

BRACEBRIDGE HEATH



NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2020 – 2040

CONSULTATION STATEMENT

Contents

Page

Section 1

Introduction	3
Methodology	4

Section 2

Regulation 14 Pre-submission consultation	7
---	---

Section 3

Replies and Comments to the Regulation 14 Pre-submission Consultation Exercise	9
• North Kesteven District Council – Regulation 14 Comments	9
• Church Commissioners for England.	16
• Anglian Water	20
• Environmental Agency	20
• Historic England	20
• Natural England	21
• Jesus College Oxford	21
• Responses to consultation (Regulation 14) with Bracebridge Heath Parishioners	22
• Comments from the Consultation (Regulation 14) with Bracebridge Heath Parishioners.	24
Appendix A Consultation Events	29
Appendix B Sample post from Facebook group	32
Appendix C Community Lincs Consultation Report cover and contents	33
Appendix D Sample photographs and posters from consultations	34
Appendix E Examples of reports in Heathcliff View and Parish News	35
Appendix F Screen shot of minutes showing Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee minutes available on Bracebridge Heath Parish Council webpage.	36

Section 1

Introduction

- 1.1 Bracebridge Heath Parish Council, as qualifying body as defined by the Localism Act 2011, has submitted its Neighbourhood Plan to North Kesteven District Council for independent examination. This Consultation Statement meets the requirements of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 Regulation 15 to provide a detailed description and Regulation 14 to record the pre-submission consultation. It also contains an outline of earlier consultations carried out while developing the Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan.
- 1.2 Section 15(2) of the Regulations states that a Consultation Statement is a document which:
- contains details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
 - explains how they were consulted;
 - summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons/bodies consulted; and
 - describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.
- 1.3 This Consultation Statement summarises all statutory and non-statutory consultation undertaken with the local community and with other relevant bodies and stakeholders in developing the draft Neighbourhood Plan, In particular it describes how some of the concerns that arose during the statutory pre-submission consultation have been addressed and what changes have been made to convert the draft Neighbourhood Plan into the proposed Neighbourhood Plan.
- 1.4 The first meeting of the Bracebridge Heath Parish Council Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee was held on 13th March 2017. It is a sub-committee of the Planning Lighting and Roads Committee (now Environment Committee) Membership consists of Parish Councillors and members of the community. The sub-committee was asked to prepare the Neighbourhood Plan, on behalf of the Parish Council.
- 1.5 The various consultation activities on the draft Neighbourhood Plan carried out prior to the pre-submission consultation are summarised in Appendix A.

Methodology

This section of the Consultation Statement outlines the approach taken by the Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee to consult on the draft Neighbourhood Plan. Several methods were adopted to ensure all relevant bodies and parties were informed of the progress and consultations throughout the development of the draft Neighbourhood Plan and provided with opportunities to provide their views and comments.

Facebook Groups

- 1.6 A Facebook group was set up on 15th July 2017 to provide information to the parishioners about progress, formal meetings of the Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee and working group meetings. Information was also put on the Bracebridge Heath Parish Council Facebook group and other local groups such as Bracebridge heath and Proud.
- 1.7 Both Facebook groups are public groups and can be viewed by parishioners without becoming members of that group. Members can pose questions that will be answered by a member of the Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee.
- 1.8 The Facebook groups were also used to advertise events such as the monthly church coffee mornings and school fête where members of the Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee would be available to answer questions.

Sub-committee meetings and minutes

- 1.9 The first meeting of the Bracebridge Heath Parish Council Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee was held on the 13th March 2017.
- 1.10 The membership of the committee is a mixture of parishioners and councillors. It comprises a minimum of six members with no more than 50% Parish Councillors.
- 1.11 All minutes of meetings from that date can be found on Bracebridge Heath Parish Council website (<http://parishes.lincolnshire.gov.uk/BracebridgeHeath>) and can be accessed by the general public
- 1.12 Meetings are held in accordance with parish council standing orders.
- 1.13 All meetings are open to member of the press and public.

Publications: Heathcliff View magazine and Parish News

- 1.14 Heathcliff View magazine is a commercially produced monthly magazine. It is delivered free of charge to every household in the village.
- 1.15 Parish News is published monthly by the village church and chapel. It is delivered to approximately 450 subscribers.
- 1.16 Virtually every month since the start of the process the Neighbourhood Plan subcommittee has published regular updates on the plan's progress and forthcoming events in each monthly publication.

- 1.17 A survey produced by Community Lincs for the Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee was distributed to every household via the Heathcliff View magazine. Extra copies were available on line through SurveyMonkey. The survey included a section for parishioners aged 11 -18 years.

Documents

- 1.18 For the Regulation 14 consultation digital copies of Neighbourhood Plan documents and comment form could be found on Bracebridge Heath Parish Council website. Links to this website were provided on social media, in Heathcliff View and Parish News and on posters in local venues. Comments could be entered online.
- 1.19 Hard copies were available in the centre of the village at Bracebridge Heath Community Library, the Church of St John the Evangelist and the café The Pantry. Copies were also supplied to sheltered housing complexes Minster Court and Sheppard Court. Further copies were available at the Pavilion on the recreation ground. Boxes were available in each location for completed forms or they could be posted to the Clerk to Council.
- 1.20 Documents could also be supplied electronically or by hard copy by contacting the Clerk to Council.
- 1.21 Throughout the whole of the process of developing Bracebridge Heath's Neighbourhood Plan a regularly updated permanent display has been available at St John the Evangelist Church which is open to the public during daylight hours on weekdays. Comments could also be left by those viewing the documents.

Public Consultation Events

- 1.22 Appendix A includes a full list of Neighbourhood Plan consultation events.
- 1.23 St John's Primary Academy has been involved in the consultations from the beginning. To raise awareness two members of the committee addressed a full school assembly and invited pupils to enter a drawing competition for a mascot. (Appendix A A6)
- Community Lincs consulted pupils in the school about their views relating to the future of Bracebridge Heath. The findings were displayed at a public consultation event on 15th August 2018 (Appendix A19)
- At each school fête the Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee had a display and consulted with pupils and parents with on the latest stage of the Neighbourhood Plan.
- 1.24 Community Lincs were engaged to conduct an initial survey for residents and another for businesses. Their report on the analysis of these surveys was made available for public consultation on September 2018. (Appendix A19)
- 1.25 Further Consultation events were held as part of St John the Evangelist Church fêtes and coffee mornings. (Appendix A5,18,22)
- 1.26 In September 2019 the Parish Council held two Showcase days where the Neighbourhood Plan was displayed and committee members were on hand to

answer any questions and gather feedback. The Village Hall was used one Saturday and the Pavilion the following Saturday (Appendix A23, 24)

1.27 During the Regulation 14 consultation members of the Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee held open consultation events at the Library, Minster Court, Sheppard Court and St John the Evangelist Church. (Appendix A26)

Posters and Banners

1.28 Consultation events were also advertised by the use of banners and posters which were displayed throughout the village on the Parish Council notice boards and railings, in local shops and in local magazines and social media outlets.

Section 2

Regulation 14 Pre - submission consultation

- 2.1 Consultation with parishioners and statutory bodies on the final draft Neighbourhood Plan began on 22nd January to 8th March 2020. (Appendix A26)
- 2.2 The consultation involved a survey asking consultees whether they supported the vision, objectives, each individual policy and community projects. Each question had a space asking for comments to explain why they answered no. Some parishioners answered 'Yes' but chose to add a comment.
- 2.3 The survey was advertised in advance by the use of social media, local magazines (such as Heathcliff View, and Parish News) and posters on Parish Council noticeboards.
- 2.4 Paper copies of the survey and the draft Neighbourhood Plan were available at Sheppard Court, Minster Court, Blacksmith's Arms, The Pantry Café, the Pavilion, St John the Evangelist Church and Bracebridge Heath Community Library. Collection boxes were available for completed forms in the same locations.

Both documents could be downloaded from Bracebridge Heath Parish Council website and Facebook groups. Completed copies could be posted to the Clerk to Council or left in a collection box as detailed above.

The survey could also be completed through Google Forms with responses submitted directly.

- 2.5 The Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee also arranged open sessions at the Library, Minster Court and Sheppard Court where parishioners could come and ask questions. There was also a display of the draft Neighbourhood Plan in St John's Church which is open to the public on weekdays
- 2.6 Statutory Consultees were consulted by email with access to the draft Neighbourhood Plan, the comment sheet and instructions on where to send replies.
- 2.7 Below is a complete list of consulted bodies:

- North Kesteven District Council
- Lincolnshire County Council
- Lincoln City Council
- Waddington Parish Council
- Canwick Parish Council
- Branston and Mere Parish Council
- The Coal Authority
- The Homes & Communities Agency
- Natural England
- Environment Agency
- Historic England
- Forestry Commission
- The Highways Agency
- Mobile operators in the North Kesteven District Area (Mono Consultants, Openreach, Vodafone and Telefonica, EE, EE and Three, Three, O2)
- Lincolnshire West Clinical Commissioning Group
- Western Power Distribution
- National Grid
- Anglian Water
- Upper Witham Internal Drainage Board
- Diocese of Lincoln
- St John the Evangelist Church
- National Farmers Union
- Lincolnshire Police

- District Councillors Burley Cawrey, Moran
- County Councillor Cawrey
- St John's Primary Academy
- Bracebridge Heath Preschool
- Church Commissioners for England (land owner)
- Jesus College Oxford (land owner)
- Grange Farm (M Lilley) (land owner)
- Local groups and businesses
 - 1st Bracebridge Heath Brownies
 - Bracebridge Heath Slimming World
 - Bracebridge Heath Women's Institute
 - Yoga
 - Hurricane Sports
 - Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Watch
 - Heath U3A
 - Bracebridge Heath Friendship Club
 - Bracebridge Heath Spiritualist Church
 - Bracebridge Heath Rainbows
 - Phoenix United Football Club
 - Move n Groove
 - Dark Moon Yoga

Section 3

Replies and Comments to the Regulation 14 Pre-submission Consultation Exercise

North Kesteven District Council – Regulation 14 Comments

Introduction

North Kesteven District Council (NKDC) was consulted on the pre-submission draft of the Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan (BHNP).

Firstly, NKDC would like to congratulate the BHNP Steering Group on the work they have undertaken to date. A lot of time and effort has clearly gone into the production of the plan and into the evidence and consultation that has underpinned it.

It is also clear that comments provided on previous drafts by officers at NKDC have been taken into account in finalising this plan for consultation and this is welcomed.

Conclusion

Overall, it is considered that the Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan, subject to the below comments and recommendations, meets the basic conditions as required by regulations. It is considered that the recommended changes below can be made without the need for re-consulting in advance of the plan being submitted to NKDC.

Review of the draft Neighbourhood Plan

This section provides a detailed review of the document being consulted on at the pre-submission stage. Where relevant it includes comments about the basic conditions and suggestions for proposed wording changes.

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	North Kesteven District Council Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Plan period	What is the rationale behind the 21 year plan period of 2020-2041? It's not necessarily a problem, but it doesn't correspond to the Local Plan timeframe, nor the proposed new plan period which is proposed to run until 2040 and this is unusual. It is noted that this had changed from a 2040 end date since the last draft NKDC commented on.	Altered to 2040 to give a 20 year life span.
Introduction Para 3	This paragraph is internally conflicting in that it says that the plan sets out planning polices for a 17 year period, yet the plan period is stated as 2020-2041.	Error rectified.
Introduction Para 4	This paragraph refers to the map of the parish in Figure 1, but it is labelled as Map 1.	Changed to read map 1.
Paras 1.7 & 1.8	The local proportion of the CIL receipts should still be available to the parish despite commitments for the wider CIL funds to the bypass and secondary education. As such, it is recommended that these two paragraphs are reworded to reflect this. Please contact the NKDC planning department if you have any questions about any CIL receipts in your parish.	Reworded.

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	North Kesteven District Council Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Para 2.1	It is recommended that you add "(as amended)" to the end of the paragraph to reflect the fact that the regulations have been updated.	'as amended' added to script.
Para 2.2	<p>The statement in the last sentence of this paragraph, which says that the plan will become a material consultation after it goes through the second round of consultation is inaccurate. It is for the decision maker to determine the weight that can be attributed to emerging plans and this can be informed by a number of factors including the stage of preparation of the plan and the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies. The earlier parts of the paragraph also stand out against the wider text. Whilst it is recognised that this is intended to be written in the past tense referring to current future stages, it does stand out against neighbouring paragraphs in the plan. It is recommended that this paragraph is revised to something along the lines of the following:</p> <p><i>"Following the statutory six week pre-submission consultation running from January 20th 2020 to March 2nd 2020, the Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan will be submitted to North Kesteven District Council and the plan will then be subject to a second six week consultation."</i></p>	Changed wording to improve accuracy.
Para 2.3	<p>Similarly to the comments against paragraph 2.3 above there are some slight factual inaccuracies that should be addressed. It is recommended that it be reworded along the following lines:</p> <p><i>"The Neighbourhood Plan will be subjected to an independent examination and it will then go to a local referendum. If it is successful at referendum decisions on planning applications will be decided against the Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan as part of the wider Development Plan alongside the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan."</i></p>	Changed wording to improve accuracy.
Policy 1	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions. To be more aligned to the national definition of 'major' development, the threshold in this policy could refer to schemes that are "for 10 or more dwellings or with a site area of 0.5 hectares or more".	Added the hectare size to the statement.
Policy 2	<p>Whilst this policy is generally considered to meet the basic conditions, there are some minor adjustments needed to improve its clarity and to align it to Local Plan policy.</p> <p>Some of the wording in the bulleted lists under part 2 of the policy do not flow with the preceding text, i.e. it currently says "To achieve this the new development should:...b. ensuring...c. respecting... d. providing...e. ensuring...f. bin, recycling..." This</p>	Changed wording as suggested.

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	North Kesteven District Council Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
	<p>should be “b. ensure...”, “c. respect...”, “d. provide...”, “e. ensure...”, and “include adequate bin, recycling...”</p> <p>Part 2e of the policy is at odds with Policy LP24 of the adopted Local Plan. If this policy were to be included in the final plan it would introduce conflict between the two policies. It is recommended that this part of the policy is deleted and the Local Plan policy is relied upon.</p> <p>In part 2f of the policy, screening is not always the best or only way of accommodating waste storage sensitively. This would be better if worded as “f. include adequate bin, recycling and green waste storage in the design of the scheme with screening provided where necessary.”</p>	<p>Removed <i>part 2e</i> to avoid conflict with CCLP and will rely on policy LP24.</p> <p>Amended <i>part 2f</i> wording to that suggested.</p>
Policy 3	<p>This policy is generally considered to meet the basic conditions.</p> <p>Under point 2 of the policy where it references the table under paragraph 7.7 it would be preferable to have the table replicated in the policy itself. The wording could be amended to: “Adequate car parking should be provided for the proposed scheme in relation to the main building access point(s). For residential development this should be provided on-plot and at the following ratios:” [insert table below].</p> <p>This would both allow for the general parking point to apply to all uses and to introduce the standards for residential developments.</p> <p>It would also be useful if the evidence behind the car parking standards were presented at paragraph 7.7, such as census data for car ownership or survey work findings, unless it is clearly going to be included within supporting documentation.</p>	<p>The wording within policy 3 was amended and the table placed within policy.</p> <p>Paragraph 7.7 was amended and some evidence included.</p>
Policy 4	<p>This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions.</p> <p>In the first bullet point under part 1 of the policy, it would assist clarity if “for car parking” were added to the end of the point.</p>	<p>Added suggested wording.</p>
Policy 5	<p>This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions.</p>	
Policy 6	<p>There are some detailed wording issues that require attention to assist in it being sufficiently clear for decision makers and to make it consistent with national policy.</p> <p>Firstly there is inconsistent terminology for the heritage assets in Appendix B. This should be resolved so that only one term is used for them wherever they are mentioned. The normal term</p>	<p>Terminology checked and altered as required.</p>

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	North Kesteven District Council Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
	<p>used is “non-designated heritage asset”. [See also comments against Appendix B in terms of qualifying for the NKDC list of non-designated heritage assets].</p> <p>Secondly, part one of the policy is at odds with the NPPF, specifically paragraphs 197 and 184. This part of the policy seeks to apply a protection that is beyond that appropriate to the assets’ significance. The intent of this part of the policy is covered by the provisions under part 3 of the policy and as such part 1 should be removed.</p> <p>Part 2 of the policy would also benefit from a minor rewording for clarity. Assuming the above changes are made “locally significant heritage asset” should be amended to just “heritage asset”.</p>	<p>Part 1 of the policy removed.</p> <p>Removed ‘locally significant’ from part 2 and part 3.</p>
Policy 7	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions. It would be preferable for the marketing period in this policy to be 6 months, consistent with policy 8.	Changed marketing period from 9 months to 6 months.
Policy 8	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions. After 1a, the “and” should be directly following the bullet point not in the subsequent line.	Changed the setting out as suggested.
Policy 10	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions.	
Policy 11	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions, however in order to make it clear that all of the bullet points apply, an “and” should be inserted after the second bullet point.	Inserted the word ‘and’.
Policy 12	<p>The wording of part 2 of this this policy is currently quite messy and this makes it unclear for how it should be applied. The main issue is the definition of ‘community uses’ under paragraph 12.6 of the supporting text, which currently includes specific businesses as well as uses. Planning cannot protect specific businesses from changes, only the use (in terms of the Use Class) itself. Therefore, for example, a policy cannot protect a car service company from change to another business, but can help to ensure that it remains in B2 use.</p> <p>In order for such a protective policy to be included it needs to spell out which facilities it is protecting and use a far narrower list of uses. It is recommended that the wording be amended to:</p> <p><i>“Proposals for the redevelopment of or change of use of a valued community facility listed in paragraph 12.6 must demonstrate that either:</i></p>	<p>Wording changed as suggested.</p> <p>Industry based items at St John’s Workshop have been removed as suggested.</p>

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	North Kesteven District Council Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A suitable replacement community facility provided in a suitable location within the parish; or</i> • <i>It can be demonstrated that the community facility is no longer fit for purpose or is not economically viable for community use.”</i> <p>After this second bullet point it could be beneficial to include a marketing requirement as per policy 8, i.e. amending it to <i>“It can be demonstrated beyond reasonable doubt that the existing use is economically unviable and that at least 6 months of actively marketing the site has not provided another community use or that the community facility is no longer fit for purpose.”</i></p> <p>The list under para 12.6 of the supporting text will also need to be rationalised for this wording to work, removing (possibly amongst others) home based child minders, small home based businesses, the specific businesses at St John’s Craft Workshops, and car services within Sleaford Road industrial estate.</p> <p>Once this change is made it is considered that this policy will meet the basic conditions and will be deliverable.</p>	
Policy 13	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions.	
Policy 14	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions.	
Map 6	The key to Map 6 includes “South East Quadrant proposed green areas”. There is no explanation for what these areas are, what their source is and what they mean. This introduces ambiguity to the map and so it is recommended that it is either removed from the map, or some explanatory text (possibly a footnote) is provided to provide this clarity.	Further wording has been added to the key.
Policy 15	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions.	
Policy 16	<p>This policy is generally considered to meet the basic conditions but it does need some minor changes to make it clear and deliverable.</p> <p>The “or” after the first bullet point under part 1 should be moved up to follow the bullet point directly.</p> <p>Under part 2, how would a decision maker determine if an open space is of high quality? What is an integrated strategic green infrastructure system (it is much higher level than an individual open space? Should all open spaces be capable of</p>	Altered position of the ‘or’.

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	North Kesteven District Council Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
	<p>accommodating formal play? Does each open space need to provide a variety of natural and designed landscapes with different functions? It is noted that many of these terms are included in the new National Design Guidance published in 2019, but the creation of policy comes from a different perspective as it seeks to apply to many applications of a variety of types and scales.</p> <p>Perhaps part 2 would be more deliverable if it were worded along the following lines:</p> <p><i>“Proposals for new open spaces or improvements to existing open spaces will be supported. Open spaces should be high quality and designed to satisfy the following criteria wherever suitable and relevant</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• robust and adaptable over time;</i> <i>• accessible to all users;</i> <i>• provide opportunities for formal and/or informal play and exercise;</i> <i>• incorporate natural features such as trees and other vegetation to create opportunities for biodiversity net gains and linking into the wider green infrastructure network, whilst creating an attractive natural landscape; and</i> <i>• well-considered plan to reduce the ongoing costs for management and maintenance of the open space.”</i> 	<p>Wording amended to that suggested.</p>
Policy 17	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions.	
Policy 18	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions.	
Policy 19	This policy is considered to meet the basic conditions.	
Appendix B	<p>North Kesteven District council maintains a list of non-designated heritage assets and has recently adopted criteria for the inclusion of buildings and sites on the list, the criteria being available to view here:</p> <p>https://www.n-kesteven.gov.uk/residents/planning-and-building/planning/conservation-and-heritage/local-list-of-non-designated-heritage-assets/</p> <p>The NKDC Local List for the parish of Bracebridge Heath was last revised in 1991 and while there are some sites which are common both to that list and to the list in the draft Neighbourhood Plan’s Appendix B, there are others which are not. Your Neighbourhood Plan provides an opportunity</p>	<p>Removed the following from the non-designated heritage asset section to conform with NKDC’s updated list:</p> <p>Methodist Church Heath View Stephenson’s Cottages 17-31 Sleaford Road cottages</p> <p>St John’s Hospital Craft Workshops</p>

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	North Kesteven District Council Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
	<p>to update the non-designated heritage assets list that can then be endorsed at a district level as well as at parish level.</p> <p>Having a list of properties in Appendix B that does not meet the criteria for local listing of non-designated heritage assets adopted at district level could be problematic and it is strongly recommended that the list of properties in Appendix B be revised to only include properties that meet the criteria. This would then allow for consistent terminology and treatment of the heritage assets in a robust fashion.</p> <p>In order to assist the Steering Group with understanding which properties meet the criteria for local listing, officers at NKDC have assessed them and this assessment is provided in the Tables in Appendix A below. The majority of the properties do meet the criteria.</p> <p>In order to be consistent with the items on the NKDC list, locations of items to be included as non-designated heritage assets should be given as the full postal address.</p> <p>If there are any questions or concerns with the proposed change of approach for which properties should be identified, please contact the Council.</p>	<p>have been left in, on a section on their own to clarify that although they are not listed separately they are classified as part of the listed hospital buildings.</p> <p>Postal addresses have been added. (First line address and Post Code.).</p> <p>Appendix B heritage maps updated.</p>

Church Commissioners for England.

Responses provided for the Church Commissioners by Deloitte LLP

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	Church Commissioners Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
5.1 vision 6.1 objectives	2.4 The Commissioners are broadly supportive of the intention of the Vision and Objectives set out within Section 5 and 6 of the Draft Plan. However, we have specific comments around how these objectives have been translated into individual policies, particularly the references to green buffer zones, and address these subsequently.	Noted.
7.7 housing growth	2.6 Whilst not a specific policy, Paragraph 7.7 is referenced in Policy 3: Car Parking and Electric Charging Points. The above pre-amble to the Housing Growth policies sets out very specific development requirements on a matter which should be given consideration in the context of an individual proposed development and site specific analysis and assessment. 2.10 The Draft Plan does not provide any evidence to justify how the specific parking requirements have been determined. Therefore, for the pre-amble text to apply such prescriptive requirements to car parking provision, and not tailored to a specific development, would be inconsistent with national and local policy and should be removed	Noted. Table included in policy and paragraph 7.7 altered to include evidence why parking space criteria has been applied.
Policy 3	2.26 The Draft Plan does not provide any evidence to justify the prescriptive parking requirements which have been proposed. Therefore, Policy 3, Section 2 should be removed to ensure that the policy is consistent with national and local policy	The SEA/HRA document considers this policy is compatible with the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan.(CLLP)
Policy 2	2.11 However, whilst high-level design principles may be established at a district or local level, more prescriptive design codes would only usually be applied on an individual development basis tailored to the specifics of the site and the proposals. 2.13 Therefore, it is considered that this part of the policy, which seeks to limit development heights to up to two storeys only without specific justification, is removed. 2.15 Policy 2 Section 2e should be edited to remove the requirement for a development to deliver more than the minimum required and the requirement for open green spaces to be provided within the	Wording has been changed in accordance with suggestions from NKDC. Number of storeys re housing restricted to 1 or 2 storey was a firm desire of parishioners. (Questionnaire conducted by Community Lincs. Appendix A 19) Point 2e has been removed.

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	Church Commissioners Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
	<p>development only to ensure that it is compliant with local planning policy.</p> <p>2.17(reference: 20/0057/OUT). The Draft Plan states that developments must demonstrate how the Character Profile has informed the design of the proposed development. The current planning application precedes the Draft Plan and therefore does not include an assessment of the development against the Character Profile.</p> <p>2.19The Bracebridge Heath Character Profile refers to a 'draft plan'. We assume this is referring to the Draft Framework Plan, which accompanied CLLP17, but is not formally adopted by Central Lincolnshire and is illustrative only. Allocation CL428 within the CLLP17 does not identify the need to comply with the Draft Framework Plan nor does it require 'a broad swathe of green open space', 'a green buffer zone between the roads and housing' or a 'wide green buffer zone with no road crossings'. 2.20The Draft Plan therefore sets out design requirements which are not consistent with the CLLP17.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>It does refer to the draft Framework Plan which is being used by NKDC planners as a basis for the development of the SEQ until a masterplan is agreed.</p> <p>Other sections of the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan (CLLP) such as 7.4.5, 7.4.6 , LP30 do refer to green buffer zones and environmental corridors etc. therefore the Neighbourhood Plan can be considered consistent with the CLLP.</p>
	<p>2.22As the evidence does not include a map illustrating the location of the proposed 'green beak' or the 'wide green buffer zone', it is difficult to assess what is being sought or the implications of the proposal.</p>	<p>Noted. Typing error will be rectified.</p> <p>Is stated in the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan LP30 Quote: 'as shown on the agreed concept plan.'</p>
Policy 5 affordable housing	<p>2.27Affordable housing is defined within the Glossary of the CLLP17 as social rented, affordable rented and intermediate house, provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices. There are no restrictions on the applicant to prove the suitability of the future</p>	<p>Noted. The policy is in line with NKDC's own policies.</p>

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	Church Commissioners Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
	occupier. Therefore, in order to be compliant with local planning policy it is considered that this draft policy is removed from the Draft Plan.	
Policy 6 protecting historic environment	Policy 6 does not separate the requirements in relation to designated and non-designated heritage assets, therefore the wording should be amended in order to ensure that the policy is compliant with national and local policy.	Wording has been changed to be in line with NKDC designated and non-designated heritage assets.
	North Kesteven District Council are currently updating and revising the local list of non-designated heritage assets. Therefore, to ensure that the Draft Plan is consistent with local policy, Appendix B should be deleted and references to this should be replaced with NKDC's local list.	NKDC has already compared our list with theirs. NKDC's list has been updated and the heritage and non-designated heritage assets are now aligned.
Policy 8 retail and leisure	2.32 The Commissioners agree that retail and leisure provision should incorporate parking facilities for visitors and services. Parking standards have been discussed previously within these representations in relation to Paragraph 7.7 and Policy 3 of the Draft Plan.	Noted. NKDC states meets basic conditions.
Policy 9 Sleaford Road industrial estate	2.33 Section 2 of Policy 9 refers to a green separation 'buffer zone' between Bracebridge Heath and Canwick Heath, and the Eastern Bypass, however no plan has been provided to illustrate the proposed location of the buffer zone.	Noted. It does refer to the Draft Framework Plan (or as stated in CLLP LP 30 'as shown on the agreed concept plan) which is being used by NKDC planners as a basis for the development of the SEQ until a masterplan is agreed. Other sections of the CLLP such as 7.4.5, 7.4.6, LP30 do refer to green buffer zones and environmental corridors etc. therefore the Neighbourhood Plan can be considered consistent with the CLLP.
	2.35 Policy 9 Section 2 is too prescriptive and does not provide any evidence to support the required access locations or green separation buffer zones. Therefore, in order to comply with the 'basic conditions' test Section 2 of Policy 9 should be amended.	

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	Church Commissioners Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Policy 14 maintaining separation	<p>2.36 Section 1 of Policy 14 refers to 'a landscaped green buffer zone', however no plan has been provided to illustrate the proposed location of the buffer zone.</p> <p>2.37 There is no requirement within the CLLP17 South East Quadrant allocation or elsewhere within the CLLP17 for a landscaped buffer between Bracebridge Heath and the SEQ. No evidence has been provided to justify this approach. Therefore, the policy as currently worded is not consistent with strategic policies within the Local Plan and it is recommended that it is deleted.</p>	<p>Noted. NKDC consider this policy meets the basic conditions.</p> <p>It does refer to the draft Framework Plan (or as stated in CLLP LP 30 'as shown on the agreed concept plan) which is being used by NKDC planners as a basis for the development of the SEQ until a masterplan is agreed.</p> <p>Other sections of the CLLP such as 7.4.5, 7.4.6, LP30 do refer to green buffer zones and environmental corridors etc. therefore the Neighbourhood Plan can be considered consistent with the CLLP.</p>
Policy 16 open spaces	2.41 Therefore, to ensure consistency with National and Local Plan policy, the wording of Section 1 should be amended to permit in principle the development of recreational land or buildings where those land or buildings are surplus to requirements.	Noted. NKDC generally consider this policy to meet basic condition with some changes in wording for clarity. This has been done.
Policy 19 Views (Actually is Policy 17)	There is no evidence in the Draft Plan or in any supporting documents that justify why the listed views have been designated as 'locally important'. This evidence is required to ensure the policy has been made on robust grounds	Noted NKDC states this policy is consistent to meet the basic conditions.

Anglian Water

Location In draft Neighbourhood Plan	Anglian Water Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Policy 2: Design of new housing developments	<p>Anglian Water as water company for the Parish supports the requirement for new residential proposals to incorporate rainwater harvesting systems as this will help to reduce water use. There are a number of systems including surface water/stormwater harvesting and grey water recycling which would also be appropriate to residential proposals which are actively promoting as part of our Green Water Programme. (https://www.anglianwater.co.uk/developers/development-services/green-water/). Therefore we would suggest the policy includes reference to surface water harvesting and grey water recycling as well as rainwater harvesting systems.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Surface water harvesting and grey water recycling added to the policy</p>
Policy 10: Renewable technologies for employment	<p>Anglian Water as water company for the Parish supports the requirement for new employment proposals to incorporate rainwater harvesting systems as this will help to reduce water use. There are a number of systems including surface water/stormwater harvesting and grey water recycling which would also be appropriate to employment proposals. Therefore we would suggest the policy includes reference to surface water harvesting and grey water recycling as well as rainwater harvesting systems.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Surface water harvesting and grey water recycling added to the policy</p>

Environment Agency

Environment Agency Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
<p>Based on the environmental constraints within the area, we have no detailed comments to make in relation to your Plan at this stage. However, your plan includes areas which are located on Source Protection Zones 2 and 3. These should be considered within your plan if growth or development is proposed here. The relevance of the designation and the potential implication upon development proposals should be considered with reference to our Groundwater Protection guidance: https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/groundwater-protection</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>No growth planned in Zone 2 or 3.</p>

Historic England

Historic England Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
<p>The area covered by your Neighbourhood Plan includes a number of important designated heritage assets. In line with national planning policy, it will be important that the strategy for this area safeguards those elements which contribute to the significance of these assets so that they can be enjoyed by future generations of the area.</p>	

<p>If you have not already done so, we would recommend that you speak to the planning and conservation team at your local planning authority together with the staff at the county council archaeological advisory service who look after the Historic Environment Record. They should be able to provide details of the designated heritage assets in the area together with locally-important buildings, archaeological remains and landscapes. Some Historic Environment Records may also be available on-line via the Heritage Gateway (www.heritagegateway.org.uk <http://www.heritagegateway.org.uk>). It may also be useful to involve local voluntary groups such as the local Civic Society or local historic groups in the production of your Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>Historic England has produced advice which your community might find helpful in helping to identify what it is about your area which makes it distinctive and how you might go about ensuring that the character of the area is retained. These can be found at:- <https://historicengland.org.uk/advice/planning/plan-making/improve-your-neighbourhood/></p> <p>You may also find the advice in “<i>Planning for the Environment at the Neighbourhood Level</i>” useful. This has been produced by Historic England, Natural England, the Environment Agency and the Forestry Commission. As well as giving ideas on how you might improve your local environment, it also contains some useful further sources of information. This can be downloaded from:</p> <p><http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140328084622/http://cdn.environment-agency.gov.uk/LIT_6524_7da381.pdf></p> <p>If you envisage including new housing allocations in your plan, we refer you to our published advice available on our website, “Housing Allocations in Local Plans” as this relates equally to Neighbourhood Planning. This can be found at <https://content.historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/historic-environment-and-site-allocations-in-local-plans/hea074-he-and-site-allocation-local-plans.pdf></p>	<p>Noted.</p>
--	---------------

Natural England

Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft Neighbourhood Plan.

Jesus College Oxford

Responses provided for Jesus College Oxford by Pegasus Group

Location in draft Neighbourhood Plan	Jesus College Oxford Comments	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Policy 14 Maintaining Separation	This representation specifically focuses on Policy 14 of the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan, 'Maintaining Separation'. The proposals as set out in the application are therefore in accordance with the adopted Local Plan Policy and are also consistent with the proposed Policy 14 in the Draft Neighbourhood Plan.	Noted.

Responses to consultation (Regulation 14) with parishioners of Bracebridge Heath

	Questions	RAW DATA			PERCENTAGES		
		Yes	No	No answer	Yes	No	No answer
1	Do you agree with the Community Vision for Bracebridge Heath contained in the draft Neighbourhood Plan?	29	4	0	88	12	0
2	Do you agree with the Community Objectives for Bracebridge Heath contained in the draft Neighbourhood Plan?	30	3	0	91	9	0
3	Do you agree with Policy 1 Housing Mix of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan	28	5	0	85	15	0
4	Do you agree with Policy 2 Design of new housing developments of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	28	5	0	85	15	0
5	Do you agree with Policy 3 Car parking and electric charging points of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	1	0	97	3	0
6	Do you agree with Policy 4 Cycle parking and storage of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	33	0	0	100	0	0
7	Do you agree with Policy 5 Allocation of affordable housing of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	27	6	0	82	18	0
8	Do you agree with Policy 6 Protecting the historical environment of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	1	0	97	3	0
9	Do you agree with Policy 7 St John's Craft Workshops of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	1	0	97	3	0
10	Do you agree with Policy 8 Retail and leisure provision of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	28	5	0	85	15	0
11	Do you agree with Policy 9 Sleaford Road Industrial Estate of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	1	0	97	3	0
12	Do you agree with Policy 10 Renewable technologies on employment sites of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	33	0	0	100	0	0
13	Do you agree with Policy 11 Small scale business developments of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	0	1	97	0	3
14	Do you agree with Policy 12 Protecting community facilities of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	1	0	97	3	0
15	Do you agree with Policy 13 Green infrastructure of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	31	2	0	94	6	0
16	Do you agree with Policy 14 Maintaining separation of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	1	0	97	3	0
17	Do you agree with Policy 15 Viking Way and Lincoln Edge green wedges of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	31	1	1	94	3	3

	Questions	RAW DATA			PERCENTAGES		
		Yes	No	No answer	Yes	No	No answer
18	Do you agree with Policy 16 Open spaces, sports facilities and recreation facilities of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	1	0	97	3	0
19	Do you agree with Policy 17 Locally important views of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	1	0	97	3	0
20	Do you agree with Policy 18 Designating local green space of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	32	1	0	97	3	0
21	Do you agree with Policy 19 Protecting existing and establishing new non-vehicular routes for pedestrians and cyclists of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	29	4	0	88	12	0
22	Do you agree with Community Projects of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	28	5	0	85	15	0

Comments from the Consultation (Regulation 14) with Bracebridge Heath Parishioners.

Comments from the Consultation Survey.	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Question 1: Do you agree with the Community Vision for Bracebridge Heath contained in the draft Neighbourhood Plan?	
<p>I believe that we should not be actively preventing positive development in the village.</p> <p>Unfortunately the parish council is not representative of the young people and families that will benefit from this considerable investment Sadly retired people with too much time on their hands and prejudiced opinions get in the way of the young families who are more representative of the village</p>	Noted
<p>Bracebridge Heath is located between three major Roman Roads, A15 Sleaford Road, A607 Grantham Road, and Cross O' Cliffe Hill going in to Lincoln, without the inclusion of Canwick Avenue (B1131) a main access road which connects to the B1188 at the junction at the top of Canwick Hill (B1188) which connected to the A15. It is well documented at how congested these road have already become especially during rush hour. Bracebridge Heath has already significantly expanded over the past 25 years with major housing developments, on the Sycamore Grove Estate and the St. John's Hospital which are still being developed. As stated in North Kesteven District Council, 2017 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR) 'The main source of air pollution in the district is road traffic emission from roads.....significant housing developments with the District will lead to an increase in population and therefore in vehicle usage (NKDC, 2017,pi). NKDC (2017) also state that 'air quality is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease and cancer (pi)'. Since 2017 there has been significant housing developments not only in Bracebridge Heath, Waddington and Branston to name and they are all connected by the main artery routes into Lincoln. The last air quality monitoring was carried out in 2016/17 with no monitoring in Bracebridge Heath on the roads mentioned above during rush hour, with the nearest monitoring being in Branston. Under the LAQM the council is legally obliged to carry out a review and assess the air quality in the region. I therefore request that the monitor and assess the above mentioned roads at rush hour and also consider the impact upon health of the existing residents, as I have noted that the air pollution has typically increased year upon year. Bracebridge Heath needs to keep its existing buffer zones to protect the residents from air pollution and allow there needs to address by the local authority about increasing access routes into Lincoln to ease existing congestion and not create extra congestion. Also there is an increasing number of road traffic accidents in and around Lincoln, with the city grinding to a standstill causing more air pollution and impeding the emergency services in reaching people requiring urgent assistance.</p>	Noted. A number of policies are include environmental and health elements.
There is too much land allocated in the BBH area. The village is going to lose its identity as a village and becomes part of Lincoln	Noted
Too much land allocated to housing, loss of village identity, will become part of Lincoln	A number of policies are designed to aid 'the village feel.'

Comments from the Consultation Survey.	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Question 2: Do you agree with the Community Objectives for Bracebridge Heath contained in the draft Neighbourhood Plan?	
I believe that there is need for improvement to Bracebridge Heath to ensure its 'village like feel', to improve the services and amenities for residents, such as a youth centre, leisure centre, dentist, and an additional doctor's surgery. Also its heritage needs preserving for future generations.	Noted.
There needs to be an infrastructure to support this, we have a shortage of GP's in the whole of Lincolnshire, we cannot recruit ,the road network is so poor, schools!	Noted.
Ok apart from the amount of new build and lack of roads, infrastructure to support it.	Noted.
Question 3: Do you agree with Policy 1 Housing Mix of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan	
I agree with the need to preserve and protect the Green Infrastructure, buffer zones and to keep the rural cliff village feel to Bracebridge Heath and to prevent it from becoming an extension of Lincoln. I believe that Bracebridge Heath needs to be protected from over expansion for the sake of greed and profit with the guise of meeting housing needs over the quality of air in the area. There are many disused properties, or retail units areas in Lincoln that could be developed to provide housing for everyone and not just student accommodation that is only occupied for approximately 8 months out of a year.	Noted.
There are too many new developments, where are all the jobs for people wanting to purchase.	Noted.
To many new builds.	Noted.
Need for some 'care' housing in the area.	Noted.
Question 4: Do you agree with Policy 2 Design of new housing developments of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
As previously stated in my previous responses to my concerns about new developments that are linked to the air quality annual status report by NKDC in 2017, increased traffic, pollution and road traffic incidents. Also the environmental impact by removing hedgerow, animals habitats, the fields also help with run off when we have heavy rains and storms.	Noted Policies are included to help link wildlife habitats.
It will increase the population with no real promise of better facilities (shops, doctors, schools etc).	Noted.
Completely will be a blot on the landscape.	Noted.
As above. (To many new builds)	Noted.
Question 5: Do you agree with Policy 3 Car parking and electric charging points of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
We do need better parking as there is issues with parking in the area, but where would the electric charging points be placed and how is that electric generated? There is pollution caused by generating electricity so by increasing electric cars it artificially looks like pollution is being reduced.	Noted. Policy 3 covers the point re charging points
I agree with charging points	Noted.
Question 6: Do you agree with Policy 4 Cycle parking and storage of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
It would also be good to consider cycle parking in the village itself at the shops for example	Noted.

Comments from the Consultation Survey.	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Question 7: Do you agree with Policy 5 Allocation of affordable housing of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
I do not agree with the expansion of Bracebridge Heath, and also affordable housing is only a small percentage of the overall development, the vast majority of the development is out of the reach of the majority of people in the area, due to low wages.	Noted.
They will be given to people on benefits.	Noted.
Affordable housing should be accessible to all.	Noted. Amount of affordable housing set by CLLP.
The plans are on to much of huge scales. Perhaps keep affordable housing in one area and not spread over all planned developments.	Noted.
I agree with the principle but cant see how this can be enforced.	Noted.
I agree with the policy but question how it can be enforced. Who will be responsible for monitoring applicants and making sure that developers or agents apply the policy?	Noted.
First time buyers/renting - it's a struggle.	Noted.
There needs to be some affordable housing but not on such a grand scale.	Amount of affordable housing set by CLLP.
Question 8: Do you agree with Policy 6 Protecting the historical environment of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
<i>No comments made.</i>	
Question 9: Do you agree with Policy 7 St John's Craft Workshops of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
This policy is clearly prejudiced by personal relationships and how they can beat benefit from misrepresentating the policy	Noted.
Question 10: Do you agree with Policy 8 Retail and leisure provision of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
Discrepancy between marketing times is discriminatory. St Johns craft doesn't contribute to the economy the same way other facilities/business do.	Length of time been altered to 6 months.
I don't want a leisure centre/skating rink, these are for towns.	Noted.
We need to keep the identity of BBH as a village and not a town like North Hykeham. The whole development needs to be scales down!!	Noted.
Leisure centres are not located in villages. If BBH is to retain a 'village feel' a leisure centre should be avoided.	Noted.
If the development was smaller all this would not be needed.	Noted.
Need for 'fresh' butcher.	Noted.
Question 11: Do you agree with Policy 9 Sleaford Road Industrial Estate of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
<i>No comments made.</i>	

Comments from the Consultation Survey.	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Question 12: Do you agree with Policy 10 Renewable technologies on employment sites of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
Should provision be made for future technologies as well as the ones listed? What about wind generators?	The policy includes future technologies as mentioned are just examples.
Question 13: Do you agree with Policy 11 Small scale business developments of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
However, there are already business' operating outside the policy. Gym on industrial estate slamming tyres around at 5am/6am on average. Small beer could also be less noise pollutant.	Noted This policy will protect the remaining units.
Question 14: Do you agree with Policy 12 Protecting community facilities of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
Provided existing trees are chopped at a minimum.	Noted
Question 15: Do you agree with Policy 13 Green infrastructure of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
Old cemetery to become Nature Park with wild grass and bushes.	Noted.
Green infrastructure is really important in making BBH a pleasant place to live.	Noted.
Question 16: Do you agree with Policy 14 Maintaining separation of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
Should the extent of the landscaped green buffer zone be identified? Is there a minimum width along the whole of the zone? So long as the spaces are left untouched and unaffected by the work.	Is in accordance with CLLP policy 20 and 30.
Question 17: Do you agree with Policy 15 Viking Way and Lincoln Edge green wedges of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
<i>No comments made.</i>	
Question 18: Do you agree with Policy 16 Open spaces, sports facilities and recreation facilities of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
If you have no care-need to retain or increase leisure facility in the village	Noted
Question 19: Do you agree with Policy 17 Locally important views of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
<i>No comments made.</i>	
Question 20: Do you agree with Policy 18 Designating local green space of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
Reduces the number of properties that can be built	Noted.
Are there other green spaces that could be designated and included in the policy.	Noted Not that the sub-committee is aware of.

Comments from the Consultation Survey.	Remarks from Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee
Question 21: Do you agree with Policy 19 Protecting existing and establishing new non-vehicular routes for pedestrians and cyclists of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
The roads are particularly narrow and there are cars parked along Cross O' Cliffe Hill, reducing the vehicle access especially for wider vehicles and with the new laws on giving extra space to cyclist this would not be possible without crossing over the centre line. Also there are a lots of pot holes, and pavements in need of repair. While I respect the need to provide safe spaces for cyclists and pedestrians this cannot be addressed without dealing with the inadequate infrastructure which is not fit for purpose.	Noted. This Neighbourhood Plan has included policies to aid the use of alternative means of transport.
Public footpath through St John's to Litchfield Rd used by many people and children not moficated!	This footpath is temporary based on many years of use. When the St John's Hospital development is completed, the footpath will close and the right of way between Sleaford Road and Lichfield Road will divert along the new Medland Way.
Question 22: Do you agree with Community Projects of the draft Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan?	
5,6 Extensions to the village hall and pavilion should allow for partial redevelopment or complete rebuild. The suggestion here only allows for extending. 7 Village green- this land is currently woodland. These trees would need to be removed to create a village green which would affect the landscaping of the centre of the village.	Noted.
Whitegates are a waste of money. Not sure we really need a Heritage Centre.	Noted.
Don't think gates are needed.	Noted.
I don't think white gates will be effective/value for money.	Noted.
I hope you can fulfill all these proposals without to much alterations to what you have proposed.	Noted.
Congratulations! Priority should be given to cycleway/pathway from BBH to Branston and Lincoln via Canwick.	Noted.

Appendix A Consultation events

A	DATE	EVENT	PURPOSE	OUTCOME
1.	Began May 2017, published monthly Ongoing	Regular written report and update published in Heathcliff View.	To raise awareness and to encourage all residents to attend the monthly meetings.	Regular communication with the whole village giving updates and progress reports.
2.	Began May 2017, published monthly Ongoing	Regular written report and update published in The Parish News.	To raise awareness and to encourage all residents to attend the monthly meetings.	Regular communication with the whole village giving updates and progress reports.
3.	Began May 2017 Ongoing	Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee Meetings.	To discuss and began formulation of the Neighbourhood Plan. Each date and agenda published and all residents invited.	Open meetings to enable residents to come along and have their say.
4.	15.07.17	Facebook group created.	To raise awareness and give facility for comments by residents. Information given 'What is a Neighbourhood Plan?'	Page visited 146 times on first day. All comments are noted for inclusion and consideration into the Vision for the plan and archived.
5.	Began 16.12.17 ongoing every third Saturday of the month to present	Presence at monthly coffee mornings at St John the Evangelist Church. Display and at least two members of Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee to answer questions.	To raise awareness and be available to residents to answer questions and record comments.	All comments on post it notes recorded and archived, for inclusion and consideration for the Vision of the Plan.
6.	July 2017	Competition for pupils of St John's Primary Academy to design a mascot for the NP.	To raise awareness among the younger people in the village and allow for their input.	Excellent response. Top three chosen on 28 th July
7.	29.07.17	Informal online poll on Facebook group	To allow residents to have their say in what they would like to see in the village	53 responses. 147 visited the group.
8.	17.09.17	Began photograph competition.	To raise awareness and encourage residents to go out and about to take photographs.	All photographs uploaded on Facebook group and all members of the group allowed to comment and vote on their favourite.
9.	02.10.17	Cllrs Donaldson and Trought invited to St John's Primary Academy full school assembly to give a presentation about what a Neighbourhood Plan is and also give results and prizes for the logo/mascot competition.	To encourage younger villagers to think about their village and talk about it at home.	Councillors and school staff reported back that the pupils were very receptive and interested. The winners were delighted with their prizes.

A	DATE	EVENT	PURPOSE	OUTCOME
10.	12.10.17 22.10.17 27.10.17 12.11.17	'How well do you know your village?' just for fun quizzes on Facebook.	To raise awareness and encourage residents to really look at their village and talk about it at home.	Many took part and it generated some lively discussions about days gone by and what was needed for the future
11.	18.11.17	St John's Primary Academy Christmas fête Display of old photos of village, maps and free competition 'How well do you know your village?'	To raise awareness and for committee members to be available to answer questions and make comments	Great footfall (several hundred) All comments on post it notes recorded and archived by the Parish Clerk. Prize given for competition
12.	22.11.17	Bracebridge Heath painted stone 'Bees' hidden round the village.	To raise awareness and encourage residents out and about to look for them.	May people took part and prizes were awarded for finding the special Queen Bee.
13.	23.11.17	Cllr Trought interviewed on BBC Radio Lincolnshire William Wright Show about the bee's	To raise awareness and reach residents who may not have access to social media.	Many residents heard it and stopped members of the Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee to say so and give comments.
14.	December 2017	Photo competition winner announced on Facebook group	Raising awareness	As a prize the photo was enlarged, framed and displayed in the Community Library
15.	March 2018	Village questionnaire in Heathcliff View delivered to every household in the village.	To enable residents, young people and local businesses to make comments and have their say about the future of the village.	Completed questionnaires returned to Community Lincs for analysis. Report written.
16.	March 2018	Survey Monkey live online.	Questionnaire as above for those who prefer to answer online.	
17.	30.06.18	St John's Primary Academy School summer fête.	To raise awareness and allow all residents to engage with committee members, look at the display of photos, maps and take part in the free competition.	Great footfall (several hundred) Many questions raised and discussed. All comments written on post it notes recorded and archived.
18.	13.08.18	St John the Evangelist summer fête.	To raise awareness and allow all residents to engage with committee members, look at the display of photos, maps.	Attendance was good Majority of whom had the opportunity to stop and look at the display. Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee members there to discuss Neighbourhood Plan and answer any questions.

A	DATE	EVENT	PURPOSE	OUTCOME
19.	15.09.18	Vision event at Village Hall.	Consultation with parishioners on the questionnaire results and vision for the Neighbourhood Plan Refreshments served by St John's church.	Attendance between 150 and 200 people Postcards filled in on what parishioners saw as their vision for 2036.
20.	24.11.18	St John's Primary Academy Christmas fête.	To raise awareness and allow all residents to engage with committee members, look at the display of photos, vision and objective statements, green infrastructure and green open spaces proposals, and take part in the free competition.	Attendance at fair well over 500 people. Majority of whom had the opportunity to stop and look at the display. From 11am till 3pm the NP members were busy talking to the public.
21.	22.6.19	St. John's Primary Academy School summer fête.	To raise awareness and allow all residents to engage with committee members, look at the display of photos, Non-designated buildings, green infrastructure and green open spaces proposals, and take part in the free competition.	Attendance at fair well over 500 people. Majority of whom had the opportunity to stop and look at the display. From 11am till 3pm the NP members were busy talking to the public.
22.	17.8.19	St John the Evangelist Church fête.	NP Members available to talk about the Neighbourhood Plan. Along with a display of parts of the NP.	Awareness raising with regard to how far the NP had progressed.
23.	7.9.19	Showcase Village Hall	Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee members available to talk about the Neighbourhood Plan. Along with a display of draft policies and heritage assets	Updated parishioners on progress and policies.
24.	14.9.19	Showcase Pavilion	NP Members available to talk about the Neighbourhood Plan. Along with a display of draft policies and heritage assets	Updated parishioners on progress and policies.
25.	23.11.19	St. John's Primary Academy School Christmas fête.	NP Members available to talk about the Neighbourhood Plan. Along with a display of updated draft policies	Updated parishioners on progress and policies.
26.	22/1/2020 – 8/3/2020	Regulation 14 Pre-Consultation	Consultation with statutory consultee and Bracebridge Heath Parishioners on draft Neighbourhood Plan Various activities/consultations and comment sheet	Comments received noted and used to inform any changes or confirm polices within the Neighbourhood Plan.

Appendix B Sample post from Facebook group

Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Planning Group

Public group

About

Discussion


Members

Events

Photos

Search this group

[+ Join group](#) [... More](#) Join this group to post and c

 **Kate Troughton**
4 October 2019

BRACEBRIDGE HEATH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN UPDATE


At the moment the latest version of your plan is at North Kesteven District Council for a second 'health check'. We are nearly there after a great deal of hard work. This is a sample of the wide ranging areas that the plan has covered on your behalf. Some of you will already have seen the whole of the latest transcription at the Village Showcase.

Contents

1. Why are we creating a neighbourhood plan
2. The neighbourhood plan process
3. A brief history of Bracebridge Heath
4. Bracebridge Heath today
5. Community vision
6. Community objectives
7. Housing growth
8. Affordable housing
9. Historical character
10. Village commercial and retail uses
11. Employment
12. Community facilities
13. Green infrastructure
 - 13a. Maintaining separation
 - 13b. Viking Way and Lincoln Edge green wedges
 - 13c. Open spaces, sports facilities and recreation facilities
 - 13d. Locally important views
14. Designating local green spaces
15. Transport and public rights of way
16. Implementing and monitoring

Appendix A Community projects
Appendix B Bracebridge Heath heritage assets
Appendix C Bracebridge Heath local green areas
Appendix D Neighbourhood Plan consultation events
Appendix E Glossary of terms
Appendix F List of additional supporting documents

Appendix C Community Lincs Consultation Report cover and contents



Bracebridge Heath Neighbourhood Plan Consultation Analysis Report **community Lincs**

Contents

Contents	Page
What is Neighbourhood Planning?	3
The Central Lincolnshire Plan	3
Background	4
Client Brief	5
Analysis of Residents, Young People and Business Questionnaires	6
Primary School Engagement	50
Conclusions and Recommendations	57
Appendices	
1. Neighbourhood Plan Sub-Committee Consultation 2017	
2. Residents' questionnaire	
3. Question 3 individual comments	
4. Business questionnaire	
5. School presentation	
6. School Letters	
7. Wish Tree leaves	
8. Neighbourhood Plan Sub-Committee Consultation June 2018	

2.

Appendix D Sample photographs and posters from consultations

BRACEBRIDGE HEATH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN QUESTIONNAIRE 2018

Now available

The questionnaire can be found in
Heathcliff View
(If you don't receive one, extra copies in library).


If you would prefer to complete your questionnaire
online please go to:

www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/bracebridgeresidents

BUSINESS QUESTIONNAIRE

A separate questionnaire for businesses can be found
online at:

www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/bracebridgebusiness



**What the Village Said -
The Results Are In!!**

Come and see what residents want
for the future of Bracebridge Heath



Bracebridge Heath Vision Event
Village Hall
Saturday September 15th, 10am-4pm
Refreshments available



Appendix E Examples of reports in Parish News and Heathcliff View

BRACEBRIDGE HEATH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN UPDATE

Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

Your plan is now out for the Regulation 14 consultation which is part of the pre submission requirement before it is submitted to the local authority for independent examination.

Are you a local business or village organisation? We would really welcome your views on the Neighbourhood Plan. Please get in touch.

Parish News

If anyone would like a copy of the plan and a survey please email assistantclerk@bracebridge-heath.co.uk and a copy will be emailed to you. Thank you.

I ♥ BBH

Find a copy of the Draft Neighbourhood Plan 2020-2041 and Survey at the following locations –

- Sheppard Court
- Minster Court
- The Pantry Café
- The Blacksmiths
- The Pavilion
- Community Library
- Church of St John the Evangelist

See the Parish Council website <https://tinyurl.com/tcaw39g> for a full copy of the plan and link to the survey.

BRACEBRIDGE HEATH PARISH COUNCIL UPDATE

Annual Parish Meeting

Thanks to all residents who attended the Annual Parish Meeting and the WI for providing their usual wonderful contribution of refreshments.

The chairman gave a resume of all the very diverse work covered by the Parish Council in the previous 12 months and reports were received from the chairmen of the Amenities Committee, the Environment Committee and the Finance and Policy Committee. Residents representing the library, U3A and the Allotment Holders Association also gave verbal reports, as did the Waddington and District Poppy Appeal representative. Many other reports from community groups were provided in a booklet which is available to read on the Parish Council website.

Hard copies of the Annual Reports 2019-2020 were provided on the night and these are also available to read on the Parish Council website. <https://tinyurl.com/srwx7gg>

VE Day

Exciting plans are underway for our village to celebrate the 75th anniversary of **VE Day on Friday 8th May**. This will be in the form of a village fete on the Recreation Ground. The itinerary thus far is:
12noon to 13:15pm - International Bomber Command Orchestra and Choir

*13:30pm to 14:15pm
Shannon Reilly and the Peeping Toms*
*14:30pm to 15:15pm
Praise Through the Generations – St John's Church*
*15:45pm to 16:30pm
Shannon Reilly and the Peeping Toms*
*16:45pm to 17:15pm
Lincoln Dance Company*
*17:30pm to 17:45pm
Barry Wood – local solo singer*
*18pm to 18:45pm
Prize Draw and Prizes for Cake/Drawing/Outfits*
*19pm to 20pm
Phoenix Paterson/The Baudelaires – Local Band*

There will be numerous stalls, including a licensed bar, a bouncy castle, fancy dress, face painting, various food stands and a wonderful raffle in which you may win an iPad.

If you can help in any way please get in touch with the Parish Clerk.

Next Meetings

In the Village Hall

Tuesday 7th April Full Parish Council Meeting, In the Community Library

Monday 20th April 2020

Environment Committee – if required 7pm
Finance and Policy Committee 7.30pm

Contact details:

Mrs S Knowles - Clerk to Council
Bracebridge Heath Community Library,
London Road, Bracebridge Heath,
Lincoln, LN4 2LA • Tel: 07899 888 530

BRACEBRIDGE HEATH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

Thank you very much to all those who responded to our latest draft plan during the previous six weeks pre submission consultation. The committee will now collate and consider all the responses before revising and sending it to NKDC for independent examination.



Heathcliff View

Appendix F Screen shot of minutes, showing Neighbourhood Plan subcommittee minutes available on Bracebridge Heath Parish Council webpage.

<http://parishes.lincolnshire.gov.uk/BracebridgeHeath/>

The screenshot shows the website for Bracebridge Heath Parish Council. The header includes the text 'Lincolnshire Parish Councils | North Kesteven' and 'Bracebridge Heath Parish Council' next to a snowman illustration. A search bar is located in the top right. A left-hand navigation menu lists various council services. The main content area is titled '2019 Minutes' and contains a list of meeting minutes with their respective dates and file sizes.

Lincolnshire Parish Councils | North Kesteven
Bracebridge Heath Parish Council












Site search

- [vacancies](#)
- [ncillors](#)
- [ilendar](#)
- [endas](#)
- [inutes](#)
- [ish Meetings and](#)
- [nade outside of](#)
- [ncil Accounts](#)
- [recept demand](#)
- [d documents](#)
- [hood Plan](#)
- [e Heath heritage](#)
- [y Library](#)
- [acilities](#)
- [l](#)
- [ment of / buildings](#)
- [rganisations](#)
- [rimary Academy](#)
- [St. John the](#)
- [Church](#)
- [endar](#)
- [ks](#)
- [i](#)
- [tice](#)

2019 Minutes

Minutes of meetings of Parish Council, Standing Committees and Sub-Committee

Minutes of meetings of Parish Council, Standing Committees and Sub-Committees h

-  [08 Jan 2019 - Parish Council](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (918 Kb)
-  [21 Jan 2019 - Planning, Lighting and Roads](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (591 Kb)
-  [21 Jan 2019 - Woodland & Cemetery Development subcommittee](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (555 Kb)
-  [21 Jan 2019 - Finance & General Purposes](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (715 Kb)
-  [24 Jan 2019 - Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (611 Kb)
-  [05 Feb 2019 - Parish Council](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (805 Kb)
-  [18 Feb 2019 - Planning, Lighting and Roads](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (603 Kb)
-  [18 Feb 2019 - Playing Fields, Allotments and Open Spaces](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (596 Kb)
-  [18 Feb 2019 - Finance and General Purposes](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (699 Kb)
-  [28 Feb 2019 - Neighbourhood Plan sub-committee](#)
Acrobat/PDF File (599 Kb)
-  [05 Mar 2019 - Full Council](#)