

CENTRAL LINCOLNSHIRE LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION

APPENDIX 1

SCHEDULE OF MAIN MODIFICATIONS

REF No.	SECTION/ PARA/ POLICY	MAIN MODIFICATION
MM/1	3.2.5	<p>Amend the paragraph as follows:</p> <p><u>"3.2.5 The settlement hierarchy is set out below. A separate report prepared during the formulation of the Local Plan, the Central Lincolnshire Settlement Hierarchy Study (April 2016), is available to explain the rationale in more detail. It should be noted that the Local Plan (and associated Policies Map) does not include defined 'settlement boundaries' around any settlements in Central Lincolnshire, and instead relies on the policy below to determine appropriate locations for development. The CLJSPC and district local planning authorities will carefully monitor the effectiveness of LP2, assessing whether the policies provide an effective framework for decision-making and provide a clear indication as to how a decision maker should react to a development proposal. If, through monitoring, it is determined that the policies are not providing an effective framework, the CLJSPC will take steps to address the matter, such as through the preparation of a partial or full review of the Local Plan or through preparation of a Supplementary Planning Document'</u></p>
MM/2	LP2	<p>Amend Policy LP2 so that it reads as follows:</p> <p>Policy LP2: The Spatial Strategy and Settlement Hierarchy</p> <p>The spatial strategy will focus on delivering sustainable growth for Central Lincolnshire that meets the needs for homes and jobs, regenerates places and communities, and supports necessary improvements to facilities, services and infrastructure.</p> <p>Development should create strong, sustainable, cohesive and inclusive communities, making the most effective use of previously developed land (except where that land is of high environmental value), and enabling a larger number of people to access jobs, services and facilities locally.</p> <p>Development should provide the scale and mix of housing types and a range of new job opportunities that will meet the identified needs of Central Lincolnshire in order to secure balanced communities.</p>

Decisions on investment in services and facilities, and on the location and scale of development, will be assisted by a Central Lincolnshire Settlement Hierarchy.

The hierarchy is as follows:

1. Lincoln Urban Area

To significantly strengthen the role of Lincoln, both regionally and within Central Lincolnshire, and to meet Lincoln's growth objectives and regeneration needs, the Lincoln urban area* and the sites allocated in this Local Plan on the edge of the Lincoln urban area will be the principal focus for development in Central Lincolnshire, including housing, retail, leisure, cultural, office and other employment development. Additional growth on non-allocated sites in appropriate locations** **within the developed footprint*** of the Lincoln urban area** will also be considered favourably.

The Lincoln urban area is defined as the current built up area of Lincoln, which includes the City of Lincoln, North Hykeham, South Hykeham Fosseway, Waddington Low Fields and any other developed land adjoining these areas. **Whilst the Lincoln urban area is not defined by a boundary on the Policies Map, the Key Diagram of Lincoln on page 69 [update page number if needed] provides an indicative representation of areas that are within the Lincoln Urban Area as opposed to within neighbouring villages.*

2. Main Towns

To maintain and enhance their roles as main towns, and to meet the objectives for regeneration, Sleaford and Gainsborough will, **primarily via sites allocated in this Local Plan,** be the focus for substantial housing development supported by appropriate levels of employment growth, retail growth and wider service provision. Additional growth on non-allocated sites in appropriate locations** **within the developed footprint*** of Sleaford and Gainsborough urban area*** will also be considered favourably.

** **Whilst the Sleaford and Gainsborough urban area is not defined by a boundary on the Policies Map, the Key Diagrams on pages 99 and 92 respectively [update page numbers if necessary] provide an indicative representation of the built up urban areas of these towns to assist in differentiating between what is within the town and what is within neighbouring villages***

3. Market Towns

To maintain and enhance their roles as market towns, Caistor and Market Rasen will be the focus for significant, but proportionate, growth in housing, employment, retail and wider service provision. Most of this growth will be via sites allocated in this plan, or appropriate infill, intensification or renewal of **within** the existing urban area **developed footprint of Caistor and Market Rasen**. However, additional growth on non-allocated sites in appropriate locations** on the edge **outside of, but immediately adjacent to, the developed footprint***** of these market towns may also be considered favourably, though these are unlikely to be supported if over 50 dwellings / 2 ha per site (whichever is the smaller).

4. Large Villages

To maintain and enhance their role as large villages which provide **housing**, employment, retail, and key services and facilities for the local area, the following settlements will be a focus for accommodating an appropriate level of growth. Most of this growth will be via sites allocated in this plan, or appropriate infill, intensification or renewal of the existing urban area **within the existing developed footprint**. In exceptional circumstances****, additional growth on non-allocated sites in appropriate locations** on the edge **outside of, but immediately adjacent to, the developed footprint***** of these large villages might be considered favourably, though these are unlikely to be of a scale over 25 dwellings / 1 ha per site (whichever is the smaller).

[no changes to settlements as listed in submitted Local Plan]

5. Medium Villages

Unless otherwise promoted via a neighbourhood plan **or through the demonstration of clear local community support******, the following applies in these settlements:

- these settlements **they** will accommodate a limited amount of development in order to support their function and/or sustainability.
- no sites are allocated in this plan for development, **except for Hemswell Cliff and Lea** sites which are already 'committed' (eg with planning permission or under construction).
- typically, **and only in appropriate locations****, development proposals will be on sites of up to 9 dwellings or 0.25 hectares for employment uses. However, in exceptional circumstances**** proposals may come forward at a larger scale on sites of up to 25 dwellings or 0.5 hectares per site for employment uses where proposals can be justified by local circumstances.

Policy LP4 establishes the total level of % growth levels for each Medium Village, and further policy requirements in respect of identifying whether a site would be suitable for development.

[no changes to settlements as listed in submitted Local Plan]

6. Small Villages

Unless otherwise promoted via a neighbourhood plan **or through the demonstration of clear local community support****, the following applies in these settlements:**

- ~~these settlements~~ **they** will accommodate small scale development of a limited nature, ~~proposals~~ **in appropriate locations**.**
- **proposals** will be considered on their merits but would normally be limited to **around** 4 dwellings, or 0.1 hectares per site for employment uses.

Policy LP4 establishes the total level of % growth for each Small Village, and further policy requirements in respect of identifying whether a site would be suitable for development.

*[no changes to settlements as listed in submitted Local Plan except ***Riseholme should be deleted from the list***]*

7. Hamlets

For the purposes of this Local Plan, a hamlet is defined as a settlement not listed elsewhere in this policy and with dwellings clearly clustered together to form a single developed footprint*. Such a hamlet must have a dwelling base of at least 15 units (as at April 2012). Within such hamlets, single dwelling infill developments (i.e. within the developed footprint*** of the village and within an otherwise continuous built up frontage of dwellings) in appropriate locations** will be supported in principle.**

~~7.8. Hamlets and Countryside~~

Unless stipulated by policy LP55, **Unless allowed by:**

(a) policy in any of the levels 1-7 or above; or

(b) any other policy in the Local Plan (such as LP4, LP5, LP7 and LP57),

development in ~~areas not listed above~~ will be **regarded as being in the countryside and as such** restricted to:

- that which is demonstrably essential to the effective operation of agriculture, horticulture, forestry, outdoor recreation, transport or utility services;
- renewable energy generation;
- **proposals falling under policy LP55;** and
- to minerals or waste development in accordance with separate Minerals and Waste Local Development Documents.

**** throughout this policy, the term 'appropriate locations' means a location which does not conflict, when taken as a whole, with national policy or policies in this Local Plan (such as, but not exclusively, Policy LP26). In addition, to qualify as an 'appropriate location', the site, if developed, would:**

- **retain the core shape and form of the settlement;**
- **not significantly harm the settlement's character and appearance; and**
- **not significantly harm the character and appearance of the surrounding countryside or the rural setting of the settlement.**

***** throughout this policy and Policy LP4 the term 'developed footprint' of a settlement is defined as the continuous built form of the settlement and excludes:**

- individual buildings or groups of dispersed buildings which are clearly detached from the continuous built up area of the settlement;**
- gardens, paddocks and other undeveloped land within the curtilage of buildings on the edge of the settlement where land relates more to the surrounding countryside than to the built up area of the settlement;**
- agricultural buildings and associated land on the edge of the settlement; and**
- outdoor sports and recreation facilities and other formal open spaces on the edge of the settlement.**

****** throughout this policy and Policy LP4 the term 'demonstration of clear local community support' means that at the point of submitting a planning application to the local planning authority, there should be clear evidence of local community support for the scheme, with such support generated via a thorough, but proportionate, pre-application community consultation exercise. If, despite a thorough, but proportionate, pre-application consultation exercise, demonstrable evidence of support or objection cannot be determined, then there will be a**

requirement for support from the applicable Parish or Town Council. If an applicant is in doubt as to what would constitute a 'thorough, but proportionate, pre-application consultation exercise', then the applicant should contact the applicable local planning authority Council.

******* 'exceptional circumstances' in this policy is a matter for the decision maker to determine, but could be, for example, where the development delivers a community facility (see Policy LP15) substantially above and beyond what would ordinarily be required by Policy LP12 or LP15 (or any other policy in the Local Plan), and for which a clear need has been identified.**

MM/3

3.3.2-
3.3.3

Amend the two paragraphs as follows:

3.3.2 **Determining the** The OAN for housing, both market and affordable, has been **assisted by** ~~determined through the preparation of a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (2015) which is based on the most up to date population and household projections available.~~ In addition to meeting the housing needs of Central Lincolnshire's growing population it is essential that the creation of jobs are facilitated through appropriate policies in this Local Plan, in order to meet the likely growth in the economy (which itself was determined by a separate Economic Needs Assessment (ENA) in 2015). The scale of employment growth to be delivered through the Local Plan is closely related to the housing growth target and vice versa. The SHMA and ENA **made recommendations for what the OAN could be. The CLJSPC considered these recommendations and determined** identify the following as the OAN for Central Lincolnshire:

Objectively Assessed Need for Dwellings	<u>Objectively Assessed Need for Jobs</u> Forecast Baseline Job Growth
Between 1,432 — 1,780 <u>36,960 dwellings (average of 1,540 dwellings per annum) (net)</u>	11,894 FTE net new jobs 2012-36

3.3.3 ~~On-balance~~ **Subsequently**, a housing target **requirement** of 1,540 dwellings (net) per annum has been set for the Local Plan period 2012-36, resulting in a total dwelling target **requirement** of 36,960 dwellings. This 1,540pa figure ~~is within the OAN range established by the evidence,~~ and is higher than required to accommodate demographic need and also sufficiently high enough to support growth in the

		economy. <u>The 36,960 dwelling figure should not be seen as a ceiling, but rather the level of growth which is both needed and anticipated to take place in the plan period. However, for the purposes of five-year land supply calculations, the fixed figure of 36,960 dwellings will be used.</u>
MM/4	LP3	Replace: <p>"The Local Plan facilitates the delivery of 36,960 new dwellings and an appropriate amount of employment land over the plan period 2012–2036, distributed as follows"</p> <p>With</p> <p><u>"The Local Plan's strategic aim is to facilitate the delivery of 36,960 new dwellings and the creation of 11,894FTE net new jobs over the plan period 2012–2036, distributed as follows"</u></p>
MM/5	3.4.6	Amend start of paragraph, so as to read: <p>"3.4.6 If these constraints can be mitigated <u>overcome</u>, proposals will..."</p>
MM/6	3.4.7	Amend the paragraph, and split into four separate paragraphs, to read: <p>"There are two <u>three</u> exceptions to the above approach <u>set out in paragraphs 3.4.3-3.4.6 above</u>, these being for Hemswell Cliff, <u>Lea</u> and for Canwick, <u>for the following reasoning.</u></p> <p>Hemswell Cliff village is identified for a greater level of growth than would otherwise be the case if the above approach <u>in paragraphs 3.4.3-3.4.4 was</u> is followed. The reasoning for this exceptional approach is due to the adjacent Hemswell Cliff Business Park area being identified as a national Food Enterprise Zone, which will lead to significant investment and job creation. As such, this Local Plan makes <u>a</u> specific allocations for dwellings in Hemswell Cliff (see Policy LP53), to complement the employment and other growth associated with the Enterprise Zone, rather than a more general, no allocation, percentage increase approach.</p>

		<p><u>For Lea, the approach in paragraphs 3.4.3-3.4.4 remains applicable except that, unlike other villages in levels 5 and 6 of the settlement hierarchy, an allocation has been included in this Local Plan for Lea. The reason for this is that whilst Lea is a settlement in its own right, it is physically connected to the urban area of Gainsborough, and the same is the case for Morton. As such, Lea and Morton were considered for allocations to help meet Gainsborough’s growth needs. No sites have been allocated in Morton, but one site has been allocated in Lea (see policy LP50, site CL3044). This site in Lea counts towards the 15% level of growth for Lea set out in LP4.</u></p> <p><u>For Canwick, the approach in paragraphs 3.4.3-3.4.6 has not been followed because of the exceptional circumstance of the location of the village and the policy constraints (as identified on the Policies Map) which surrounds it.</u> Canwick is adjacent to the South East Quadrant Sustainable Urban Extension (SUE), and it also satisfies two of the sustainability criteria above. However, given that the SUE will be developed near to <u>(but avoiding coalescence with)</u> the village there are restricted opportunities for further growth, <u>with likely no opportunity for significant development (such as new housing or employment uses) beyond the developed footprint of the village.</u> As such, no reliance on growth in Canwick is made in the Local Plan, but infill sites may still come forward.”</p>
MM/7	New sentence at end of 3.4.9	<p>Add new sentence to read:</p> <p><u>“Monitoring of dwellings completed and permitted against the target growth level for each village will be undertaken by the applicable district and published on their website and/or the Central Lincolnshire website on a quarterly basis, with additional updates between quarters if prudent to do so. Further details on the application of policy LP4, including its monitoring, is provided at Appendix B”</u></p> <p><i>See Inspectors’ Report Annex D for details of the revised wording for Appendix B of the Local Plan.</i></p>
MM/8	LP3	New paragraph at the end of policy LP3 as follows:

		<p><u>"For the purpose of identifying and updating annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of housing against the Local Plan's housing requirements, the 'Liverpool method' of spreading the backlog across the remainder of the plan period applies to Central Lincolnshire for all reports published up to 31 December 2021".</u></p>
MM/9	LP4	Delete Riseholme from the policy
MM/10	LP4	<p>The text below the table in Policy LP4 (commencing 'A proposal within...') be amended as follows (which also includes re-ordering of paragraphs):</p> <p>"In each settlement <u>in categories 5-6 of the settlement hierarchy,</u> a sequential test will be applied with priority given as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Suitable B brownfield land or infill sites, <u>in appropriate locations**</u>, within the developed footprint** of the settlement 2. Brownfield sites at the edge of a settlement, <u>in appropriate locations**</u> 3. Greenfield sites at the edge of a settlement, <u>in appropriate locations**</u> <p>Proposals for development of a site lower in the list should include clear explanation of why sites are not available or suitable for categories higher up the list.</p> <p>A proposal within or on the edge of a village in categories 5-6 of the settlement hierarchy should be accompanied by demonstrable evidence of clear local community support** for the scheme (with such support generated via a thorough and proportionate pre-application community consultation exercise or through a Neighbourhood Plan exercise), if, in combination with:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. other development built since April 2012; b. any extant permissions; and c. any allocated sites, <p>the proposal would increase the number of dwellings in a village by more than 10% or, where relevant, the identified growth level in the above table; or for non-dwellings, have a floorspace of 1,000 sqm or more or have an operational area (including, for example, parking and storage spaces) of 0.5ha or more.</p>

		<p>If, despite a thorough and proportionate pre-application consultation exercise, demonstrable evidence of support or objection cannot be determined, then there will be a requirement for support from the applicable Parish or Town Council.</p> <p>Local communities can, through Neighbourhood Plans or other means, deliver additional growth over the levels proposed by this Policy.</p> <p>.....</p> <p><u>** See definitions of 'appropriate locations', 'demonstrable evidence of clear local community support' and 'developed footprint' in Policy LP2</u></p> <p>** The developed footprint of the village is defined as the continuous built form of the settlement and excludes:</p> <p>i. individual buildings or groups of dispersed buildings which are clearly detached from the continuous built up area of the settlement;</p> <p>ii. gardens, paddocks and other undeveloped land within the curtilage of buildings on the edge of the settlement where land relates more to the surrounding countryside than to the built up area of the settlement;</p> <p>iii. agricultural buildings and associated land on the edge of the settlement; and</p> <p>iv. outdoor sports and recreation facilities and other formal open spaces on the edge of the settlement.</p>
MM/11	3.5.8	<p>Insert a new paragraph to the supporting text, immediately after 3.5.8, as follows (and re-number subsequent paragraphs):</p> <p><u>"3.5.9 The final part of the policy relates to the conversion and redevelopment of, or change of use from, existing non-allocated employment sites. It is not the intention that such proposals shall meet all of the bullet points listed in the policy, but instead will be considered on their merits having regard to the four criteria and the evidence provided, which should be proportionate to the development proposed"</u></p>
MM/12	LP5	<p>Amend the section under the sub-heading "Employment provision within Sustainable Urban Extensions (ESUEs)" as follows:</p>

"The Policies Map identifies 8 SUEs all of which will deliver a mix of uses, including employment **job creating** uses (B Classes **and other Use Classes, as defined in the applicable SUE specific policy**). The following summarises such provision:

Ref.	Sustainable Urban Extension	B-Class Employment Provision (approximately)
CL1239	Gainsborough Southern SUE	4ha (approx. — based on 15,000 sq m consented floorspace)
CL1241	Gainsborough Northern SUE	7ha
CL818	Lincoln NE Quadrant SUE	5ha
CL819	Lincoln Western Growth Corridor SUE	11ha 20ha
CL428	Lincoln SE Quadrant SUE	7ha
CL4668	Lincoln SW Quadrant SUE	5ha
CL3036	Sleaford West Quadrant SUE	3ha
CL1016	Sleaford South Quadrant SUE	0ha
	Total	42ha 51ha

MM/13

LP5

In the LES part of the policy, amend as follows:

- "their scale does not harm the character and/or amenities of the locality **and/or the amenities of neighbouring occupiers**; and"

MM/14	LP5	<p>In the 'Other Employment Proposals' section, amend as follows:</p> <p>"Other employment proposals in locations not covered by SES, ESUE, EEA and LES categories above will be considered on their merits taking account of supported, provided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • where there is a clear demonstration that there are no suitable or appropriate sites or buildings within allocated sites or within the built up area of existing settlements the existing settlement; • the scale of the proposal is commensurate with the scale and character of the existing settlement; • any there is no significant adverse impact on the character and appearance of the area, and/or the amenity of neighbouring occupiers; • any there are no significant adverse impacts on the local highway network; • any likely there is no significant adverse impact on the viability of delivering any allocated employment site; and • the locations of the site and the ability to proposals maximise opportunities for modal shift away from the private car. • and any submitted business case which demonstrates that the business requires a location outside of identified SES, ESUE, EEA and LES areas."
MM/15	LP5	<p>In the last part of the policy ("Loss of employment sites..."), amend the start of the paragraph to:</p> <p>"Conversion and redevelopment of, or change of use from, existing non-allocated employment sites..."</p> <p>Amend the first bullet to remove the word catchment, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ...opportunities in the catchment area the site... <p>Amend the fourth bullet point, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • whether the applicant has provided clear documentary evidence that the property has been openly appropriately, but proportionately, marketed without a successful conclusion for a period of not less than 12 6 months on terms that reflect the lawful use and condition of the premises. This evidence will be considered in the context of local market conditions and the state of the wider

		national economy. Evidence of marketing is not in itself sufficient justification for the loss of employment workspace.
MM/16	LP6	<p>Towards the end of the Policy, amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>"Development proposals for retail and/or other main town centre uses in out-of-centre and edge-of-centre locations will be required to demonstrate their suitability through a sequential site test in line with the NPPF. In addition, a robust assessment of impact on nearby centres will be required for any edge-of-centre or out-of-centre retail, leisure or office proposal that is located:</p> <p>a. Provides a floorspace that is greater than 500 m² gross unless it is located within 1km of Lincoln city centre boundary primary shopping area and is greater than 2,500 m² whereby a 2,500m² threshold applies; or</p> <p>b. Is located within 500 m of the boundary of a District Centre and is greater than 300 m² gross; or</p> <p>c. Is located within 500 m of the boundary of a Local Centre and is greater than 200 m² gross; or</p> <p><u>d. Is located in any other location not covered by a-c above and is greater than 500 m².</u></p>
MM/17	LP7	<p>Amend last paragraph of the policy, as follows:</p> <p>"Development should be located within existing settlements, or as part of planned urban extensions, unless it can be demonstrated that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> such locations are unsuitable for the nature of the proposal and there is an overriding benefit to the local economy and/or community and/or environment for locating away from such built up areas; <u>or</u> <u>it relates to an existing visitor facility which is seeking redevelopment or expansion.</u>
MM/18	Para 4.2.5	<p>Add an additional sentence at the end of the paragraph, as follows:</p> <p><u>"Guidance on preparing Health Impact Assessments is published on the Central Lincolnshire website"</u></p>

MM/19	LP10	Amend Paragraph 1 to: "Developers are expected to provide housing solutions that contribute to meeting the housing needs of the housing market area, as identified in the latest Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) and in any other appropriate local evidence. "
MM/20	LP10	Amend paragraph 3 to: "Where possible, higher accessible homes should be located close to any existing or proposed local centres centre (as defined in Policy LP6) and public transport connections."
MM/21	LP11	Amend part (a) of the Policy, as follows: a. Affordable housing will be sought on all qualifying housing development sites of 4-11 dwellings or more, or on development sites of less than 11 units if the total floorspace of the proposed units exceeds 1,000 sqm. If a development scheme comes forward which is below this these thresholds and thus does not require the provision of affordable housing, but the scheme is followed by an obviously linked subsequent development scheme at any point where the original permission remains extant, or up to 5 years following completion of the first scheme, then, if the combined total of dwellings (or floorspace) provided by the first scheme and the subsequent scheme/s provide 4-11 or more dwellings (or 1,000 sqm or more floorspace), then Policy LP11 as a whole will be applied, with the precise level of affordable housing to be provided being 'back dated' to include the earlier scheme(s).
MM/22	LP11	Under the Rural Affordable Housing sub heading, amend as follows: "...both a need and a desire clear local community support (with support to be demonstrated in the same way as set out in Policy LP2) for affordable housing..."

MM/23	5.6.3	Amend paragraph as follows: <p>“...They indicate where it is considered most important and feasible to target habitat protection, restoration and creation, including woodland and wetlands. <u>Major development should adopt an ecosystem services approach, whilst large</u> Large scale major development schemes, such as sSustainable eUrban eExtensions, should adopt a landscape scale approach in any masterplanning work...”</p>
MM/24	LP13	Under sub heading ‘Delivering Transport Related Infrastructure’, amend as follows: <p>“All development proposals should, <u>where necessary,</u> contribute...”</p>
MM/25	4.9.2	Add a new sentence at the end of 4.9.2 as follows: <p><u>“...Where the policy refers to ‘redevelopment’ this also includes proposals for the demolition, change of use and other forms of development that would result in the loss of an existing community facility.”</u></p>
MM/26	LP21	Amend Para 4 as follows: <p>“Proposals for major development should adopt a landscape scale and <u>an</u> ecosystem services approach, <u>and for large scale major development schemes (such as Sustainable Urban Extensions) also a landscape scale approach,</u> to biodiversity and geodiversity protection and enhancement...”</p>
MM/27	5.7.3	Add two new paragraphs after 5.7.3: <p><u>“5.7.4 Whilst the purpose of Green Wedges is to protect the open and undeveloped character of areas within them, it is not intended that they should operate as an absolute restriction on all development proposals. There are also various ‘non-open space’ uses that already exist. As such, certain types of development may be acceptable, so long as they are not detrimental to the character, role and function of the Green Wedge within which they are situated. This is</u></p>

		<p><u>provided for under part a) of the policy and may include agricultural and forestry related development, green space, outdoor sport and recreation uses, the re-use of rural buildings and extensions or alterations to existing dwellings.</u></p> <p><u>5.7.5 There may also be instances where it is essential for a certain type of development to be located in a Green Wedge. For the purposes of part b) of the policy this may include development required by a public or private utility to fulfil their statutory obligations, or the provision of strategic transport infrastructure, provided that other relevant Local Plan policies are satisfied. ""</u></p>
MM/28	5.8.3	<p>Expand the paragraph, as follows:</p> <p><u>"5.8.3 In addition to LGS designations, this Local Plan also protects other existing Important Open Spaces (IOS). <u>These open spaces are different to LGSs, in that LGSs have been identified by local communities, whereas IOSs have been identified by the Central Lincolnshire Authorities as open spaces important to the settlement in which they are located.</u></u></p> <p><u>5.8.4 Central Lincolnshire has a wide variety of IOSs, which perform a range of functions and delivers a wealth of benefits to local people and wildlife. Parks and gardens, amenity space, play space for children/teenagers, outdoor sports facilities and allotments are all examples of publicly accessible IOS valued for their recreational and social functions, but they also contribute to the visual amenity and character of a settlement, providing relief from the built up area.</u></p> <p><u>5.8.5 It is also important to note that public or private open spaces with limited or no public access can also perform an important role in contributing to the local community and quality of life. Open undeveloped spaces within a settlement are as important as the buildings in giving a settlement its unique character and form. Some open spaces, especially towards the edge of a settlement, are important in preserving the setting of a settlement. Other open spaces, including those not publicly accessible, provide breaks in the street scene and may allow views of the surrounding countryside to be enjoyed from within the settlement.</u></p>
MM/29	LP23	Amend (a) – (c) parts of the policy, as follows:

		<p>a. <u>In the case of publicly accessible open space, there</u> There is an identified over provision of that particular type of open space in the community area and the site is not required for alternative recreational uses <u>or suitable</u> (b) Suitable alternative open space can be provided on a replacement site or by enhancing existing open space serving the community area; and</p> <p>b. <u>In the case of all Important Open Spaces, there</u> There are no significant detrimental impacts on amenity, the character <u>and appearance</u> of the surrounding area, ecology and any heritage assets."</p>
MM/30	LP24 / 5.9.4 / Appendix C	<p>The second paragraph of the policy amended as follows:</p> <p><u>Residential d</u> Development will be required to..."</p> <p>Paragraph 5.9.4 amended to</p> <p>"...new <u>residential</u> developments..."</p> <p>Appendix C, para 2, amended to :</p> <p>"As a minimum, the strategic aim is for any new <u>residential</u> major development (as defined under the Town and Country planning Act (Development Management Procedures) (England) order 2010) providing or having access to open space to the following quantity standards:"</p>
MM/31	LP25	<p>Amend text under Listed Buildings sub-heading of the Policy as follows:</p> <p>"Permission <u>that results in substantial harm to or loss of</u> to demolish or partly demolish a Listed Building will only be granted in exceptional <u>or, for grade I and II* Listed Buildings, wholly exceptional</u> circumstances."</p> <p>Amend the paragraph under the Conservation Areas sub-heading as follows:</p> <p>"...should preserve, and where possible enhance, <u>(and enhance or reinforce it, as appropriate)</u> features that contribute positively..."</p>

MM/32	LP26	<p>Opening paragraph under sub heading 'Design Principles'</p> <p>"All development proposals must respect and enhance take into consideration the character and local distinctiveness of the area (and enhance or reinforce it, as appropriate) and create a sense of place."</p>
MM/33	LP26	<p>Under the sub-heading 'Amenity Considerations', amend as follows:</p> <p>First paragraph:</p> <p>"The amenities which all existing and future occupants occupiers of neighbouring properties land and buildings may reasonably expect to enjoy must not be unduly harmed by or as a result of development."</p> <p>Second paragraph:</p> <p>"Proposals should demonstrate, where applicable and to a degree proportionate to the proposal, how the following matters have been considered, in relation to both the construction and life of the development:"</p> <p>Third paragraph:</p> <p>"Similarly, proposals for development adjacent to, or in the vicinity of, existing 'bad neighbour' uses will need to demonstrate that both the ongoing normal use of the neighbouring site is not compromised, and that the amenity of occupiers of the new development will be satisfactory with the ongoing normal use of the neighbouring site, taking account of criteria m to u above."</p>
MM/34	LP28	<p>Amend criteria (e) as follows:</p> <p>"e. incorporate appropriate pre-school(s), primary school(s), and a secondary school (potentially incorporating sixth-form provision), if the scale..."</p>

MM/35	Lincoln Key Diagram, p69	<p>Amend key by deleting 'urban area' and replace with 'indicative built up area'.</p> <p>Amend map, by showing villages (which by definition are not part of the Lincoln urban area) in a different colour.</p> <p><i>See Inspectors' Report Annex A for details of the revised Key Diagrams</i></p>
MM/36	LP29 and Para 7.3.2	<p>Amend LP29 criteria (a) as follows:</p> <p>"a. Protect the dominance and approach views of Lincoln Cathedral, <u>Lincoln Castle</u> and uphill Lincoln on the skyline;"</p> <p>Similarly, amend para 7.3.2 as follows:</p> <p>"... which supports spectacular views of the cathedral, <u>castle</u> and uphill Lincoln. ..."</p>
MM/37	LP30 and Para 7.4.4	<p>Amend Para 7.4.4, bullet 4, as follows:</p> <p>"Provision of a range of facilities including a neighbourhood centre <u>Local Centre</u> providing shops, a community centre and a three form entry primary school <u>education facilities</u>;"</p> <p>Amend Policy LP30 (WGC), bullet 7, as follows:</p> <p>"A wide range of community facilities including a new neighbourhood centre <u>Local Centre</u>"</p> <p>Amend Policy LP30 (SEQ), bullet 10, as follows:</p> <p>"Development of a small Local Centre towards the..."</p>

MM/38	LP30	<p>In relation to transport infrastructure, amend, for each of the four SUE elements of the policy, the following bullet point (four bullet points in total) as follows:</p> <p>“Consideration of the need for a park and ride to minimise the overall traffic impacts of the proposed development <u>Transport infrastructure, such as measures to encourage walking, cycling and use of public transport (which might include park and ride facilities) in order to maximise opportunities for sustainable modes of travel, in line with the aims of the Lincoln Integrated Transport Strategy;</u>”</p> <p>For the SEQ SUE specifically, also amend the seventh bullet point as follows:</p> <p>“Introduction of bus priority on the B1188 Canwick Road <u>measures from the site to the city centre, which could be achieved through technological and/or physical infrastructure measures.;</u>”</p>
MM/39	LP30	<p>Delete, for each Lincoln SUE, the bullet point which makes reference to education provision, as follows:</p> <p>WGC SUE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On site education provision through a new three form entry primary school on site (preferably as part of a neighbourhood centre); <p>SEQ SUE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Education provision of two primary schools and a secondary school on site, delivered in phases with agreement of the local authority; <p>NEQ SUE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Education provision through a two form entry primary school on site; <p>SWQ SUE</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate levels of education provision on site, with the scale to be determined

MM/40	LP30	<p>Amend SUE specific policy requirements, each of which relate to employment provision, as follows:</p> <p>LP30 – WGC – 2nd bullet:</p> <p>“Approximately 20ha of land for mixed employment (B Use Classes) and leisure (D2 Use Class) use serving....”</p> <p>LP30 – SEQ – 11th bullet:</p> <p>“Approximately 7ha of land to provide a wide range of flexible employment opportunities (any job creating Use Classes) flexible employment space, provided on-site...”</p> <p>LP30 – NEQ – 11th bullet:</p> <p>“Approximately 5ha of land to provide a wide range of flexible employment opportunities (any job creating Use Classes), flexible employment space, provided on-site...”</p> <p>LP30 – SWQ – 7th bullet:</p> <p>“Approximately 5ha of land for employment (B Use Classes) mixed-use employment expanding...”</p>
MM/41	LP30	<p>LP30 – NEQ – 3rd bullet: Amend as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development that protects and enhances the existing important open spaces within and adjacent to the site (including Greetwell Hollow) or provides...
MM/42	LP30	<p>LP30 – SWQ – 4th bullet: Amend as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The first phase of the Lincoln Southern Bypass initially connecting the A46 at its Newark Road Junction to the site’s primary access road. The primary access road will connect to Meadow Lane to the north east of the site with construction of the next phase of the Southern Bypass from Meadow

		<p>the lane South Hykeham Road to Brant Road, if required, as development progresses informed by the transport assessment and traffic modelling;</p>
MM/43	LP30	There is no MM/43
MM/44	LP31	<p>Amend criteria (d) to:</p> <p>"...character and assets of the Lincoln area, and the City Centre in particular..."</p>
MM/45	LP32	<p>Add a new, third paragraph to the Policy, as follows:</p> <p><u>"In respect of the University Campus at Riseholme, as identified on the Policies Map, proposals for education, teaching and research buildings and other associated uses will be supported in principle (subject to wider planning policies, including detailed policy requirements for the Campus in any Made Neighbourhood Plan for Riseholme)."</u></p>
MM/46	8.2.3	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>"Policy LP2 identifies that during the plan period Gainsborough will seek to deliver 4,435 new homes. The Gainsborough Strategy Area Study (2015) assessed the wider role of Gainsborough and provides the evidence that underpins the approach to locating all new growth within, and adjacent to, the existing built-up area (BUA) of the town. The BUA is defined as Gainsborough, the new Urban Extensions, plus the villages of Lea and Morton. This growth option will ensure that the new growth delivered will most directly benefit and facilitate the regeneration of Gainsborough's town centre, and that the longer term large-scale expansion of the town is realised."</p>
MM/47	LP38	Delete criteria (a) as follows:

		"a. Preserve and enhance the special character, setting and appearance of the Conservation Areas, respecting their special historic and architectural context. The most up to date Gainsborough Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Plans will be key reference documents for proposals within a Conservation Area boundary;"
MM/48	LP39	There is no MM/48
MM/49	LP39	<p>Delete, for each Gainsborough SUE, the bullet point which makes reference to education provision, as follows:</p> <p>Gainsborough Southern SUE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two on-site primary schools and an appropriate contribution towards improving education provision in the Gainsborough Area); <p>Gainsborough Northern SUE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Education provision, including the provision of an on-site primary school and an appropriate contribution towards improving secondary education provision in the Gainsborough Area;
MM/50	LP39	<p>Under the sub-heading Gainsborough Southern Neighbourhood SUE:</p> <p>Amend first bullet point as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Approximately 4ha of land for employment (B1/B2 Use Classes)</u> Employment land to accommodate around 15,000 m² of Class B1 (Business) and B2 (General Industrial) uses such as... <p>Amend third bullet point as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new Local Centre of an appropriate scale and nature, providing for retail (Class A) uses of around 2,000 m², community uses and services, including for health and community policing;

MM/51	LP39	Amend first bullet point under the sub-heading Gainsborough Northern Neighbourhood SUE as follows: "Approximately 7ha of employment land for employment (B1/B2/B8 Use Classes) Class B1/B2/B8, with delivery phased before or alongside dwelling completions. Employment premises provided must..."
MM/52	Gainsborough Key Diagram (p92)	Amend key by deleting 'urban area' and replace with 'indicative built up area'. Amend map by highlighting Morton and Lea in a different colour, and adding in the key for that colour 'indicative built up area of Lea and Morton' <i>See Inspectors' Report Annex A for details of the revised Key Diagrams</i>
MM/53	LP42	Amend as follows: Para 1: "Proposals for main town centre uses will be supported..." Para 2: "In the identified Primary Shopping Area, proposals for non-retail use on ground floors will only be supported if they : a. They a Are a recognised main town centre use; and b. Would not result in the over concentration of non-retail uses in the broad area in which the proposal is set that would undermine the primary shopping area's overall retail function and character ; and c. Would have no demonstrable impact on the vitality and viability of the centre as a whole.
MM/54	LP43	Delete criteria (a) as follows:

		"a. Preserve and enhance the special character, setting and appearance of the Sleaford Conservation Area, respecting its special historic and architectural context. The most up to date Sleaford Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan will be a key reference document when developing proposals within the conservation area boundary;"
MM/55	LP44	<p>Delete, for each Sleaford SUE, the bullet point which makes reference to education provision, as follows:</p> <p>Sleaford South SUE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provide an on-site two form entry primary school of 1.8ha site area and proportionate contributions towards improving secondary and sixth form education in the Sleaford Area); <p>Sleaford West SUE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provide an on-site two form entry primary school of 1.8ha site area and secondary education facility of 5.5ha site area;
MM/56	LP44	Where in the policy it refers to 'Sleaford Area', amend to 'Sleaford area '.
MM/57	LP44	<p>LP44 – Sleaford South Q SUE.</p> <p>Amend bullet (a) as follows:</p> <p>"a. Deliver a new Local Centre of a sufficient scale to meet the day-to-day needs of the Sleaford South new community and nearby residents, and to include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. a 450m² health centre; ii. a 450m² community centre; iii. retail units including a single 440m² foodstore and a further four 100m² retail units; iv. a 450m² nursery school; v. a 700m² public house; vi. a 0.64ha care home site; and vii. provision for small start-up offices;

MM/58	LP44	<p>LP44 – Sleaford South Q SUE.</p> <p>Amend bullet (e) as follows:</p> <p>“e. provide appropriate transport mitigation measures, having particular regard to measures to mitigate any adverse to any unacceptably adverse transport impacts on Silk Willoughby, Quarrington, King Edward Street and Castle Causeway, the junction between London Road and Grantham Road and minor roads linking London Road to Grantham Road”</p>
MM/59	LP44	<p>LP44 – Sleaford West Q SUE.</p> <p>Amend the following bullet points, as follows:</p> <p>a. deliver a new local centre of around 1 ha to meet the day-to-day needs of Sleaford West Quadrant new community and nearby residents, incorporating provision of local retail facilities, services and community uses;</p> <p>b. provide a new healthcare facility of 0.5ha adjoining the local centre;</p> <p>c. provide a minimum of approximately 3ha of mainly use class B1 employment land including a range of premises to complement the existing employment offer in the Sleaford area;</p> <p>j. provide on site of green infrastructure and public open space of around 11ha, which links into the wider green infrastructure network for the Sleaford area and includes multifunctional, dual use of the school playing fields;</p> <p>.</p>
MM/60	LP44	<p>LP44 – Sleaford West Q SUE.</p> <p>Amend the following bullet points, as follows:</p>

		<p>g. <u>provide, in line with the aims of the Sleaford Transport Strategy</u>, deliver primary vehicular access for the development via a new junction with the A15 with appropriate measures to manage any adverse impact on movement within the wider Sleaford Area and the Town Centre in accordance with the aims and objectives of the Sleaford Transport Strategy. secondary accesses will to be provided from Covell Road, Stokes Drive, St Deny's Avenue and The Drove;</p> <p>i. <u>provide, in line with the aims of the Sleaford Transport Strategy, appropriate transport infrastructure measures to encourage walking, cycling and use of public transport in order to maximise opportunities for sustainable modes of travel, with a particular emphasis on maximising</u> include a range of measures which promote safe walking and cycling which maximise opportunities associated with the proximity to the River Sleas and connect the site connections to the Town Centre;</p>
MM/61	Sleaford Key Diagram (p99)	<p>Amend key by deleting 'urban area' and replace with 'indicative built up area'.</p> <p><i>See Inspectors' Report Annex A for details of the revised Key Diagrams</i></p>
MM/62	9.4.9	<p>Expand para 9.4.9 as follows</p> <p>"The former Advanta Seed site is a key redevelopment area towards the southern end of Sleaford Town Centre. <u>Whilst not precisely defined on the Policies Map, it is the area which was previously occupied by the Advanta Seeds premises, with the maximum extent of the regeneration and opportunity area bounded by the railway line to the south, residential to the east and the recreation ground to the north.</u> This large scale site offers significant... "</p>
MM/63	LP46	<p>Amend para 1 as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"In Sleaford town centre, as identified on the Policies Map, proposals for main town centre uses will, in principle, be permitted".</p>
MM/64	LP47	Amend last para of Policy as follows:

		<p>"In order to enable potential delivery of the Sleaford Link Road (current status: with planning consent), the route is identified on the Policies Map and safeguarded for such purposes. Any development proposal on or near the route <u>should safeguard the future opportunity for the Link Road to be delivered, unless there is evidence available or a statement published by North Kesteven District Council which confirms that the Link Road is no longer required and/or deliverable.</u> , which would prejudice the efficient and effective delivery of the Link Road, will be refused."</p>
MM/65	10.2.1	<p>Amend paragraph to as follows:</p> <p>"10.2.1 In the policies that follow the summary table, each site allocated for residential development has a figure in the column headed 'Indicative dwellings/<u>remaining capacity</u>'. <u>For sites with permission the figure is the total number of dwellings with planning permission on the site or, if the site is already under construction,</u> Where a site already has planning permission (at 1 April 2015), but no development has started, the figure is the number of dwellings for which permission was granted. Where development has already started (at 1 April 2015), the figure is the remaining number of dwellings <u>(as at 1 April 2016)</u> still to be completed in accordance with the permission. Where the site is 'new' (i.e. without any existing <u>planning</u> permission), the figure is in most cases an estimate based on the size of the site, an assumption about the net developable area, and an assumption about the net residential density which would be appropriate for the area in which the site is located. However, there are a few exceptions to this where information in pending applications, or in design-led schemes that have been submitted to a district for example, has been agreed as being more appropriate than the density assumptions. There is a full explanation of the assumptions made in the <u>published</u> Evidence Report. The indicative numbers of dwellings are used to demonstrate how the Local Plan requirement can be met. It is emphasised that they are only 'indicative', and do not represent a fixed policy target for each individual site."</p>
MM/66	Housing Data Table on page 109	<p>Update the table on Page 109 to reflect the information from the September 2016 Five Year Land Supply Report and the sites with permission being proposed as allocations through the modifications.</p> <p><i>See Inspectors' Report Annex B for details of the revised table to be inserted.</i></p>

MM/67	Page 110	<p>Replace trajectory with an updated trajectory.</p> <p><i>See Inspectors' Report Annex C for details of the revised trajectory to be inserted.</i></p>										
MM/68	LP49-LP53	<p>Change the contents of the tables to the following headings and footnotes:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="474 587 1364 730"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ref</th> <th>Address</th> <th>Site Area (ha)</th> <th>Status*</th> <th>Indicative Dwellings \angle Remaining Capacity*</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*Status at 1 April 2015. UC = Under Construction. NS = With consent, but Not Started</p> <p><u>*This figure represents, indicatively, the total dwellings the site will accommodate, or, in the case of sites under construction as at 1 April 2016, the remaining dwellings to be completed post 1 April 2016. See Section 10.2 for more details.</u></p> <p>[Please note, all further changes to site allocations are presented in this format]</p>	Ref	Address	Site Area (ha)	Status*	Indicative Dwellings \angle Remaining Capacity*					
Ref	Address	Site Area (ha)	Status*	Indicative Dwellings \angle Remaining Capacity*								
MM/69	LP49-53	<p>Update the status of site allocations to reflect latest monitoring, permissions and amend some site names.</p> <p>Update the totals and subtotals in the tables for each policy in accordance with the revised numbers for the sites.</p> <p><i>See Inspectors' Report Annex E for the proposed revisions for all sites in policies LP49-53</i></p> <p>The proposed geographic representation of all additional or amended allocations as set out in Annex E are shown in the "Schedule of Post Submission Policies Map Modifications" and these will be shown on the adopted Policies Map when the plan is adopted. Similarly, sites identified as to be deleted will not be shown on the adopted Policies Map, when the plan is adopted.</p>										

MM/70	New para before LP53	<p>Amend title of Policy LP53 (and associated change to the sub-heading before the policy) to:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“Policy LP53: Residential Allocations – Medium and Small Villages”</p> <p>Insert the following new paragraph, immediately before Policy LP53, and under the existing sub heading ‘Medium Villages and Small Villages’</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><u>“10.2.x As explained in section 3.4 of this Local Plan, no sites are allocated in Medium Villages, Small Villages or Hamlets, with the exception of a site at Hemswell Cliff (see Policy LP53 below) and a site at Lea. For Lea, the single allocation (CL3044 Land South of Willingham Road, Lea) is listed under policy LP50 for reasons set out in section 8.2 of this Local Plan.”</u></p>
MM/71	LP55, section 10.3 title, and within Appx A.	Delete ‘Hamlets and’ throughout, so that the policy only applies to development in the countryside.
MM/72	LP56	<p>Amend title of the Policy (and the heading prior to paragraph 10.3.8) to:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“Policy LP56: Gypsy and Traveller Allocations <u>and Travelling Showpeople Accomodation</u>”</p> <p>Amend a sentence in row CL4675 of the policy, as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“Any wildlife lost should be mitigated <u>Proposals should avoid significant adverse impact on the Cow Paddle East, Cow Paddle Railway Embankment, and Canwick Road and St Swithin’s Cemetery Local Wildlife Sites</u>”</p> <p>Amend paragraph immediately below the table within the policy as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“...and for other <u>Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople</u> proposals on non-allocated sites...”</p>

		Amend criteria (d) of the policy as follows: “...on the amenity of nearby residents <u>(in accordance with Policy LP26)</u> , including (but not limited to) visual and acoustic privacy; and
MM/73	10.3.14	Amend paragraph as follows: “10.3.14 The re-use of former MOD sites <u>which are, or are known to be shortly, surplus to MOD operational purposes</u> presents a significant opportunity for new housing, economic development and/or regeneration. The following policy will assist in considering the determination of such proposals. <u>However, the policy also acknowledges that there may be some MoD land and assets that are isolated in the countryside, or only adjacent to hamlets or small settlements. In such circumstances, redevelopment as a significant civilian community may not be suitable or follow the principles of sustainable development, and could conflict with the spatial strategy of the plan set by LP2.</u>
MM/74	LP57	Amend second paragraph within the policy to: “The redevelopment or change of use of redundant <u>currently or recently operational</u> MOD land and facilities <u>which are, or are known to shortly become, surplus to MOD requirements</u> , whether for...” Amend 2 nd half of policy as follows: “Where the proposal is to create a civilian community in an area currently or last used as a services community, particular consideration will be given to, proposals must <u>also</u> : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>include appropriate</u> the infrastructure and community facilities to be provided to the civilian community; and • the degree to which <u>demonstrate that</u> the new community can access higher level services (such as jobs, leisure, retail and culture) <u>either within the development or</u> at other nearby settlement(s) by sustainable means <u>modes of travel</u>; and • the extent, if any, of any increase in the population in the new community compared with the services community it will replace, and the implications of such an increase. <u>Set out the extent of</u>

		<u>any increase in population compared with the previous use of the site, and where the increase in population is significant the presumption will be against such proposals unless it accords with the overall spatial strategy and settlement hierarchy in Policy LP2.</u>
MM/75	Appendix B	Delete the table at Appendix B. Replace the entire introductory text at the start of Appendix B. <i>See Inspectors' Report Annex D for details of the revised wording for Appendix B of the Local Plan.</i>
MM/76	Appendix D	Amend definition of 'Affordable Housing' in Appendix D to: "Social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing, provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices. See NPPF for further details. Homes that do not meet the above definition of affordable housing, such as "low cost market" housing, may not be considered as affordable housing for planning purposes. <u>The above definition was derived from the definition set out in the NPPF published in 2012.</u> "