

# G ONLINE QUESTIONNAIRE

The following spread depicts the questions from the online questionnaire from the consultation website.

The screenshot shows a web page for the Lincoln South East Quadrant Design Code Questionnaire. The page has a teal background and a white navigation bar at the top. The navigation bar includes the text 'LINCOLN SOUTH EAST QUADRANT' on the left and 'HOME', 'DOWNLOAD DESIGN CODE', 'QUESTIONNAIRE', and 'CONTACT US' on the right. The main content area is titled 'Design Code Questionnaire' in orange. Below the title, there is a welcome message: 'Welcome to the Design Code Questionnaire for the Lincoln SEQ. Your responses will be recorded and analysed and will be used to help guide the next iteration of the Design Code.' The questionnaire consists of three questions:

1. Overall, how useful did you find the Design Code document?
  - Very useful
  - Of some use
  - Of little use
  - Neutral
  - Of no use at all
  - Haven't seen it
2. In your opinion, what is the most important aspect of the Design Codes and why?
3. Do you think that the Design Codes cover all of the relevant topics?
  - Yes
  - No

LINCOLN SOUTH EAST QUADRANT

[HOME](#) [DOWNLOAD DESIGN CODE](#) [QUESTIONNAIRE](#) [CONTACT US](#)

4. Are there any topics which you believe should be included within the Design Codes which currently are not, and why would you like to see their inclusion?

5. How do you feel about the following statement? The parameters which have been set out within the Design Codes are clear and concise.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

6. If any at all, which aspects/topics within the Design Codes would you like to see explained further and more clearly?

LINCOLN SOUTH EAST QUADRANT

[HOME](#) [DOWNLOAD DESIGN CODE](#) [QUESTIONNAIRE](#) [CONTACT US](#)

If you have anything else which you would like to add, please enter it in the box below and/or by writing to the email or postal address given no later than 9th September.

If you would like to add your details below, please enter them in the fields provided (optional).

Name

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------

Email

Address

<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------

<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
----------------------	----------------------

# H QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSES

The below table depicts the responses received to the questionnaire on the Lincoln SEQ consultation website. In total, 13 questionnaire responses were received.

Question	Response
<b>Question 1 - Overall, how useful did you find the Design Code document? – Very useful   Of some use   Of little use   Neutral   Of no use at all   Haven't seen it</b>	Very useful – 1 Of some use – 8 Of little use – 1 Neutral – 2 Of no use at all - 1
<b>Question 2 - In your opinion, what is the most important aspect of the Design Codes and why?</b>	Not usable on a smart phone. In today's modern environment the council are still living in the stone age which is why are voices never get heard. The consultation period should be extended from when a smartphone usable service is available!!!
	<p>The images and diagrams. Sometimes can get lost in the jargon.</p> <p>At first sight looks quite impressive. Spacious streets and sustainability included for cycleways, bus routes and off street parking.</p> <p>Informing me that you are going to destroy my village</p> <p>Retention of village identity otherwise it becomes just part of the city</p> <p>Recognising buildings with different functions need different treatment and location.</p> <p>Green infrastructure. We are about to lose significant green sites. Modern housing estates tend to allow for narrow roads and few gaps between housing. I would like to see the open spaces expanded above the minimum requirements of NKDC to acknowledge the significant loss of open spaces within these proposals.</p>

Question	Response
	<p>To be completely honest yes it's important for new homes to be built however the amount of Boise pollution that it will generate will be terrible for those living in the area. To begin with traffic has been terrible especially the road leading out of Heighington road into Canwick a light needs to be installed due to traffic accidents and near misses that happen all the time. Traffic overall has been excruciating in the proposed area for years with nothing to alleviate even the bypass isn't going to fix it and now plans to build even more traffic pollution are being drafted? That's not smart in the long run of things. There are plenty of other areas boarding Lincoln that can be built on so why was this chosen an area with already high volume of homes and people living nearby? Whomever came up with this should probably think about drawing up plans in a not so polluted With people and traffic area.</p> <p>That you still fail to address the issue of the poor city access down the two hills which will be aggravated by more houses. The City offers poor shopping and expensive car parking and the bus service is awful I have a one mile walk to get a bus. Creating more suburbia is contrary to recent government thinking because it creates a poor social environment. The open space you are destroying affords the area a lovely environment for all ages, your plan destroys this. There are many brown field sites that could and should be developed and provide city type accommodation that younger people enjoy. The city is lacking in employment opportunity and this will get worse therefore the new people will have to travel away not all can work from home. The city is blighted by the railway. Nothing is done to address this and this is an obstacle to new employers coming to Lincoln. Further destroying farmland when we will need an increase in food production seems rather a poor use of land.</p>
<p><b>Question 3 – Do you think that the Design Codes cover all of the relevant topics? Yes   No</b></p>	<p>Yes – 2 No - 11</p>
<p><b>Question 4 - Are there any topics which you believe should be included within the Design Codes which currently are not, and why would you like to see their inclusion?</b></p>	<p>Provision for related infrastructure, doctor provision, not enough parking. Junctions already congested</p> <p>Provision for related infrastructure, doctor provision, not enough parking. Junctions already congested</p> <p>Public transport, employment, and of course the destruction of green open space, this is just a profit plan for the land owners Oxford University.</p> <p>How does the Southern bypass fit into the grand scheme. I can see a lot of access required to the A46 and that means increased traffic through Waddington, Harmston, North Hykeham and Aurborn and Haddington. Aurborn and Haddington currently have 5000 vehicles travelling to and from the A46 on a daily basis.</p>
	<p>Too many assumptions. Too many modern phrases. A lot of smoke and mirrors.</p>

Question	Response
	<p>A voted upon agreement with the populace of Lincoln instead of a council of few who think they are doing what's best for the populace.</p> <p>More information on roads and traffic management!</p> <p>How will the traffic flow be addresses? What are the long term plans for flow to the A46 towards Newark. How might the quadrant promote outdoor wellbeing and exercise?</p> <p>Health care provision - over 3600 new homes and no mention of additional doctors surgeries/ health centre / dentist ? Health care provision is a vital part of the overall plan. If it is not considered at this state it never will be.</p> <p>1. A "sense of place" An overarching plan to underpin the development of this area is to be welcomed, but by creating separate character areas I wonder if enough thought has been given to creating "a sense of place" for future residents. I suggest that some research should be undertaken to highlight the historical, geographic and natural legacy of this area which would contribute to the new development being a "place", rather than a sprawl of houses with accompanying infrastructure – however well-designed. It should not be assumed that this area is simply a blank canvas of green fields. However, respect has clearly been given to one historical aspect in the plan since there is to be a green wedge between south common and new development which is very much to be welcomed. The existing public footpath that runs along the top of South Common is historic as it marks the parish boundary with the City of Lincoln so it would be a huge shame if this was altered in any way. Archaeological evidence of Roman habitation was discovered on the site of the International Bomber Command Centre and this viewpoint was also the grouping point of Parliamentarian forces under the Earl of Manchester during the Siege of Lincoln from 3 to 6 May 1644 just prior to the storming of Lincoln Castle. You may be interested in a contemporary account of the siege by the earl's chaplain, William Goode, survives: "We drew up our whole army in the face of the city on the brow of the hill near Lanwick". Goode must have meant Canwick. 2. A medical centre Further detail is needed on how residents will access primary health care. 3. Places of religious worship There is no reference as to how residents will access places of religious worship. Have the local religious communities been specifically consulted?</p>
<p><b>Question 5 - How do you feel about the following statement? The parameters which have been set out within the Design Codes are clear and concise. – Strongly Agree   Agree   Neutral   Disagree   Strongly Disagree</b></p>	<p>Strongly Agree – 0</p> <p>Agree – 2</p> <p>Neutral – 5</p> <p>Disagree – 4</p> <p>Strongly Disagree - 2</p>

Question	Response
<b>Question 6 - If any at all, which aspects/topics within the Design Codes would you like to see explained further and more clearly?</b>	Divide overall map into smaller sections and use markers, to show which plan/artist impression goes where.
	Transport, lack of access roads, to the city.
	All, for instance the requirement for the SEQ at all.
	A clearer map of the intended cycle paths throughout the area. Pavements- is Canwick Avenue from BBH to Canwick going to have pavements so that you can walk into Lincoln?
	1. Sustainable construction I would like to see more detail about the materials to be used and any rules on the use of roof mounted solar panels. The harnessing of solar energy is possibly a preferred option, but mounted post-construction, they are an eyesore. Can most hot water for these homes be provided by solar energy incorporated at the build stage within the roof tiles? 2. Housing needs of people with access needs A care home has been incorporated, but what part of the community is this aimed at? People require care for many different needs – age related, physical or learning difficulties. More detail would be welcomed to show this has been thought out. Also, what about provision of housing that promotes independent living for people with access (or other specialised) needs? I suggest more specifications, for example, for single storey homes which eliminate steps, have wide doorways, accessible kitchens and bathrooms, without low-level electric sockets etc.
<b>If you have anything else which you would like to add, please enter it in the box below and/or by writing to the email or postal address given no later than 9th September.</b>	<p>Information on line and posted too small to evaluate properly. Doesn't feel like a consultation, more a presentation of what is already decided</p> <p>I have a few questions 1. Why have they used the back streets of Lincoln, Monks Road, Arboretum Avenue And Castle Hill (with photo of public toilets!) as a desired model for a new village development? 2. A recent village consultation conducted for the emerging Neighbourhood Plan showed that residents did not want buildings over two storeys in height. Would the developers take note of local people in this? 3. When is the summary of the design code workshop held in March 2020 going to be available for the public? Did they agree on this design code or were there some concerns expressed? 4. Will the new Planning for the Future White Paper be used going forward with this plan and, if so, how will it affect it?</p> <p>Have Parish Councils that may be affected by this been included in consultations? As a Parish Councillor for Aurbourn and Haddington I have not seen anything of this until now.</p> <p>I tried to email my comments, but the address was invalid even though I copied and pasted it. The different one in the Sheepwash Times did not work either.</p>

Question	Response
	<p>Lincoln as a whole has far more issues currently than how to employ the occupants of a further 6000 dwellings. There is absolutely no consideration to the current inhabitants of Bracebridge Heath. Lincoln City is on its back side, there is no industry, most major food chains have upped and left, The city thinks that students are the future. Lincoln is a wash with, charity shops and Barbers. if Seimens / the RAF were to pull out, the housing/ job market would collapse. The last thing need is a false sense of industry building housing/ schooling and commercial properties that may or will not be occupied. Rebuild the city first, get some industry. THIS DEVELOPMENT IS A JOKE, IT WILL KILL OFF BRACEBRIDGE HEATH AND IS NOT REQUIRED.</p> <p>It's unacceptable to just say existing roads would cope! The Canwick Hill into Lincoln is already a nightmare and the new bypass isn't even dual carriageway. Don't mention trying to get down to Hykeham. Lincoln is gridlocked now at the best of times! There needs to be a full dual carriage way going around the city and spreading out into Lincolnshire and helping people to move around the city without having to go through the centre. You are just plonking 100s of cars and people onto roads which already fail at the slightest pressure! Add to this there is a massively declining city centre and no parking anywhere. No expansion area for bomber command either? They could add a campsite or may need additional parking when they hold significant international events and by dropping them in the middle of a housing estate where will they get that? And are these going to be practical good size affordable homes which are not a shoebox or will they be the usual tiny boxes, endless 3 and 4 bed narrow townhouses and massive 4 and 5 bed homes which are unaffordable? You must improve the roads! Lincoln is also massively short of things to do, unless you're part of the uni. Why can't the plans include a leisure centre, or large adventure playground for kids or an outdoor water splash park? The city is always building and supporting the university but what about the families with children, we have to go out of town to find any decent playgrounds or activity centres.</p>
	<p>Whilst I appreciate the need for additional housing, I feel it important to recognise that the village of Bracebridge Heath itself will lose its identity as a small village. Subsequently, I feel strongly that it will get sucked up into the city of Lincoln and lose everything which makes it a small commuter village. As a village, we already have significant traffic issues and with additional housing, schools and businesses, this will only increase. The village will still be used as a thoroughfare for those travelling from Sleaford to commute to Lincoln and the new bypass will not help ease this. Additionally, there is the environmental impact to consider on the area. As a village, we are surrounded by fields and wildlife. This will be impacted upon significantly should this go ahead. In the design plan, the houses are far too close together, there is not ample parking and local services will continue to be stretched. It is difficult enough to get a doctor's appointment now let alone with this new housing. It is a well known fact that GP posts are struggling to be filled and it will be the current residents of Bracebridge Heath who will suffer. I do hope you take this comments seriously and want to make clear that I and my family object strongly to these plans. In my view, the current St John's hospital site should be completed and precedence given to current projects; look at their impact on local services before moving forward.</p>

