great Landscape Value. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, noise pollution and, access to employment.
NK/WELB/006	Borfa-Wen Farm, Hall Orchard Lane, Welbourn	14	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
NK/WELL/001	Land adjacent to The Mill, Wellingore	33	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by its location within the Area of Great Landscape Value. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, noise pollution, agricultural land, minerals resource and, access to employment and education.
NK/WELL/002A	Land at Highcliffe, Wellingore	17	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission. The larger site retains shape and character of the settlement and is well connected to the surrounding built footprint. There is a historic planning permission that covers the southern part of the site and has been partially implemented via the properties to the east of the site.
NK/WELL/003	Land at Home Farm, Vicarage Lane, Wellingore	10	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the limited capacity and location within the Conservation Area and AGLV and proximity to listed buildings. Unlikely to deliver 10 or more dwellings. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, noise pollution, minerals resource and, access to employment and education.
NK/WELL/004	Land at Walnut Tree Field, Off Memorial Hall Drive, Wellingore	13	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/BLYT/006	Land to south of Rowan Drive	62	Selected	Selected	The site is located close to existing development and services in Blyton and is well connected to Gainsborough.
WL/BLYT/007	Land to east of Gainsborough Road, Blyton	54	Rejected	Rejected	The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution.
WL/FISK/001	Land North of Corn Close, Fiskerton	160	Rejected	Rejected	The site located close to the village centre; however, it is a large area that extends past the built footprint

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					to the north-west. Forms part of site WL/FISK/001A which is preferable.
WL/FISK/001A	Land North of Corn Close, Fiskerton	122	Selected	Selected	The site is well connected to the existing village and the reduction in size retains the shape and character of the settlement. A site of this scale has the potential to provide additional services to the village.
WL/HEMC/001	Land south of A631, Hemswell Cliff	180	Selected	Selected	The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan with outline planning permission.
WL/HEMC/004	Land north of A631	125	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the open countryside and is constrained by the sewage works. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, agricultural land and minerals resource.
WL/HEMC/005	Land at 9 Lancaster Green	19	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the open countryside away from the built footprint. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and minerals resource.
WL/HEMC/006	Land north of A631 and east of Minden Place, Hemswell Cliff	103	Selected	Selected	The site is within the emerging Neighbourhood Plan and retains shape and character of the settlement and is well connected to the existing village.
WL/HEMC/007	Lancaster Green, Hemswell Court, Hemswell Cliff	38	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/ING/006	The Old Scrapyard, Stow Lane	34	Selected	Selected	The site is brownfield land and has planning permission.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/ING/007	Land east of Lincoln Road, Ingham	47	Selected	Rejected	The site has planning permission, is under construction and is nearing completion. It is therefore no longer suitable to allocate.
WL/LEA/002	Lea Estate Farm, Gainsborough Road, Lea	214	Rejected	Rejected	The site is a large area extending into the open countryside, impacting upon the character of the settlement. The site is within the Area of Great Landscape Value. The western edge of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and the access route contains a Local Wildlife Site. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to biodiversity, landscape and minerals resource.
WL/LEA/002A	Lea Estate Farm, Gainsborough Road, Lea	20	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by a Local Wildlife Site. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to biodiversity, landscape, noise pollution and minerals resource.
WL/LEA/003	Land south of Willingham Road, Lea	60	Selected	Selected	The site is an existing allocation in the adopted Local Plan and is contained within the Neighbourhood plan. The site is currently under construction.
WL/LEA/006	Land east of Gainsborough Road, Lea	124	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the location within the Green Wedge and Area of Great Landscape Value. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape and noise pollution.
WL/MAR/005	Land off Trent Port Road, Marton (via Trent Approach)	74	Rejected	Rejected	The site is large and extends the built footprint, impacting on the character of the village. Constrained

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					by flood zone 2 and at risk of surface water flooding.
WL/MAR/006	Land west of High Street, Marton	25	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by flood zone 2.
WL/MAR/015	Land west of High Street, north of Trent Approach, Marton	62	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside and is within flood zone 2. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and access to employment.
WL/MAR/016	Land of Stow Park Road, Marton	39	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
WL/MIDR/002	Gainsborough Road, Middle Rasen (North & West of the Nags Head Public House), Middle Rasen	21	Selected	Selected	The site is an infill plot within the built footprint.
WL/MIDR/003	Land west of Church Street, Middle Rasen	23	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the open area and has some flood risk. Site at risk of surface water flooding.
WL/MIDR/004	Land at Brook Cottage, Middle Rasen	78	Rejected	Rejected	The site is within Flood zone 2 and 3 and is detached from the village footprint. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to flood risk, and access to services and facilities.
WL/MIDR/008	Land north of Walesby Road, Market Rasen	241	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the main footprint of the settlement. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to flood risk, and access to education.
WL/MIDR/010	Land south-west of Manor Farm, North Street, Middle Rasen	40	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from built footprint. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities.
WL/MIDR/011	Land north of Old Gallamore Lane, Middle Rasen	104	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from built footprint of the village and is

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					constrained by setting of Grade II listed water mill, location within the Green wedge and flood risk zone 3. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape and flood risk.
WL/MIDR/012	Land south of North View Farm, Lincoln Road, Middle Rasen	91	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends away from the built footprint of the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, and access to services and facilities and education.
WL/NTON/003	Land north of Moortown Road, Nettleton	25	Not submitted	Selected	The site has planning permission.
WL/REEP/001	Land Adjacent Reepham Manor/Cricket Ground, Smooting Lane, Reepham	13	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the main built footprint of the village and would extend into the countryside. Site is land locked with no direct access to the highway. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the historic environment, noise pollution, and access to employment.
WL/REEP/002	Land to the north of Reepham Manor, The Green, Reepham	71	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside and is constrained by the lack of suitable access. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, and access to employment.
WL/REEP/003	Land Adjacent Reepham Manor, Reepham	20	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by lack of suitable access which would require the demolition of a heritage asset,

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					(which has been refused planning permission). Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the historic environment and, access to employment.
WL/REEP/004	Cricket ground, Good's Farm, Smooting Lane, Reepham	23	Rejected	Rejected	Development of the site would result in the loss of a community facility/active playing fields. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to healthy lifestyles, the historic environment, noise pollution, and, access to employment.
WL/REEP/005	Land adjacent to Reepham & Cherry Willingham Village Hall, Hawthorn Road, Cherry Willingham	44	Rejected	Rejected	The site would introduce development to the north side of Hawthorn Road and extend the built footprint of the village into countryside.
WL/REEP/006	Land between Reepham & Cherry Willingham Village Hall & Cemetery, Hawthorn Road, Cherry Willingham	14	Rejected	Rejected	The site would introduce development to the north side of Hawthorn Road and extend the built footprint of the village into countryside. The site is located within the Green Wedge. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape.
WL/REEP/008	Land east of Fiskerton Road, Reepham	229	Rejected	Rejected	The site is a large extension into countryside. There are constraints in relation to the railway and connectivity to the main village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and, access to employment.
WL/SC/001	Land north of Heath Road, Scothern	114	Rejected	Rejected	The site would be a large expansion into countryside. The SA identified

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					major negative effects in relation to access to employment and education.
WL/SC/002	Land east of Dunholme Road, Scothern	123	Rejected	Rejected	The site would be a large expansion into countryside.
WL/SC/003	Land to the southwest of Main Street, Scothern	53	Selected	Selected	<p>The site would adjoin the existing housing estate currently being built and would retain the shape and form of the settlement.</p> <p>Following concerns raised at Regulation 18 Consultation in relation to smoke nuisance from a biomass boiler system at the Scothern Nurseries investigations have been undertaken into the issue. Written confirmation from the owner of the Garden centre has been received confirming that the biomass boiler is decommissioned and is due to be removed from the site.</p>
WL/SC/004	Land off Jupiter Drive, Scothern	90	Rejected	Rejected	The site is a large area that extends into the countryside to the south.
WL/SC/004A	Land off Jupiter Drive, Scothern	41	Selected	Selected	The site is relatively unconstrained and would retain the shape and character of the settlement.
WL/SC/005	Land off Weir Farm Paddock, Scothern	30	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and, access to employment.
WL/SC/006	Land east of Dunholme Road, Scothern	61	Rejected	Rejected	The site would extend away from the built footprint of the village into

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					the countryside. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to employment and education.
WL/SC/007	Land Subbrooke Road, Scothern	18	Rejected	Rejected	The site would extend the built footprint into important open space within the village. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and, access to employment.
WL/SC/008	Land Subbrooke Road, Scothern	194	Rejected	Rejected	The site would extend the form of the village to the south. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resource and, access to employment.
WL/STUR/001	Land north of Marton Road, Sturton by Stow	64	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the open countryside and impacts the character and setting of the village. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and access to employment.
WL/STUR/001A	Land north of Marton Road, Sturton by Stow	28	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the open countryside and impacts the character and setting of the village. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and access to employment.
WL/STUR/001B	Land north of Marton Road, Sturton by Stow	39	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the open countryside and impacts the character and setting of the village. Site at risk of surface water flooding.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and access to employment.
WL/STUR/002	Land opposite Primary School, Stow Road, Sturton by Stow	48	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside and impacts the setting and character of the settlement. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to employment.
WL/STUR/003	Land at High Street, south of School Lane, Sturton by Stow	30	Selected	Selected	The site is a brownfield site within the village with good access to village services and facilities.
WL/STUR/004a	Land south of Whitehouse Farm, Fleets Road, Sturton by Stow	12	Rejected	Rejected	The site has planning permission for 5 dwellings. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to employment.
WL/STUR/004b	Land south-east of Whitehouse Farm, Fleets Road, Sturton by Stow	30	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside to the east of the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and employment.
WL/STUR/005	Land west of Cemetery, Stow Road, Sturton by Stow	42	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside and impacts the setting of the settlement. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to employment.
WL/STUR/006	Land to rear of Gilberts Farm, Saxilby Road and Tillbridge Lane, Sturton by Stow	105	Rejected	Rejected	The site is a large extension into the countryside. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and access to employment.
WL/STUR/006a	Land south of Gilberts Farm, Saxilby Road and Tillbridge Lane, Sturton by Stow	39	Selected	Selected	The smaller site retains the shape and character of the settlement.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/STUR/007	Land adj. Obam Lift Services Ltd, Tillbridge Lane, Sturton by Stow	10	Selected	Selected	The site is relatively unconstrained and has planning permission.
WL/STUR/008	Land at Queensway, Sturton by Stow	14	Not submitted	Selected	The site is a relatively unconstrained brownfield site and has planning permission.
WL/SUD/002	Sudbrooke Farm, Sudbrooke	155	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission and is currently under construction.
WL/WAD/001	Land east of 8 Common Road, Waddingham	17	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside away from the built footprint of the village. The site is constrained by flood risk zone 3. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to employment.
WL/WAD/004	Land to north-east of The Wolds, Waddingham	18	Rejected	Rejected	The site retains the core shape and form of the village, however some concerns over access and loss of open space and views out of village. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and, access to services and facilities and employment.
WL/WAD/005	Land to the east of Snitterby Road, south of The Wolds, Waddingham	12	Rejected	Rejected	The site would result in linear extension into open countryside. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land, minerals resource and, access to services and facilities and employment.
WL/WAD/006	Land east of Redbourne Road, Waddingham	67	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into open countryside and is within flood risk zones 2 and 3. Approximately 60%

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					of the site is within Source Protection zone 1. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to natural resources – water, minerals resource, flood risk and, access to employment.
WL/WAD/007	Land west and north of 4 Kirton Road, Waddingham	34	Selected	Selected	The site is within the Neighbourhood Plan. It retains the shape and character of the settlement.
WL/WAD/008	Land south of Kirton Road, Waddingham	15	Selected	Selected	The site is within the Neighbourhood Plan. It retains the shape and character of the settlement. Proposed to be allocated.
Policy S82: Housing Sites in Small Villages					
NK/ANW/001	Land at Anwick Manor, 80 Main Road, Anwick	12	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
NK/AUB/006	Land North of Meadow Lane, South Hykeham	27	Rejected	Rejected	The site is within the Green Wedge and adjacent to a local wildlife site. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, minerals resource, flood risk and, access to employment.
NK/AUB/007	Land off Meadow Lane, South Hykeham	15	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the proximity to heritage assets and location adjacent to the Green Wedge. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the historic environment and minerals resource.
NK/BEC/001	Rectory Farm, Beckingham	32	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from settlement and is constrained by the roads. There are areas of surface water risk within the site. The SA identified

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and, access to employment and education.
NK/BEC/002	Rectory Farm, Beckingham	242	Rejected	Rejected	Large site on the edge of the settlement extending away from built footprint, character impacts. At risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and, access to employment and education.
NK/BEC/002A	Rectory Farm, Beckingham	58	Rejected	Rejected	Forms the northern part of NK/BEC/002. Still considered to be edge of settlement, character impacts. At risk of surface water flooding. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and, access to employment and education.
NK/CLM/001	3.15 ha of land at Carlton le Moorland	30	Rejected	Rejected	Detached from settlement, constrained by Grade II listed buildings. Constrained by limited services and connections. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and education.
NK/CLM/002	Land at Wheatley Lane, Carlton le Moorland	10	Rejected	Rejected	Character impacts, edge of settlement. Constrained by limited services and connections. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and education.
NK/CLM/004	Land north of Westhall Close, Carlton-le-Moorland	18	Rejected	Rejected	Detached from settlement, character impacts. Constrained by limited services and connections. The SA

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					identified major negative effects in relation to access to education.
NK/CLM/005	Land at Corner Farm, Bassingham Road, Carlton-le-Moorland	19	Rejected	Rejected	Brownfield site, within built footprint of settlement. Constrained by limited services and connections. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to education.
NK/COLE/001	Land south of Rectory Road, west of Grantham Road, Coleby	48	Rejected	Rejected	Edge of village constrained by Listed buildings and Area of Great Landscape Value. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to landscape, noise pollution, agricultural land, minerals resource and, access to employment.
NK/DOR/003	Land to the south of Main Street, Dorrington	31	Rejected	Rejected	Constrained by lack of suitable access, back land site, character impacts. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, sustainable travel modes and, access to services and facilities, employment and education.
NK/DOR/004	Dorrington Garden Centre, Fen Road, Dorrington	38	Rejected	Rejected	Detached from settlement by railway line. Constrained by proximity to sewage works. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to sustainable travel modes and, access to services and facilities, employment and education.
NK/DOR/008	Agricultural yard, buildings and land north of Main Street	18	Rejected	Rejected	Small site constrained by access and listed building. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					access to employment and education.
NK/KIRK/003	Land off Ewerby Road, Kirkby la Thorpe	15	Selected	Rejected	Highly unlikely to deliver 15 dwellings with permissions.
NK/LEAD/001	Station Yard, Cliff Road, Leadenham	22	Selected	Selected	The site would comprise of an infill site on brownfield land. It is considered that development would utilise previously developed land and provide opportunity to improve a disused site within the setting of heritage assets.
NK/LEAD/002	Land off Main Road, Leadenham	25	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
NK/LHAL/001	Land off Main Road, Little Hale	11	Rejected	Rejected	Constrained by limited services and connections. Would involve loss of Grade 2 agricultural land. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to agricultural land and, access to education.
NK/MART/001	Land at 114 High Street, Martin	18	Selected	Selected	A relatively unconstrained site that retains shape and character of settlement.
NK/MART/004	Land to the east of Timberland Road, Martin	33	Rejected	Rejected	Constrained by access, listed buildings, surface water flood risk and scale, extending away from settlement into open countryside. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resource and, access to employment.
NK/MART/005	Land to the south of Moor Lane, Martin	243	Rejected	Rejected	A large-scale site, constrained by scale and impact upon the character of the area, listed buildings and extends away from settlement into open countryside. Site at risk of surface water flooding. The SA

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					identified major negative effects in relation to minerals resource and, access to employment.
NK/MART/008	Land off Mill Lane, Martin	14	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
NK/OSB/007a	Land south at Sadlers Farm, London Road, Osbournby	23	Rejected	Rejected	Although the site would be brownfield within the built footprint of the settlement, it is constrained by the setting of the Conservation Area and risk of surface water flooding to parts of the site.
NK/OSB/008	Land south of The Drove, Osbournby	16	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
NK/SILK/002	Land off Rowan Drive, Silk Willoughby	207	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located in the open countryside and is poorly connected to the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the historic environment, noise pollution and, access to services and facilities and education.
NK/SILK/004	Site B, Gables Farm, Silk Willoughby	160	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located in the open countryside and is poorly connected to the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the historic environment, noise pollution and, access to services and facilities and education.
NK/SILK/012	Site G, Gables Farm, Silk Willoughby	103	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside and would impact on character and form for the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities and education.
NK/SILK/013	Manor Farm, Silk Willoughby	64	Rejected	Rejected	The site is located within the built footprint of the settlement and well

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					contained between the road and existing dwellings. It would result in a loss of openness and is constrained by some surface water flood risk to the edge of the site. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the historic environment and, access to education.
NK/SILK/015	Land to east of London Road, Silk Willoughby	58	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside and would impact the character and setting of the settlement. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the historic environment and, access to education.
NK/SKYM/006	Home Farm, 73 High Street, South Kyme	10	Selected	Selected	The site is a brownfield site within the built footprint of the village. The site has planning permission for up to 10 dwellings.
NK/SWI/001	Land East of High Street, Swinderby	11	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the TPO woodland and would therefore be unlikely to deliver 10 or more.
NK/SWI/002	Land off Station Road, Swinderby	58	Rejected	Rejected	A large site on the edge of the settlement, extending the built footprint would have character impacts on the settlement.
NK/SWI/005	Land to the east of Station Road, Swinderby	16	Rejected	Rejected	The site would result in linear ribbon development.
NK/SWI/006	Produce World Ltd, Moor Lane, Swinderby	140	Selected	Selected	The site is a brownfield site with planning permission.
NK/TOTH/001	Land off Middle Lane, Thorpe on the Hill	119	Rejected	Rejected	Scale of the site would have impacts on the character of the village.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
NK/TOTH/001A	Land off Middle Lane, Thorpe on the Hill	80	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends into the countryside and would have impacts on the character of the settlement.
NK/TOTH/001B	Land off Middle Lane, Thorpe on the Hill	39	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends the built footprint of the village.
NK/TOTH/001C	Land off Middle Lane, Thorpe on the Hill	23	Selected	Rejected	The site has planning permission, is under construction and is nearing completion. It is therefore no longer suitable to allocate.
NK/TOTH/002	Holme Close (Northern Extension), Thorpe on the Hill	19	Rejected	Rejected	The site is well connected to the existing built footprint of the village. There is a small area at risk of surface water flooding.
NK/TOTH/005	Land to the south of Sempers Close, Thorpe on the Hill	40	Rejected	Rejected	The site could have access issues from Sempers Close and parts of the site are at risk from surface water flooding.
NK/TOTH/006	Land south of Westfield Lane, Thorpe on the Hill	72	Rejected	Rejected	A large site extending into the countryside which would have impacts on the character of the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to access to services and facilities.
NK/TOTH/009	Land north of Middle Lane, Thorpe on the Hill	35	Rejected	Rejected	The site extends the built footprint of the village into the countryside.
NK/TOTH/010	Land north of Middle Lane, Thorpe on the Hill	44	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached from the village and located in the countryside.
WL/BARL/002	Land at Barlings Lane, Langworth	20	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
WL/BARL/003	George Hotel, 15 Main Road, Langworth	36	Selected	Rejected	The site has planning permission, is under construction and is nearing completion. It is therefore no longer suitable to allocate.
WL/BUR/002	Land at Burton Waters	28	Rejected	Rejected	The site is currently detached from the main residential area of Burton Waters and constrained by flood risk

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					zones 2 and 3. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution, minerals resource, sustainable travel modes and, access to services and facilities and education.
WL/BUR/005	Land north of Leisure Centre, Burton Waters	100	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission.
WL/BUR/005A	Land at Bay Willow Road, Burton Waters	55	Not submitted	Selected	The site has planning permission and is under construction.
WL/COR/001	Land south of High Street, Corringham	22	Rejected	Rejected	The site is constrained by the risk of surface water flooding through the site. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to healthy lifestyles, noise pollution and minerals resource.
WL/COR/002	Land north of High Street, Corringham	46	Rejected	Rejected	The site is at risk of surface water flooding and extends into the countryside. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to healthy lifestyles, noise pollution, minerals resource and, access to education.
WL/COR/002A	Land north of High Street, Corringham	30	Selected	Selected	The reduced site area retains the shape and character of the settlement and reduces the area within surface water flood risk. Corringham is close to services and facilities in Gainsborough.
WL/GLH/009	The Willows Garden Centre, Gainsborough Road, Glentham	19	Selected	Selected	A brownfield site with planning permission.
WL/GREE/001	Land at North Greetwell and Hawthorn Road, Cherry Willingham	1,324	Rejected	Rejected	The site would be a large-scale development resulting in the coalescence of Greetwell and Little Cherry. Contains a site of a Roman temple, potentially of national

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
					heritage significance. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to the historic environment and agricultural land.
WL/NHAM/016	Land north of Wragby Road East, North Greetwell	914	Rejected	Rejected	This is a large greenfield site which is detached from the existing built footprint of North Greetwell, forming a significant extension into the countryside with associated impacts on the setting and character of the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and agricultural land.
WL/NHAM/031	Land north of Cathedral View, Wragby Road East, North Greetwell	143	Rejected	Rejected	The site is detached existing built footprint of North Greetwell and would be a large extension into the countryside with associated impacts on the setting and character of the village. The SA identified major negative effects in relation to noise pollution and agricultural land.
WL/SCAM/002	Land adjacent Tillbridge Lane, Scampton	1,099	Rejected	Rejected	Proposed to be allocated as a Regeneration Area for mixed uses, therefore rejected as an individual residential allocation.
WL/SCAM/002A	Land adjacent Tillbridge Lane, Scampton,	258	Rejected	Rejected	Proposed to be allocated as a Regeneration Area for mixed uses, therefore rejected as an individual residential allocation.
WL/SCAM/003	Land at the corner of A15 and Tillbridge Lane, Scampton	314	Rejected	Rejected	Proposed to be allocated as a Regeneration Area for mixed uses, therefore rejected as an individual residential allocation.

Site Ref.	Site Name/Address	Site Capacity	Regulation 18 Status	Regulation 19 Status	Brief Justification
WL/SCAM/006	West of Manor Farm, High Street, Scampton	18	Selected	Selected	The site has planning permission for 18 dwellings, which previously commenced and stalled and has now resumed construction.