



CENTRAL LINCOLNSHIRE LOCAL PLAN
SCHEDULE OF POST-SUBMISSION SUGGESTED MODIFICATIONS

Version 2

10 October 2016

CENTRAL LINCOLNSHIRE LOCAL PLAN

SCHEDULE OF POST-SUBMISSION SUGGESTED MODIFICATIONS

Introduction

In simple terms, before a Local Plan can be adopted, The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires a local planning authority to 'submit' its Local Plan for examination, and that 'submitted' document must be the same one as was consulted upon prior to its submission (i.e. the 'Proposed Submission' Local Plan). In other words, the local planning authority is not permitted to make changes to the Local Plan, from the Proposed Submission version to the Submitted version.

However, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended, inter alia, by the Localism Act 2011), sections 20 and 23, make provision for 'modifications' to be made to a Local Plan, from the Submitted Local Plan and before it adopts the Local Plan.

In legal terminology, there are two types of 'modifications': 'main' and 'additional'. The 'additional' modifications are commonly referred to as 'minor' modifications, to more clearly distinguish them from 'main' modifications.

'Main Modifications'

Only the Inspector can 'recommend' (though in a practical sense, if the Local Plan is to be adopted, this means 'require') 'main modifications'. These are modifications which are necessary to make the Submitted Local Plan 'sound', and therefore enable the Local Plan to be adopted. A local planning authority must ask the Inspector to recommend such main modifications (s20(7C)), and the Central Lincolnshire Joint Strategic Planning Committee (CLJSPC) has made that request.

Whilst only the Inspector can recommend 'main modifications', it is common practice for the local planning authority to **suggest** what those main modifications could be. The reasons why a local planning authority might suggest such main modifications is either:

- Because the local planning authority itself has spotted something in (or missing from) the Submitted Local Plan which appears to be 'unsound', and wishes the Inspector to recommend a modification to correct it; and/or
- Because, as part of the ongoing examination process, it appears to both the Inspector and the local planning authority that an amendment is necessary, and therefore the Inspector asks the local planning authority to make a suggestion as to what an amendment might be.

The schedule attached, therefore, shows the current list of 'main modifications' **suggested** by the CLJSPC to the Inspector for his/her consideration i.e. these are changes compared with the Local Plan which has been Submitted (which in turn, is the same Local Plan which was consulted upon as a Proposed Submission version, in April 2016).

There is absolutely no obligation on the Inspector to accept any of the CLJSPC suggested main modifications. The Inspector will consider them all, and will determine the final list of main modifications which are necessary to make the Local Plan 'sound', and therefore adoptable. The final 'main modifications' list prepared by the Inspector might exclude all or some in the suggested list attached, might amend some in the suggested list, and/or might add new main modifications not currently set out in the suggested list.

'Minor Modifications'

The legislation also allows a local planning authority to prepare a schedule of 'additional (minor) modifications', and include such modifications in the final Local Plan which it adopts. These minor modifications are not considered or approved by the Inspector, and do not require consultation.

The legislation (s23(3)(b)) makes it clear what could constitute a 'minor modification': in short, the minor modifications (taken together) must not materially affect the policies that would be set out in the Local Plan if it was adopted with the main modifications but no other modifications.

It is completely at the discretion of the local planning authority to prepare a list of 'minor modifications', and to take responsibility for ensuring that such modifications are indeed 'minor' (i.e. do not materially affect the policies).

In practice, 'minor modifications' tend to be very minor indeed. They are normally one of the following:

- Correcting typographical errors
- Presentational improvements
- Updating factual text
- Minor wording changes, to make the text clearer

There are usually very few 'minor modifications' which apply to policies themselves within a Local Plan. They normally only apply to the supporting text. Where they do apply to policies, particular care needs to be taken that they are indeed 'minor'.

The schedule

Attached is the current 'live' version of the modifications being suggested by the CLJSPC. It will continually be updated throughout the examination period, and a later version is likely to be consulted upon (though this is a matter for the Inspector to decide). This current version is not currently subject to consultation.

The schedule has two parts – 'main modifications' and 'minor modifications'

The first column in each is the reference number of the suggested modification.

The second column shows the chapter/paragraph/policy to which the suggested modification applies. The modifications are listed in numerical order, and hence do not necessarily appear in the order that they would appear in the Local Plan. Some suggested modifications may be deleted in future versions of this schedule. As those earlier modifications are no longer relevant, they will be removed from the list, and this explains why some SC reference numbers may appear to be missing.

The third and fourth columns show the suggested modification and the reason for it.

The final column shows whether further sustainability appraisal (SA) is or is not required, in the opinion of the CLJSPC.

In many instances, modifications to policies and text will require consequential policy/paragraph renumbering and alterations to cross-references, but these are not itemised in this schedule.

Schedule of Suggested 'Main Modifications' (as at the date on the front cover of this document)

REF No.	SECTION/ PARA/ POLICY	SUGGESTED MAIN MODIFICATION	REASON FOR CHANGE	FURTHER SA NEEDED (Yes/No)?
Main/SC/1	3.4.7	<p>Amend the paragraph, and split into four separate paragraphs, to read:</p> <p>“There are two three exceptions to the above approach, these being for Hemswell Cliff, <u>Lea</u> and for Canwick, <u>for the following reasoning.</u></p> <p>Hemswell Cliff village is identified for a greater level of growth than would otherwise be the case if the above approach is followed. The reasoning for this exceptional approach is due to the adjacent Hemswell Cliff Business Park area being identified as a national Food Enterprise Zone, which will lead to significant investment and job creation. As such, this Local Plan makes specific allocations for dwellings in Hemswell Cliff (see Policy LP53), to complement the employment and other growth associated with the Enterprise Zone, rather than a more general, no allocation, percentage increase approach.</p> <p><u>Lea, whilst being a settlement in its own right, is physically connected to the urban area of Gainsborough, and the same is the case for Morton. As such, Lea and Morton were considered for allocations to help meet Gainsborough's growth needs. No sites have been allocated in Morton, but one site has been allocated in Lea (see policy LP50, site CL3044). This site is taken into account for the 'Remaining Growth' level for Lea as shown in column (g) of Appendix B.</u></p> <p>Canwick is adjacent to the South East Quadrant</p>	<p>In response to a representation.</p> <p>The modification will ensure that allocated site CL3044 is properly taken into account in relation to planned growth levels for Lea.</p>	No

		<p>Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE), and it also satisfies two of the sustainability criteria above. However, given that the SUE will be developed near to the village there are restricted opportunities for further growth. As such, no reliance on growth in Canwick is made in the Local Plan, but infill sites may still come forward.”</p> <p>In addition, amend the ‘Remaining Growth’ figure for Lea and Hemswell Cliff in column (g) of Appendix B to read “<u>0**</u>” and insert a footnote to Appendix B to state:</p> <p><u>“** for Lea and Hemswell Cliff, the ‘Remaining Growth’ level is identified as 0 due to the allocation of site CL3044 in Lea (which is expected to deliver around 68 dwellings) and sites CL4673 and CL4727 in Hemswell Cliff (which are expected to deliver in the region of 220 dwellings). These allocations would, when combined with existing completions and permissions, meet the identified growth level for these villages”</u></p>		
Main/SC/2	New sentence at end of 3.4.9	<p>Add new sentence to read:</p> <p><u>“Monitoring of dwellings completed and permitted against the target growth level for each village will be undertaken by the applicable district and published on their website and/or the Central Lincolnshire website on a regular basis (at least once a year).”</u></p>	In response to representations seeking clarity on the implementation and monitoring of Policy LP4. The modification provides that clarity about the process and responsibility for monitoring against the growth levels for villages in a transparent manner.	No
Main/SC/3	LP11	<p>Amend part (a) of the Policy, as follows:</p> <p>a. Affordable housing will be sought on all qualifying housing development sites of 4-11 dwellings or more, <u>or on development sites of less than 11 units if the total floorspace of the proposed units exceeds 1,000 sqm.</u></p>	On 19 May 2016, Government amended various elements of its national planning practice guidance (NPPG), including adding text under the heading “ <i>Are there any circumstances where infrastructure contributions through planning obligations should not be sought from developers?</i> ”.	Possibly - TBC

		<p>If a development scheme comes forward which is below this these thresholds and thus does not require the provision of affordable housing, but the scheme is followed by an obviously linked subsequent development scheme at any point where the original permission remains extant, or up to 5 years following completion of the first scheme, then, if the combined total of dwellings (or floorspace) provided by the first scheme and the subsequent scheme/s provide 4-11 or more dwellings (or 1,000 sqm or more floorspace), then Policy LP11 as a whole will be applied, with the precise level of affordable housing to be provided being 'back dated' to include the earlier scheme(s).</p>	<p>The additional text included the following:</p> <p><i>"affordable housing and tariff style planning obligations (section 106 planning obligations) should not be sought from small scale and self-build development"</i></p> <p>and, further, specifically stipulating that</p> <p><i>"contributions should not be sought from developments of 10-units or less".</i></p> <p>As such, the Submitted Local Plan is not compliant with this national policy (and the associated Ministerial Statement which preceded it). A number of objectors have made this point.</p> <p>The modification will bring the Local Plan into line with national policy.</p> <p>In considering whether to make this suggested modification, consideration was given to the likely negative impact on the delivery of affordable homes, but this negative aspect was outweighed by the clear and high profile position government has taken on this matter, hence the suggested modification.</p>	
Main/SC/4	LP29 and Para 7.3.2	<p>Amend LP29 criteria (a) as follows:</p> <p>"a. Protect the dominance and approach views of Lincoln Cathedral, Lincoln Castle and uphill Lincoln on the skyline;"</p> <p>Similarly, amend para 7.3.2 as follows:</p>	<p>In response to a representation and to recognise the importance of views of the castle.</p>	No

		“... which supports spectacular views of the cathedral, <u>castle</u> and uphill Lincoln. ...”							
Main/SC/5	LP50	<p>Amend the row in the policy in respect of site CL4686 to as follows, which reduces the indicative dwellings from 450 to <u>245</u>:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>CL4686</td><td>Gateway Riverside Housing Zone, Gainsborough</td><td>6.12</td><td></td><td>245</td></tr> </table> <p>Amend dwelling totals within the policy to take account of the above so that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - for ‘Total Gainsborough (excluding SUEs)’ it is amended from 2,064 to <u>1,856</u>. - for ‘Total Main Towns (excluding SUEs)’ it is amended from 3,533 to <u>3,328</u>. - for ‘Total Main Towns (including SUEs) in plan period’ is amended from 8,533 to <u>8,328</u>. 	CL4686	Gateway Riverside Housing Zone, Gainsborough	6.12		245	In July 2016, West Lindsey DC approved an LDO for the area CL4686, but with a revised dwelling figure than previously West Lindsey DC had indicated for the area. The Local Plan therefore should be updated to reflect the latest expected dwellings to come forward on the site.	No
CL4686	Gateway Riverside Housing Zone, Gainsborough	6.12		245					
Main/SC/6 Note: This proposed modification has been superseded by Main/SC/22.	LP52	<p>Note: This proposed modification has now been superseded by Main/SC/22.</p> <p><i>Add one additional site to Policy LP52, by adding a new row to Policy LP52 immediately under the row for site allocation CL1144.</i></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>CL4007</td><td>Phase Three, Manor Farm Development, Horncastle Road, Bardney</td><td>4.8</td><td>NS</td><td>170</td></tr> </table> <p><i>Amend dwelling totals at the end of the relevant village</i></p>	CL4007	Phase Three, Manor Farm Development, Horncastle Road, Bardney	4.8	NS	170	<p>Note: This proposed modification has been superseded by Main/SC/22.</p> <p><i>This site was allowed at appeal in April 2016 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.</i></p>	<p>Note: This proposed modification has been superseded by Main/SC/22.</p> <p><i>No (because the site has consent)</i></p>
CL4007	Phase Three, Manor Farm Development, Horncastle Road, Bardney	4.8	NS	170					

		<p>(Bardney) from 73 to 243 and the end of Part (A) of the policy (total for Lincoln Area Large Villages amend from 5,712 to 5,882) to take account of the above.</p> <p>Amend the Policies Map, to reflect the above – see Appendix 1.</p>																																					
Main/SC/7	LP53	<p>Add seven additional site to Policy LP53, by adding new rows to Policy LP53 as follows:</p> <p>New rows to be inserted into the Lincoln Strategy Area section of the policy:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>CL4750</td><td>Sudbrooke Park, Sudbrooke</td><td>23.09</td><td>NS</td><td>155</td></tr> <tr> <td>CL4751</td><td>Site 1, Land South of Wesley Road, Cherry Willingham</td><td>0.56</td><td>NS</td><td>26</td></tr> <tr> <td>CL4752</td><td>Site 2, Land South of Wesley Road, Cherry Willingham</td><td>0.83</td><td>NS</td><td>33</td></tr> <tr> <td>CL4753</td><td>George Hotel, 15 Main Road, Langworth</td><td>2.02</td><td>NS</td><td>36</td></tr> <tr> <td>CL1437</td><td>Heath Road, Scothern</td><td>1.08</td><td>NS</td><td>30</td></tr> </table> <p>New rows to be inserted into the Non-Lincoln Strategy Area section of the policy:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>CL4727</td><td>Lancaster Green, Hemswell Court, Hemswell Cliff</td><td>1.28</td><td>NS</td><td>40</td></tr> <tr> <td>CL4086</td><td>The Old Scrapyard, Stow Lane, Ingham</td><td>1.67</td><td>NS</td><td>35</td></tr> </table> <p>Insert a new row within the policy, setting out the total indicative dwellings arising from LP53 for the Lincoln Strategy Area, as follows:</p>	CL4750	Sudbrooke Park, Sudbrooke	23.09	NS	155	CL4751	Site 1, Land South of Wesley Road, Cherry Willingham	0.56	NS	26	CL4752	Site 2, Land South of Wesley Road, Cherry Willingham	0.83	NS	33	CL4753	George Hotel, 15 Main Road, Langworth	2.02	NS	36	CL1437	Heath Road, Scothern	1.08	NS	30	CL4727	Lancaster Green, Hemswell Court, Hemswell Cliff	1.28	NS	40	CL4086	The Old Scrapyard, Stow Lane, Ingham	1.67	NS	35	<p>CL4727 - This site was allowed at appeal in June 2016 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.</p> <p>CL4750 - This site was allowed at appeal in June 2016 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.</p> <p>CL4086 - This site was granted permission in February 2016 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.</p> <p>CL4751 - This site was granted permission in May 2015 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.</p> <p>CL4752 - This site was granted permission in July 2015 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.</p> <p>CL4753 - This site was granted permission in July 2015 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.</p>	No (because the sites have consent)
CL4750	Sudbrooke Park, Sudbrooke	23.09	NS	155																																			
CL4751	Site 1, Land South of Wesley Road, Cherry Willingham	0.56	NS	26																																			
CL4752	Site 2, Land South of Wesley Road, Cherry Willingham	0.83	NS	33																																			
CL4753	George Hotel, 15 Main Road, Langworth	2.02	NS	36																																			
CL1437	Heath Road, Scothern	1.08	NS	30																																			
CL4727	Lancaster Green, Hemswell Court, Hemswell Cliff	1.28	NS	40																																			
CL4086	The Old Scrapyard, Stow Lane, Ingham	1.67	NS	35																																			

		<table><tr><td>Total for Lincoln Strategy Area</td><td>392</td></tr></table> <p>Insert a new row within the policy, setting out the total indicative dwellings arising from LP53 for the Non-Lincoln Strategy Area, as follows:</p> <table><tr><td>Total for Non-Lincoln Strategy Area</td><td>364</td></tr></table> <p>Amend last row of the table in Policy LP53 (dwelling total for Medium and Small Villages) from 404 to 756 to take account of the above.</p> <p>Amend the Policies Map, to reflect all of the above – see Appendix 1</p>	Total for Lincoln Strategy Area	392	Total for Non-Lincoln Strategy Area	364	CL1437 - This site was granted permission in June 2015 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.	
Total for Lincoln Strategy Area	392							
Total for Non-Lincoln Strategy Area	364							
Main/SC/8	10.2.2	<p>Update the table and graph on the two pages after paragraph 10.2.2 to accurately reflect the housing supply position following the addition of the above sites, the amendments to the growth level in villages and the latest published AMRs.</p> <p>The table and graph will be available by early September 2016, and will be added to this Schedule in a V2 of this document.</p>	To ensure that the table and graph accurately reflects the latest position (and assumes the above suggested modifications are agreed by the Inspector).	No				
Main/SC/9	LP26	<p>Opening paragraph under sub heading ‘Design Principles’</p> <p>“All development proposals must respect and enhance take into consideration the character and local distinctiveness of the area (and enhance or reinforce it, as appropriate) and create a sense of place.”</p>	See Issue 13, Q1 response	No				
Main/SC/10	LP21	<p>Amend Para 4 as follows:</p> <p>“Proposals for major development should adopt a landscape scale and an ecosystem services approach, and for large scale major development schemes (such as Sustainable</p>	See Matter 13, Q16 response	No				

		<u>Urban Extensions) also a landscape scale approach,</u> to biodiversity and geodiversity protection and enhancement...”		
Main/SC/11	5.6.3	Amend paragraph as follows: “...They indicate where it is considered most important and feasible to target habitat protection, restoration and creation, including woodland and wetlands. <u>Major development should adopt an ecosystem services approach, whilst I</u> Large scale major development schemes, such as “Sustainable “Urban e Extensions, should adopt a landscape scale approach in any masterplanning work...”	See Matter 13, Q16 response	No
Main/SC/12	LP25	Amend text under Listed Buildings sub-heading of the Policy as follows: “Permission <u>that results in substantial harm to or loss of</u> to demolish or partly demolish a Listed Building will only be granted in exceptional <u>or, for grade I and II* Listed Buildings, wholly exceptional</u> circumstances.”	See Matter 14, Q3 response	No
Main/SC/13	LP47	Amend last para of Policy as follows: “In order to enable potential delivery of the Sleaford Link Road (current status: with planning consent), the route is identified on the Policies Map and safeguarded for such purposes. Any development proposal on or near the route <u>should safeguard the future opportunity for the Link Road to be delivered, unless there is evidence available or a statement published by North Kesteven District Council which confirms that the Link Road is no longer required and/or deliverable,</u> which would prejudice the efficient and effective delivery of the Link Road, will be refused. ”	See Matter 8, Q6 response	No
Main/SC/14	LP43	Amend start of criteria (a) to:	See Matter 11, Q1 response	No

		“Preserve and, <u>where possible</u> , enhance the special character, setting and appearance of the Sleaford Conservation Area, respecting its special historic and architectural context....”		
Main/SC/15	9.4.9	Expand para 9.4.9 as follows “The former Advanta Seed site is a key redevelopment area towards the southern end of Sleaford Town Centre. <u>Whilst not precisely defined on the Policies Map, it is the area which was previously occupied by the Advanta Seeds premises, with the maximum extend of the regeneration and opportunity area bounded by the railway line to the south, residential to the east and the recreation ground to the north.</u> This large scale site offers significant...”	See Matter 11, Q5 response	No
Main/SC/16	Policy LP10	Amend Paragraph 1 to: “Developers are expected to provide housing solutions that contribute to meeting the housing needs of the housing market area, as identified in the latest Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) <u>and in any other relevant local evidence.</u> ”	See Matter 12, Q6 response	No
Main/SC/17	LP38	Amend criteria (a) as follows: “Preserve and, <u>where possible</u> , enhance the special character, setting and appearance of the Conservation Areas, respecting their special historic and architectural context...”	See Matter 10, Q1 response	No
Main/SC/18	LP42	Amend as follows: Para 1: “Proposals for <u>main</u> town centre uses will be supported...” Para 2:	See Matter 10, Q5 and Q6 responses	No

		<p>"In the identified Primary Shopping Area, proposals for non-retail use on ground floors will only be supported if they:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. They are Are a recognised main town centre use; and b. Would not result in the over concentration of non-retail uses in the broad area in which the proposal is set that would undermine the primary shopping area's overall retail function and character; and c. Would have no demonstrable impact on the vitality and viability of the centre as a whole. 							
Main/SC/19	LP46	<p>Amend para 1 as follows:</p> <p>"In Sleaford town centre, as identified on the Policies Map, proposals for main town centre uses will, in principle, be permitted".</p>	See Matter 10, Q5 response	No					
Main/SC/20	LP31	<p>Amend criteria (d) to:</p> <p>"...character and assets of the Lincoln area, and the City Centre in particular..."</p>	See Matter 9, Q2 response						
Main/SC/21	Appendix D	<p>Amend definition of 'Affordable Housing' in Appendix D to:</p> <p><u>"Affordable Housing: Housing provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. See national policy and Acts for further details and precise definitions."</u></p>	See Matter 2, Q31 response						
Main/SC/22 (Note: this supercedes the previous Main/SC/06)	LP52	<p>Add two additional sites to Policy LP52. The first by adding a new row to Policy LP52 immediately under the row for site allocation CL1144.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>CL4007</td><td>Phase Three, Manor Farm Development, Horncastle Road,</td><td>4.8</td><td>NS</td><td>170</td></tr> </table>	CL4007	Phase Three, Manor Farm Development, Horncastle Road,	4.8	NS	170	<p>Site CL4007 was allowed at appeal in April 2016 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.</p> <p>Site CL4768 was granted permission in July 2016 and as such is considered</p>	No (because these sites has consent)
CL4007	Phase Three, Manor Farm Development, Horncastle Road,	4.8	NS	170					

		<table border="1"> <tr> <td></td><td>Bardney</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table> <p>Amend dwelling totals at the end of the relevant village (Bardney) from 73 to <u>243</u>.</p> <p>The second by adding a new row to Policy LP52 under the row for site allocation CL1208.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>CL4768</td><td>Land south of Woodbank, Skellingthorpe</td><td>4.2</td><td>NS</td><td>100</td></tr> </table> <p>Amend dwelling totals at the end of the relevant village (Skellingthorpe) from 562 to <u>662</u> and the end of Part (A) of the policy (total for Lincoln Area Large Villages amend from 5,742 to <u>5,982</u>) to take account of the above.</p> <p>Amend the Policies Map, to reflect the above – see Appendix 1</p>		Bardney				CL4768	Land south of Woodbank, Skellingthorpe	4.2	NS	100	suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.	
	Bardney													
CL4768	Land south of Woodbank, Skellingthorpe	4.2	NS	100										
Main/SC/23	LP49	<p>Add two additional sites to Policy LP49, by adding one new row immediately under site CL532 with the following:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>CL540</td><td>Site of 401 Monks Road, Lincoln</td><td>2.38</td><td>UC</td><td>51</td></tr> </table> <p>And adding a row immediately under CL4704 with the following:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>CL4735</td><td>Mill House and Viking House, Lincoln</td><td>0.48</td><td>UC</td><td>101</td></tr> </table> <p>Amend the Policies Map, to reflect the above – see Appendix 1</p>	CL540	Site of 401 Monks Road, Lincoln	2.38	UC	51	CL4735	Mill House and Viking House, Lincoln	0.48	UC	101	<p>CL540 – this site was partially completed but stalled in the economic downturn. Work is recommencing and 51 dwellings remain to be completed and as such it should be allocated for consistency.</p> <p>CL4735 – this site was granted permission in 2016 and as such is considered suitable for allocation to ensure the plan is as up to date as possible.</p>	
CL540	Site of 401 Monks Road, Lincoln	2.38	UC	51										
CL4735	Mill House and Viking House, Lincoln	0.48	UC	101										
Main/SC/24	LP57	<p>Amend 2nd half of policy as follows:</p> <p>“Where the proposal is to create a civilian community in an</p>	See Matter 17, Q1 response	No										

		<p>area currently or last used as a services community, particular consideration will be given to, proposals must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>include appropriate</u> the infrastructure and community facilities to be provided to the <u>for the new</u> civilian community; and • the degree to which <u>demonstrate that</u> the new community can access higher level services (such as jobs, leisure, retail and culture) <u>either within the development or</u> at other nearby settlement(s) by sustainable means; and • the extent, if any, of <u>if there is</u> any <u>significant</u> increase in the population in the new community compared with the services community it will replace, and the implications <u>(environmental, social or economic)</u> of such an increase <u>should be considered, set out in the proposals and addressed as appropriate.</u> 		
Main/SC/25	LP3	<p>Replace:</p> <p>“The Local Plan facilitates the delivery of 36,960 new dwellings and an appropriate amount of employment land over the plan period 2012–2036, distributed as follows”</p> <p>With</p> <p><u>“The Local Plan’s strategic aim is to facilitate the delivery of 36,960 new dwellings and the creation of 11,894FTE net new jobs over the plan period 2012–2036, distributed as follows”</u></p>	See Matter 7, Q9 response	No
Main/SC/26	LP5	<p>In the LES part of the policy, amend as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “their scale does not harm the character and/or amenities of the locality <u>and/or the amenities of neighbouring occupiers</u>; and” 	See Matter 7, Q10 response	No

Main/SC/27	LP5	<p>In the last part of the policy (“Loss of employment sites...”), amend the start of the paragraph to:</p> <p>“Conversion and redevelopment of, or change of use from, existing <u>non-allocated</u> employment sites...”</p>	See Matter 7, Q13 response	No
Main/SC/28	LP6	<p>Towards the end of the Policy, amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>“Development proposals for retail and/or other <u>main</u> town centre uses in out-of-centre and edge-of-centre locations will be required to demonstrate their suitability through a sequential site test in line with the NPPF. In addition, a robust assessment of impact on nearby centres will be required for any retail proposal <u>main town centre use</u> that <u>is located</u>:</p> <p>a. Provides a floorspace that is greater than 500 m² gross unless it is located within 1km of Lincoln city centre boundary and is greater than 2,500 m² whereby a 2,500m² threshold applies; or</p> <p>b. Is located within 500 m of the boundary of a District Centre and is greater than 300 m² gross; or</p> <p>c. Is located within 500 m of the boundary of a Local Centre and is greater than 200 m² gross; <u>or</u></p> <p><u>d. Is located in any other location not covered by a-c above and is greater than 500 m².</u></p>	See Matter 7, Q18, Q19 and Q20 responses	No
Main/SC/29	5.7.3	<p>Add new paragraph after 5.7.3:</p> <p><u>“5.7.4 There are various existing ‘non-open space’ uses within the Green Wedges. Such uses should not develop in a way which harms the role and function of the Green Wedge within which they are situated. Acceptable development proposals within a Green</u></p>	See Matter 15 , Q4 response	No

		<u>Wedge may include agricultural and forestry related development, green space, sport and recreation land uses, re-use of rural buildings and minor extensions or alterations to existing dwellings, provided they do not adversely affect the overall character of the Green Wedge and meet the terms of other relevant Local Plan policies. Essential development, such as required by a public or private utility to fulfil their statutory obligations, or the provision of strategic transport infrastructure, will be acceptable provided other relevant Local Plan policies are satisfied</u> ".		
Main/SC/30	Policies Map – Inset map 19	<p>Amend Inset Map 19 (Keelby), and specifically the Important Open Space designation to the rear of Riby Road and Woodlands Avenue, Keelby, so as to remove two small parcels from the IOS designation.</p> <p>See applicable Map at appendix 1 which identifies the IOS as amended by this suggested modification.</p>	See Matter 15, Q15 response	No
Main/SC/31	LP24 / 5.9.4 / Appendix C	<p>The second paragraph of the policy amended as follows:</p> <p><u>Residential</u> dDevelopment will be required to..."</p> <p>Paragraph 5.9.4 amended to</p> <p>"...new <u>residential</u> developments..."</p> <p>Appendix C, para 2, amended to :</p> <p>"As a minimum, the strategic aim is for any new <u>residential</u> major development (as defined under the Town and Country planning Act (Development Management Procedures) (England) order 2010) providing or having access to open space to the following quantity standards:"</p>	See Matter 15, Q18 response	No

Main/SC/32	Housing Data Table on page 109	<p>Update the table on Page 109 to reflect the information from the September 2016 Five Year Land Supply Report and the sites with permission being proposed as allocations through the modifications.</p> <p>See Appendix 2 of this document for the table to be inserted.</p>	To ensure that the table is accurate and takes account of the latest position, consistent with the evidence.	No
Main/SC/33	Inset Map 24	<p>The boundary of site CL4660 needs to be amended slightly to reflect the adopted Neighbourhood Plan in Nettleham. This boundary was changed prior to the referendum on the neighbourhood plan.</p> <p>See Appendix 1</p>	See Matter 5, Q4 response	No
Main/SC/34	Inset Map 1	<p>The boundary of site CL529 needs to be amended on Inset Map 1 to remove the area to the south of Skellingthorpe Road. This area should not have been included within the allocation area as it is not within the area with planning permission.</p> <p>See Appendix 1</p>	See Matter 5, Q4 response	No
Main/SC/35	LP2	<p>In level 1 of the hierarchy of Policy LP2, amend the last sentence as follows:</p> <p>“...Additional growth on non-allocated sites in appropriate locations <u>within the urban area</u> will also be considered favourably.”</p> <p>In level 2 of the hierarchy of Policy LP2, amend the last sentence as follows:</p> <p>“...Additional growth on non-allocated sites in appropriate locations <u>within the urban area</u> will also be considered favourably.”</p>	See Matter 3, Q13 response	No
Main/SC/36	LP2	Amend the opening part of level 7 of the hierarchy as follows:	See Matter 3, Q13 response	No

		‘Unless stipulated by policy LP55 <u>or LP57</u> , development in areas not listed above <u>covered by levels 1-6 of the hierarchy above will be deemed to fall within the category ‘hamlets and countryside’ whereby development</u> will be restricted to...’		
Main/SC/37	Appendix B	Replace Appendix B with an updated table to take account of data up to 31 March 2016. See Appendix 3 of this document for details.	See Matter 3, Q25 response	No
Main/SC/38	LP3	New paragraph at the end of policy LP3 as follows: <u>“For the purpose of identifying and updating annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years worth of housing against the Local Plan’s housing requirements, the ‘Liverpool method’ of spreading the backlog across the remainder of the plan period applies to Central Lincolnshire for all reports published up to 31 December 2021”.</u>	See Matter 6, Q2 response	No
Main/SC/39	Page 110	Replace trajectory with an updated trajectory – see appendix 4.	See Matter 6, Q38 response	No
Main/SC/40	LP30	Amend SUE specific policy requirements, each of which relate to employment provision, as follows: LP30 – WGC – 2 nd bullet: <u>“Approximately</u> 20ha of <u>land for</u> mixed employment (B Classes) and leisure (D2 Class) uses <u>s....”</u> LP30 – SEQ – 11 th bullet: <u>“Approximately</u> 7ha of <u>land for employment purposes</u> flexible employment space, provided on-	See Matter 4, Q4 and Q6 responses	No

Schedule of Suggested ‘Minor Modifications’ (legally known as ‘Additional Modifications’) (as at the date on the front cover of this document)

REF No.	SECTION/ PARA/ POLICY	SUGGESTED MINOR MODIFICATION	REASON FOR CHANGE	FURTHER SA NEEDED (Yes/No)?
Minor/SC/1	Foreword	<p>Replace fourth and fifth paragraphs as follows:</p> <p><u>Current:</u></p> <p>“Building on your very helpful comments we received at both the preliminary and further draft stages, inside this Proposed Submission Local Plan for Central Lincolnshire are a set of revised planning policy proposals for the growth and regeneration of Central Lincolnshire over the next 20 years and beyond, together with a firmed up set of proposed sites for development and other areas designated for protection. The policies within the Local Plan will make sure that our settlements grow in the right way, ensure we have homes and employment where we need them, and ensure our new communities are sustainable, accessible and inclusive.</p> <p>The plan will enable us, working with our partners and local communities, to realise Central Lincolnshire’s true potential. This is the third and final opportunity for you to comment on the emerging plan. Any comments you make will be carefully considered, including by an independent Inspector, before the Local Plan is adopted.”</p> <p><u>Proposed:</u></p> <p>“This is the adopted Local Plan for Central Lincolnshire. It was prepared with the benefit of your very helpful comments we received at various draft stages. Inside this adopted Local Plan are policies for the growth and regeneration of Central Lincolnshire over the next 20 years and beyond, including sites allocated for development and other areas designated for</p>	To update the text to reflect its status on adoption.	No

		<p>protection. The policies within the Local Plan will make sure that our settlements grow in the right way, ensure we have homes and employment where we need them, and ensure our new communities are sustainable, accessible and inclusive.</p> <p>The plan will enable us, working with our partners and local communities, to realise Central Lincolnshire's true potential."</p>		
Minor/SC/2	Preface	Delete entire Preface	<p>The Preface was included (and regularly updated) at each of the draft stages of the Local Plan, to explain what status that draft plan had, for decision makers.</p> <p>However, Preface no longer needed on adoption, as the plan would have full status.</p>	No
Minor/SC/3	1.1	<p>Delete entire section 1.1 and replace with:</p> <p>"1.1 Introduction</p> <p>1.1.1 This is the Adopted Local Plan for Central Lincolnshire. It contains planning policies and allocations for the growth and regeneration of Central Lincolnshire over the next 20 years. It has been prepared and adopted by the Central Lincolnshire Joint Strategic Planning Committee (CLJSPC)</p> <p>1.1.2 Within this document you will find a vision for what Central Lincolnshire could be like in 2036. There are also some objectives to explain what is trying to be achieved and policies setting out what and how much development should take place.</p> <p>1.1.3 You will see that this Local Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • is underpinned by an aspiration for sustainable growth in homes, jobs, services and facilities; • is aiming to deliver many new homes between now and 2036; • is seeking to attract new businesses and jobs; 	<p>To remove text relating to consultation arrangements on the Proposed Submission Local Plan.</p> <p>To more generally factually update the text.</p>	No

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sets out policies to ensure development is of high quality, sustainable and meets the needs of everyone; • sets out policies to ensure all the infrastructure, such as play areas, roads, new schools and upgraded sewage disposal, are provided at the same time as the new homes; • is complemented by a separate Policies Map, which sets out where development should take place. <p>National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan</p> <p>1.1.4 The NPPF was issued by Government in March 2012, followed by the ‘live’ National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) from March 2014. This Local Plan has been written to complement the NPPF and comply with the guidance in the NPPG. Should the NPPF or NPPG be revised in the future then references to the NPPF and NPPG in this document should be checked against the latest version of the NPPF and NPPG in force at that point in time. This Local Plan does not repeat policies in the NPPF; it builds on them when necessary and ensures locally specific issues are covered.</p> <p>Previous consultation on this Local Plan</p> <p>1.1.5 The preparation of this Local Plan commenced in 2014, and included three rounds of public consultation. An examination of the Local Plan was conducted during 2016 by an independent Inspector. That Inspector found the draft plan ‘sound’ subject to some modifications. Those Inspector recommended modifications, together with other minor modifications, are included in full in this adopted Local Plan. Full details of the consultation and examination stages of the Local Plan are available on the Central Lincolnshire website.”</p>		
Minor/SC/4	Para 5.4.2	Amend wording as follows:	To reflect NPPG wording, following a suggestion made by respondent	No

		"....local planning authorities can should only approve..."		
Minor/SC/5	Para 5.2.1	Amend wording as follows: "....Local Plans have identified some additional Areas of Great Landscape Value."	For accuracy / clarity	No
Minor/SC/6	7.2.2	First line, replace "(2015)" with "(2016)"	Provide correct date for the Lincoln Strategy Area Study	No
Minor/SC/7	LP29	In criteria (b), replace "(see Policy LP21)" with "(see Policy LP22)"	To provide the correct cross-reference	No
Minor/SC/8	9 Sleaford Key Diagram	Replace "Grammer" with "Grammar"	To correct a typing error	No
Minor/SC/9	9.2.1	Replace "Castlefield" with "Castle Field"	To correct a typing error	No
Minor/SC/10	9.4.2	Amend second sentence as follows: "...The main objectives of the partnership are to deliver a viable mixed use development comprising, retail, leisure, commercial and residential uses, to successfully regenerate the Grade II listed 17 Market Place building and site to the rear of 17/19 17, 18 and 19 Market Place and 25b Southgate , and to deliver a pedestrian link from Money's Yard to the Market Place via a footbridge over the River Sleat."	Provide correct building numbering	No
Minor/SC/11	LP52	Amend the Address of site CL1307 in Keelby to "Land south of Stallingborough Road, Keelby".	To clarify the exact location of the site.	No
Minor/SC/12		(not in use at present – former Minor/SC/12 upgraded to Main/SC/44)		
Minor/SC/13		(not in use at present – former Minor/SC/13 superceded by Main/SC/37)		


[illegible]

Appendix 1

The following maps identifying all of the sites which will be added to the Policies Map or that will alter a boundary shown on the Policies Map, should the suggested modifications set out in this document (specifically suggested modifications Main/SC/7, Main/SC/22, Main/SC/23, Main/SC/30, Main/SC/33, Main/SC/34, Main/SC/43 and Main/SC/44) be subsequently endorsed by the Inspector in his Inspector's Report.


Sugested Modification Main/SC/7 – maps

CL4750 Sudbrooke Park, Sudbrooke



Site CL4750 - New inset for Sudbrooke

CL4751 Site 1 and CL4752 Site 2 - Land South of Wesley Road, Cherry Willingham



Sites CL4751 and CL4752 - Inset 12 for Cherry Willingham
to be expanded to include this area to the west

CL4753 George Hotel, 15 Main Road, Langworth



Site CL4753 - New inset for Langworth

CL1437 Heath Road, Scothern



Site CL1437 - New Inset for Scothern

CL4727 Lancaster Green, Hemswell Court, Hemswell Cliff



Site CL4727 - Addition to Inset 18 for Hemswell Cliff


CL4086 The Old Scrapyard, Stow Lane, Ingham



Site CL4086 - New inset for Ingham


Suggested Modification Main/SC/22 – maps

CL4007 Phase Three, Manor Farm Development, Horncastle Road, Bardney



Site CL4007 - Inset 5 for Bardney


CL4768 Land south of Woodbank, Skellingthorpe



Site CL4768 - Addition to Inset 29 for Skellingthorpe

Suggested Modification Main/SC/23 – maps

CL540 Site of 401 Monks Road, Lincoln




Site CL540 - Addition to Inset 1 for Lincoln


CL4735 Mill House and Viking House, Lincoln




Suggested Modification Main/SC/33 (CL4660) – map



Suggested Modification Main/SC/34 (CL529) - map




Suggested Modification Main/SC/30 - map




Proposed Modification to Important Open Space in Inset 19 for Keelby

Suggested Modification Main/SC/43 - map



Deleted Important Open Space at sites CL4652 and CL4704 to be amended on Inset Map 1.

Suggested Modification Main/SC/44 – map



Appendix 2 – revised table to replace table currently shown at p109 of the Local Plan (see Main/SC/32)

	(a)		(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)
	Local Plan Strategic Distribution 2012-2036		Completions 2012 to 2016	Commitments on small sites at 31 March 2016	Commitments on large sites (25+ units) at 31 March 2016	Total known sites	Remaining dwellings to be identified	Proposed New Allocations	Total Dwellings identified in Local Plan	Growth assumptions from windfall	Total 2012 to 2036	Difference from Local Plan Strategic Distribution
						(b) + (c) + (d)	(a) - (e)		(d) + (g)		(b) + (c) + (d) + (g) + (i)	(j) - (a)
Lincoln Strategy Area (LSA)	64%	23,655	2,487	904	5,247	8,638	15,017	14,012	19,259	2,452	25,102	1,447
Gainsborough	12%	4,435	408	78	708	1,194	3,241	3,298	4,006	0	4,492	57
Sleaford	12%	4,435	83	66	1,005	1,154	3,281	3,317	4,322	0	4,471	36
Elsewhere	12%	4,435	757	540	603	1,900	2,535	1,858	2,461	1,169	4,927	492
Total	100%	36,960	3,735	1,588	7,563	12,886	24,074	22,485	30,048	3,621	38,992	2,032

The location of new dwellings 2012 to 2036 considered against the distribution in Policy LP3

- (a) - see Local Plan Policy LP3 for details of Objectively Assessed Need and distribution
- (b) - dwelling completions from planning applications from 1 April 2012 and 31 March 2016
- (c) - dwellings on sites with planning permission but not completed where fewer than 25 dwellings remained to be completed as of 1 April 2016
- (d) - dwellings on sites with planning permission but not completed where more than 25 dwellings remained to be completed at 1 April 2015, included as allocations in Local Plan policies LP48-LP53
- (e) - sum total of completions and commitments
- (f) - remaining number of houses to be identified in the Local Plan to meet the Objectively Assessed Need
- (g) - dwellings on new sites allocated in Local Plan policies LP48-LP53
- (h) - total number of houses allocated in Local Plan policies LP48-LP53
- (i) - assumptions for increase in dwellings from unidentified (windfall) sites including growth from small villages in policy LP4 and Appendix B, Central Lincoln Mixed Use Area in policy LP33 and from small sites in Lincoln (see evidence document 'EVR48: Residential Allocations - Evidence Report')
- (j) - total number of dwellings from completions, commitments, allocations and assumptions
- (k) - number of dwellings under or over the Local Plan targets from the Objectively Assessed Need and Distribution in Local Plan policy LP3

Appendix 3 – revised Appendix B for inclusion in the Local Plan (see Main/SC/37)

Settlement	Hierarchy Position	Base number of dwellings (a)	Growth level (%) (b)	Number of dwellings for growth (c) = (a) x (b)	Completions since April 2012 (d)	Dwellings from permissions to be built (e)	Percentage of growth level reached	Remaining growth (f) = (c) - (d) - (e)
Anwick	Small Villages	179	10	18	0	12	67%	6
Ashby de la Launde	Small Villages	66	10	7	0	2	30%	5
Aubourn	Small Villages	121	10	12	0	2	17%	10
Bassingham	Medium Villages	644	15	97	22	43	67%	32
Beckingham	Small Villages	148	10	15	0	2	14%	13
Bigby	Small Villages	154	10	15	0	10	65%	5
Bishop Norton	Small Villages	108	10	11	0	3	20%	8
Blankney	Small Villages	57	10	6	0	0	0%	6
Blyton	Medium Villages	562	10	56	2	25	48%	29
Boothby Graffoe	Small Villages	91	10	9	0	4	44%	5
Branston Booths	Small Villages	80	10	8	0	0	0%	8
Brant Broughton	Medium Villages	313	10	31	4	18	70%	9
Brattleby	Small Villages	50	10	5	0	0	0%	5
Brookenby	Medium Villages	313	10*	31	7	11	58%	13
Burton	Small Villages	131	15	20	0	2	10%	18
Burton Waters	Medium Villages	311	15*	47	57	13	149%	0
Cammeringham	Small Villages	52	10	5	0	0	0%	5
Canwick*	Small Villages	161	15*	24	2	0	8%	22
Carlton le Moorland	Small Villages	236	10	24	1	4	21%	19
Chapel Hill	Small Villages	72	10*	7	0	0	0%	7
Claxby	Small Villages	80	10	8	1	1	25%	6

Coleby	Small Villages	177	10	18	3	1	23%	14
Corringham	Small Villages	222	15	33	0	9	27%	24
Cranwell	Medium Villages	475	15	71	28	1	41%	42
Digby	Medium Villages	261	15	39	1	16	43%	22
Doddington	Small Villages	61	10	6	-1	0	-16%	7
Dorrington	Small Villages	176	10	18	0	8	45%	10
Dunston	Medium Villages	303	10	30	3	0	10%	27
Eagle	Medium Villages	277	10	28	1	16	61%	11
East Ferry	Small Villages	60	10*	6	0	0	0%	6
East Heckington	Small Villages	57	10	6	0	0	0%	6
East Stockwith	Small Villages	99	15*	15	0	0	0%	15
Ewerby	Small Villages	120	15	18	1	5	33%	12
Faldingworth	Small Villages	183	10	18	1	45	251%	0
Fenton	Small Villages	139	10*	14	5	6	79%	3
Fillingham	Small Villages	105	10	11	0	0	0%	11
Fiskerton	Medium Villages	573	15	86	3	1	5%	82
Glentham	Small Villages	214	10	21	0	3	14%	18
Glentworth	Small Villages	141	15	21	0	2	9%	19
Grasby	Small Villages	201	10	20	0	0	0%	20
Great Hale	Medium Villages	340	10	34	1	1	6%	32
Great Limber	Small Villages	124	15	19	0	1	5%	18
Greylees	Medium Villages	586	15	88	188	94	321%	0
Hackthorn	Small Villages	86	10	9	2	0	23%	7
Harmston	Medium Villages	278	10	28	3	0	11%	25
Helpringham	Medium Villages	370	15	56	15	12	49%	29
Hemswell	Small Villages	178	15	27	0	0	0%	27

Hemswell Cliff	Medium Villages	313	60	188	0	0	0%	0**
Holton cum Beckering	Small Villages	52	10	5	0	0	0%	5
Holton le Moor	Small Villages	79	10	8	0	0	0%	8
Ingham	Medium Villages	430	15	65	1	37	59%	27
Kexby	Small Villages	155	10	16	1	0	6%	15
Kirkby	Small Villages	56	10	6	0	0	0%	6
Kirkby Green	Small Villages	62	10	6	0	0	0%	6
Kirkby La Thorpe	Small Villages	125	15	19	1	5	32%	13
Knaith Park	Small Villages	110	15	17	2	0	12%	15
Langworth	Small Villages	194	10	19	0	36	186%	0
Laughterton	Small Villages	144	10*	14	1	1	14%	12
Laughton	Small Villages	179	10	18	0	0	0%	18
Lea	Medium Villages	473	15	71	2	2	6%	0**
Leadenham	Small Villages	183	15	27	1	0	4%	26
Leasingham	Medium Villages	723	15	108	1	8	8%	99
Legsby	Small Villages	65	10	7	0	0	0%	7
Lissington	Small Villages	63	10	6	1	2	48%	3
Little Hale	Small Villages	75	10	8	1	1	27%	6
Martin	Medium Villages	279	15	42	17	20	88%	5
Martin Dales	Small Villages	125	10	13	0	0	0%	13
Marton	Medium Villages	312	10	31	0	1	3%	30
Moortown	Small Villages	79	10	8	0	0	0%	8
Morton	Medium Villages	633	15*	95	17	10	28%	68
Nettleton	Medium Villages	320	15	48	1	2	6%	45
New Toft	Small Villages	163	10	16	0	0	0%	16
Newton On Trent	Small Villages	167	10*	17	0	0	0%	17

Nocton	Medium Villages	320	10	32	13	32	141%	0
Normanby By Spital	Small Villages	178	10	18	0	1	6%	17
North Carlton	Small Villages	60	10	6	0	0	0%	6
North Greetwell	Small Villages	78	15	12	1	8	77%	3
North Kelsey	Medium Villages	431	10	43	0	4	9%	39
North Kyme	Small Villages	176	10	18	0	5	28%	13
North Owersby	Small Villages	95	10	10	0	0	0%	10
North Rauceby	Small Villages	53	15	8	0	0	0%	8
North Scarle	Medium Villages	262	10	26	2	1	11%	23
North Willingham	Small Villages	55	10*	6	0	0	0%	6
Northorpe	Small Villages	58	10	6	0	1	17%	5
Norton Disney	Small Villages	111	10	11	0	2	18%	9
Osbournby	Small Villages	191	10	19	0	1	5%	18
Osgodby	Medium Villages	137	10	14	1	3	29%	10
Owmby By Spital	Small Villages	139	10	14	0	1	7%	13
Potterhanworth	Medium Villages	336	10	34	3	12	45%	19
Reepham	Medium Villages	405	15	61	2	3	8%	56
Riby	Small Villages	65	10	7	0	0	0%	7
Riseholme	Small Villages	157	15	24	0	0	0%	24
Rothwell	Small Villages	97	10*	10	0	0	0%	10
Rowston	Small Villages	55	10	6	2	0	36%	4
Scampton	Small Villages	82	10	8	0	21	256%	0
Scopwick	Small Villages	224	10	22	4	2	27%	16
Scothern	Medium Villages	364	10	36	1	36	103%	0
Scotton	Medium Villages	256	10	26	1	2	12%	23
Scredington	Small Villages	124	15	19	0	1	5%	18

Searby	Small Villages	64	10	6	0	0	0%	6
Silk Willoughby	Small Villages	143	15	21	0	5	23%	16
Snitterby	Small Villages	115	10	12	1	1	17%	10
South Hykeham Village	Small Villages	94	10	9	0	0	0%	9
South Kelsey	Small Villages	211	10	21	2	2	19%	17
South Kyme	Small Villages	174	10	17	4	0	23%	13
South Rauceby	Small Villages	171	15	26	0	5	19%	21
Southrey	Small Villages	106	10	11	0	0	0%	11
Spridlington	Small Villages	88	10	9	0	0	0%	9
Springthorpe	Small Villages	71	10	7	0	0	0%	7
Stow	Small Villages	172	10	17	0	2	12%	15
Sturton By Stow	Medium Villages	649	15	97	27	39	68%	31
Sudbrooke	Medium Villages	712	10	71	0	9	13%	62
Swallow	Small Villages	101	10*	10	1	0	10%	9
Swaton	Small Villages	85	10	9	0	0	0%	9
Swinderby	Medium Villages	305	15	46	1	5	13%	40
Swinhope	Small Villages	97	10*	10	0	0	0%	10
Tattershall Bridge	Small Villages	125	10*	13	0	1	8%	12
Tealby	Medium Villages	277	15*	42	1	7	19%	34
Thoresway	Small Villages	51	10*	5	0	0	0%	5
Thorpe On The Hill	Small Villages	221	15	33	3	46	148%	0
Threekingham	Small Villages	81	10	8	2	3	62%	3
Timberland	Small Villages	214	10	21	1	3	19%	17
Torksey	Small Villages	98	10*	10	3	0	30%	7
Torksey Lock*	Medium Villages	373	10*	37	0	0	0%	37
Upton	Small Villages	217	10	22	1	1	9%	20
Waddingham	Medium	293	15	44	4	5	20%	35

	Villages							
Walcott	Small Villages	240	10	24	5	8	54%	11
Walesby	Small Villages	113	10	11	0	1	9%	10
Welbourn	Medium Villages	299	15	45	1	24	56%	20
Wellingore	Medium Villages	369	10	37	1	26	73%	10
Whisby	Small Villages	77	15	12	0	0	0%	12
Wickenby	Small Villages	94	10	9	0	1	11%	8
Willingham By Stow	Small Villages	238	10	24	0	0	0%	24
Willoughton	Small Villages	156	10	16	0	0	0%	16
Wilsford	Small Villages	192	10	19	10	0	52%	9

Appendix 4 – revised trajectory for p110 of the Local Plan (see Main/SC/39)

